§ 515.019 Periodic evaluations of facilities requiring repair or reconstruction due to emergency events.

(a) A State DOT shall conduct a statewide evaluation of the State's existing roads, highways, and bridges eligible for funding under title 23, United States Code, that have required repair and reconstruction activities on two or more occasions due to emergency events, to determine if there are reasonable alternatives to any of these roads, highways, and bridges. The evaluation shall consider the risk of recurring damage and cost of future repair under current and future environmental conditions. For purposes of this section, “emergency event” means a natural disaster or catastrophic failure due to external causes resulting in an emergency declared by the Governor of the State or an emergency or disaster declared by the President of the United States.

(b) For purposes of this section, reasonable alternatives include work types that could achieve the following:

(1) Reduce the need for Federal funds to be expended on emergency repair and reconstruction activities;

(2) Better protect public safety and health and the human and natural environment; and

(3) Meet transportation needs as described in the relevant and applicable Federal, State, local, and tribal plans and programs. Relevant and applicable plans and programs include the Long-Range Statewide Transportation Plan, STIP, Metropolitan Transportation Plan, and Transportation Improvement Program that are developed under part 450 of this title.

(c) Not later than [date 2 years after effective date of final rule], the State DOT must complete the evaluation for NHS highways and bridges and any other assets included in the State DOT’s asset management plan. The State DOT must complete the evaluation for all other roads, highways, and bridges meeting the criteria for evaluation not later than [date 4 years after effective date of final rule], excluding federally-owned facilities. The State DOT shall update the evaluation after every emergency event to the extent needed to include facilities affected by the event. The State will review and update the evaluation at least every four years after the initial evaluation. In establishing its evaluation cycle, the State DOT should consider how the evaluation can best inform the State DOT’s preparation of its asset management plan and STIP.

(d) The State DOT shall include in its asset management plan developed pursuant to §§ 515.007 and 515.009, a summary of the evaluation of any roads, highways, and bridges included in the asset management plan. The results of the evaluation of those assets, including any update following an emergency event, shall be addressed in the asset management plan’s risk analysis as provided in § 515.007(a)(6).

(e) The State DOT must make the evaluation available to the FHWA upon request.

[FR Doc. 2015–03167 Filed 2–19–15; 8:45 am]
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Federal Housing Administration (FHA): Standardizing Method of Payment for FHA Insurance Claims

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule is a cost-saving measure to update HUD’s regulations regarding the payment of FHA insurance claims in debentures. Section 520(a) of the National Housing Act affords the Secretary discretion to pay insurance claims in cash or debentures. Although HUD has given mortgagees the option to elect payment of FHA insurance claims in debentures in some sections of HUD’s regulations, HUD has not paid an FHA insurance claim under these regulations using debentures in approximately 5 years. This proposed rule would amend applicable FHA regulations to bring consistency in determining the method of payment for FHA insurance claims.

DATES: Comment Due Date: April 21, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposed rule to the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410–0500. Communications must refer to the above docket number and title. There are two methods for submitting public comments. All submissions must refer to the above docket number and title.

1. Submission of Comments by Mail. Comments may be submitted by mail to the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410–0500.

2. Electronic Submission of Comments. Interested persons may submit comments electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at www.regulations.gov. HUD strongly encourages commenters to submit comments electronically. Electronic submission of comments allows the commenter maximum time to prepare and submit a comment, ensures timely receipt by HUD, and enables HUD to make them immediately available to the public. Comments submitted electronically through the www.regulations.gov Web site can be viewed by other commenters and interested members of the public. Commenters should follow the instructions provided on that site to submit comments electronically.

Note: To receive consideration as public comments, comments must be submitted through one of the two methods specified above. Again, all submissions must refer to the docket number and title of the rule.

No Facsimile Comments. Facsimile (fax) comments are not acceptable.

Public Inspection of Public Comments. HUD will make all properly submitted comments available for public inspection and copying between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. weekdays at the above address. Due to security measures at the HUD Headquarters building, you must schedule an appointment in advance to review the public comments by calling the Regulations Division at 202–708–3055 (this is not a toll-free number). Individuals with speech or hearing impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8339. Copies of all comments submitted are available for inspection and downloading at www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about: HUD’s Single Family Housing program, contact Ivory Himes, Director, Office of Single Family Asset Management, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban
decision about maintaining the costly interagency agreement with Treasury. This rule proposes to amend the following sections to bring consistency in the payment of FHA insurance claims among FHA programs: §§ 203.400, 203.476, 203.478, 207.259, 220.751, 220.822, 221.762, 232.885, 235.215, 236.265, 241.261, 241.885 and 241.1205. As a result of these changes, § 220.760 will be eliminated because it will be unnecessary.

III. Findings and Certifications

Regulatory Review—Executive Order 13563

Executive Order 13563 (Improving Regulations and Regulatory Review) directs executive agencies to analyze regulations that are "outmoded, ineffective, insufficient, or excessively burdensome" and to modify, streamline, expand, or repeal them in accordance with what has been learned." Executive Order 13563 also directs that, where relevant, feasible, and consistent with regulatory objectives, and to the extent permitted by law, agencies are to identify and consider regulatory approaches that reduce burdens and maintain flexibility and freedom of choice for the public.

The broader purposes of the reform to FHA’s regulations regarding Secretarial discretion of the type of FHA insurance claim payment are to eliminate unnecessary spending and bring consistency regarding the payment of insurance claims across all FHA programs. As discussed in the preamble, the interagency agreement with Treasury costs HUD over $206,000 per year, even though HUD currently does not have any debentures for payment of FHA insurance claims in circulation, and has not made a payment in debentures in approximately 5 years for these insurance claims. In addition, different FHA programs treat payment of FHA insurance claims differently, and this proposed rule will simplify the regulations so that the authority to determine the method of claim payment always rests with the Secretary.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements, unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Notwithstanding HUD’s determination that this rule will not have a significant effect on a substantial number of small entities, HUD specifically invites comments regarding any less burdensome alternatives to this rule that will meet HUD’s objectives as described in the preamble to this rule.

Environmental Impact

The proposed rule does not direct, provide for assistance or loan and mortgage insurance for, or otherwise govern or regulate, real property acquisition, disposition, leasing, rehabilitation, alteration, demolition, or new construction, or establish, revise or provide for standards for construction or construction materials, manufactured housing, or occupancy. Accordingly, under 24 CFR 50.19(c)(1), this proposed rule is categorically excluded from environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321).

Executive Order 13132, Federalism

Executive Order 13132 (entitled "Federalism") prohibits an agency from publishing any rule that has federalism implications if the rule either (i) imposes substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments and is not required by statute, or (ii) preempts state law, unless the agency meets the consultation and funding requirements of section 6 of the Executive order. This proposed rule would not have federalism implications and would not impose substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments or preempt state law within the meaning of the Executive order.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) (UMRA) establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on state, local, and tribal governments, and on the private sector. This proposed rule would not impose any Federal mandates on any state, local, or tribal governments, or on the private sector, within the meaning of the UMRA.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for Mortgage Insurance-Housing in Older, Declining Areas is 14.123; Mortgage Insurance-
24 CFR Part 236

Grant programs-housing and community development, Low and moderate income housing, Mortgage insurance, Rent subsidies, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

24 CFR Part 241

Home improvement, Loan programs-housing and community development, Mortgage insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Solar energy.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, HUD proposes to amend 24 CFR parts 203, 207, 220, 221, 232, 235, 236, and 241 as follows:

PART 203—SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE INSURANCE

1. The authority citation for part 203 is revised to read as follows:


2. Revise § 203.400, to read as follows:

§ 203.400 Method of payment.

(a) If the application for insurance benefits is acceptable to the Commissioner, payment of the insurance claim shall be made in cash, in debentures, or in a combination of both, as determined by the Commissioner either at, or prior to, the time of payment.

(b) An insurance claim paid on a mortgage insured under section 223(e) of the National Housing Act shall be paid in cash from the Special Risk Insurance Fund.

PART 207—MULTIFAMILY HOUSING MORTGAGE INSURANCE

5. The authority citation for part 207 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1701z–11(e), 1709(c)(1), 1713, 1715(b) and 1735d; 42 U.S.C. 3535(d).

6. Amend § 207.259 by revising paragraph (a), to read as follows:

§ 207.259 Insurance Benefits.

(a) Method of payment. (1) Upon either an assignment of the mortgage to the Commissioner or a conveyance of the property to the Commissioner in accordance with requirements in § 207.258, payment of an insurance claim shall be made in cash, in debentures, or in a combination of both, as determined by the Commissioner either at, or prior to, the time of payment.

(2) An insurance claim paid on a mortgage insured under section 223(e) of the National Housing Act shall be paid in cash from the Special Risk Insurance Fund.

PART 220—MORTGAGE INSURANCE AND INSURED IMPROVEMENT LOANS FOR URBAN RENEWAL AND CONCENTRATED DEVELOPMENT

7. The authority citation for part 220 is revised to read as follows:


8. Revise § 220.751(a), to read as follows:

§ 220.751 Cross-reference.

(a) All of the provisions of subpart B, part 207 of this chapter, covering mortgages insured under section 207 of the National Housing Act, apply with full force and effect to multifamily project mortgages insured under section 220 of the National Housing Act, except § 207.256b Modification of mortgage terms.

