INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–613 (Remand)]

Certain 3G Mobile Handsets and Components Thereof; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Motion of Complainants InterDigital Communications Corp. and Interdigital Technology Corp. To Substitute Parties


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 53) granting a motion of complainants InterDigital Communications Corp. of King of Prussia, Pennsylvania and InterDigital Technology Corp. of Wilmington, Delaware (collectively, