and bond. On November 3, 2015, NVIDIA, Respondents, and the Office of Unfair Import Investigations filed responses to the petitions and contingent petitions. Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ID, the petition for review, the contingent petition thereto, and the respective responses, the Commission has determined not to review the ID.

On September 24, 2015, NVIDIA filed an Unopposed Motion to Terminate the Investigation as to Respondent Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC. We have reviewed the motion, and it is granted.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42 46 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42 46).

By order of the Commission. Issued: December 14, 2015.

#### Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2015–31816 Filed 12–17–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–125 (Fourth Review)]

## Potassium Permanganate From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on potassium permanganate from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**DATES:** Effective Date: December 7, 2015

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Szustakowski ((202) 205–3169), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the

Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On December 7, 2015, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (80 FR 52793, September 1, 2015) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate.¹ The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.² Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on December 28, 2015, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>3</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before January 5, 2016 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief

written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by January 5, 2016. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. Please be aware that the Commission's rules with respect to filing have changed. The most recent amendments took effect on July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the Commission's Web site at http:// edis.usitc.gov.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: December 14, 2015.

### Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.  $[{\rm FR\ Doc.\ 2015-31809\ Filed\ 12-17-15;\ 8:45\ am}]$ 

## BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-946]

Certain Ink Cartridges and Components Thereof; Commission's Determination to Review an Initial Determination in Part and, on Review, To Affirm a Finding of a Violation of Section 337; Request for Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to reviewin-part the initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("AL]") on October 28, 2015,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}\,{\rm Chairman}$  Meredith M. Broadbent voted to conduct a full review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Commission has found the response submitted by Carus Corporation to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

granting summary determination that 17 defaulting respondents have violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337. On review, the Commission affirms with modifications the ALJ's findings regarding the importation requirement. The Commission's determination results in a determination of a violation of section 337. Accordingly, the Commission requests written submissions, under the schedule set forth below, on remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 27, 2015, based on a complaint filed by Epson Portland Inc. of Hillsboro, Oregon; Epson America, Inc. of Long Beach, California; and Seiko Epson Corporation of Japan (collectively, "Epson"). 80 FR 4314-16 (Jan. 27, 2015). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 by reason of the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain ink cartridges and components thereof that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,366,233 ("the '233 patent"); 8,454,116 ("the '116 patent"); 8,794,749 ("the '749 patent"); 8,801,163 ("the '163 patent"); and 8,882,513 ("the '513 patent"). Id. The notice of investigation named 19 respondents. See id. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is a party in this investigation.

Respondents Zhuhai Nano Digital Technology, Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China and Nano Business and Technology, Inc. of Lake Oswego, Oregon were terminated from the investigation based upon a settlement agreement and consent order. See Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to Certain Respondents Based on a Settlement Agreement and Consent Order; Issuance of a Consent Order (Aug. 5, 2015).

The remaining 17 respondents were found in default. See Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Finding Certain Respondents in Default (July 10, 2015).

On August 31, 2015, Epson moved for a summary determination of a violation of section 337 by the defaulting respondents and for issuance of a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders. On September 11, 2015, the Commission Investigative Attorney ("IA") filed a response in support of the motion. No other responses to the motion were received.

On September 16, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID partially terminating the investigation based on Epson's withdrawal of certain claims. See Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in Part as to Certain Claims (Oct. 15, 2015). Claims 1 and 10 of the '233 patent; claims 9, 14, 18, and 21 of the '116 patent; claims 1, 18, 49, and 60 of the '749 patent; claims 1 and 6 of the '163 patent; and claims 14, 15, and 19 of the '513 patent remain pending in this investigation. See Order No. 12 at 8–19.

On October 28, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting Epson's motion for summary determination of violation and recommending the issuance of a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders. See Order No. 12. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined to review only the importation analysis in the ID. Upon review, the Commission affirms a finding that Epson has met the importation requirement. In addition to the specific instances of importation by each defaulting respondent identified in the ID, the record evidence supports a finding that respondent Zhuhai National, through its intermediary respondent Huebon, sold and imported accused ink cartridge control no. 7579 (Group 4 cartridge) in 2014. Seitz 2015 Decl. ¶ 39; Seitz Ex. 1.170. In addition, the record evidence supports a finding that respondent Zinyaw sold accused ink cartridge control no. 7556 (Group 5 cartridge) after they were imported into the United States in 2014. Seitz 2015 Decl. ¶ 156; Seitz Ex. 1.215.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the

Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue one or more cease and desist orders that could result in the respondent(s) being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is

Written Submissions: Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainant and the IA are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's

consideration. Complainant is further requested to state the date that the patents expire and the HTSUS subheadings under which the accused products are imported, and provide identification information for all known importers of the subject articles.

Written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on Wednesday, December 30, 2015. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on Wednesday, January 6, 2015. Such submissions should address the ALJ's recommended determinations on remedy and bonding which were made in Order No. 12. No further submissions on any of these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit eight (8) true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337–TA–946") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/ secretary/fed reg notices/rules/ handbook on electronic filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000). Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted nonconfidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with any confidential filing. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

The authority for the Commission's determinations is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

## Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2015–31817 Filed 12–17–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

[OMB Number 1140-0076]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision of a Previously Approved Collection Relief of Disabilities and Application for Restoration of Explosive Privileges

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until February 16, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Laurie O' Lena, 3750 Corporal Road, Huntsville, AL 35898 at email or telephone: *EROD@atf.gov* or (256) 261–7640.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g.,

permitting electronic submission of responses.

# Overview of This Information Collection

- 1. Type of Information Collection: Revision of a currently approved collection.
- 2. The Title of the Form/Collection: Relief of Disabilities and Application for Restoration of Explosives Privileges.
- 3. The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: The form number is 5400.29. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, in the Explosives Enforcement Branch.
- 4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

Primary: Individuals. Other (if applicable): None.

Abstract: ATF is charged with the responsibility for enforcing Title XI of the Organized Crime Control Act (the Act) of 1970 and the implementing regulations contained at 27 CFR, Part 555. Subtitle C of Public Law 107–296, the Safe Explosives Act, enacted November 25, 2003, amended the Act to give the Director authority to grant relief from disability for any person who is prohibited from shipping, transporting, receiving, or possessing an explosive under section 842(i) of the Act. The regulations at 27 CFR, Section 555.142 state that the Director may grant relief to an applicant if it is established to the satisfaction of the Director that the circumstances regarding the disability and the applicant's record and reputation are such that the applicant will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety. The ATF Form 5400.29, Application for Restoration of Explosives Privileges, is used by ATF to conduct background investigations on all applicants for restoration of explosives privileges. In an effort to ensure that any person applying for restoration of explosives privileges has a record and reputation such that the applicant will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety and that the granting of such relief would not be contrary to the public interest, ATF proposes that all applicants complete ATF Form 5400.29.

5. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: We estimated that there are a total of 300 respondents associated with this information collection request. We estimate that each respondent will