PART 220 [Removed].

9. Remove § 220.760.

§ 220.822 [Amended].

10. In § 220.822, remove and reserve paragraph (b).
PART 221—LOW COST AND MODERATE INCOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE—SAVINGS CLAUSE

■ 11. The authority citation for part 221 is revised to read as follows:


§ 221.762 [Amended].
■ 12. In § 221.762, remove and reserve paragraph (a).

PART 232—MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR NURSING HOMES, INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITIES, BOARD AND CARE HOMES, AND ASSISTED LIVING FACILITIES

■ 13. The authority citation for part 232 is revised to read as follows:


■ 14. Revise § 232.885(a), to read as follows:

§ 232.885 Insurance benefits.
■ (a) Method of payment. Payment of an insurance claim shall be made in cash, in debentures, or in a combination of both, as determined by the Commissioner either at, or prior to, the time of payment.

PART 235—MORTGAGE INSURANCE AND ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS FOR HOME OWNERSHIP AND PROJECT REHABILITATION

■ 15. The authority citation for part 235 is revised to read as follows:


■ 16. Revise § 235.215, to read as follows:

§ 235.215 Method of paying insurance benefits.

If the application for insurance benefits is acceptable to the Secretary, the insurance claim shall be paid in cash, in debentures, or in a combination of both, as determined by the Commissioner either at, or prior to, the time of payment.

PART 236—MORTGAGE INSURANCE AND INTEREST REDUCTION PAYMENT FOR RENTAL PROJECTS

■ 17. The authority citation for part 236 is revised to read as follows:


§ 236.265 [Amended].
■ 18. In § 236.265, remove and reserve paragraph (a).

PART 241—SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCING FOR INSURED PROJECT MORTGAGES

■ 19. The authority citation for part 241 is revised to read as follows:


■ 20. Revise § 241.261, to read as follows:

§ 241.261 Payment of insurance benefits.

All the provisions of § 207.259 of this chapter relating to insurance benefits shall apply to multifamily loans insured under this subpart.

■ 21. Revise § 241.885(a), to read as follows:

§ 241.885 Insurance benefits.
■ (a) Method of payment. Payment of insurance claims shall be made in cash, in debentures, or in a combination of both, as determined by the Commissioner either at, or prior to, the time of payment.

■ 22. Revise § 241.1205, to read as follows:

§ 241.1205 Payment of insurance benefits.

All the provisions of § 207.259 of this chapter relating to insurance benefits shall apply to an equity or acquisition loan insured under subpart F of this part.


Biniam Gebre,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 2015–03457 Filed 2–19–15; 8:45 am]
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30 CFR Parts 816 and 817
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Petition To Initiate Rulemaking; Use of Explosives on Surface Coal Mining Operations

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Decision on petition for rulemaking.

SUMMARY: We, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSMRE or OSM), are announcing our final decision on a petition for rulemaking that was submitted by WildEarth Guardians. The petition requested that we revise our current regulations to prohibit visible nitrogen oxide clouds during blasting. The Director has decided to grant the petition in principle, and although we do not intend to propose the specific rule changes requested in the petition, will instead initiate a rulemaking to address this issue as discussed more fully below.

DATES: February 20, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the petition and other relevant materials comprising the administrative record of this petition are available for public review and copying at the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Administrative Record, Room 252 SIB, 1951 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joshua Rockwell, Division of Regulatory Support, 1951 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20240; Telephone: 202–208–2833; Email: jrockwell@osmre.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents
I. How does the petition process operate?
II. What is the substance of the petition?
III. What do our current regulations regarding the use of explosives require?
IV. What comments did we receive and how did we address them?
V. What is the Director’s decision?
VI. Procedural Matters and Determinations

I. How does the petition process operate?

On April 18, 2014, we received a petition from WildEarth Guardians (petitioner) requesting that OSMRE promulgate rules to prohibit the production of visible nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions (including nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide) during blasting at surface coal mining operations in order to protect the health, welfare, and safety of the public and of mine workers and to prevent injury to persons. WildEarth Guardians submitted this petition pursuant to section 201(g) of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA), 30 U.S.C. 1201(g), which provides that any person may petition the Director of OSMRE to initiate a proceeding for the issuance, amendment, or repeal of any regulation adopted under SMCRA. OSMRE adopted regulations at 30 CFR 700.12 to implement this statutory provision.

In accordance with our regulation at 30 CFR 700.12(c), we determined that WildEarth Guardians’ petition set forth “facts, technical data, and law” establishing a “reasonable basis” for amending our regulations. Therefore, on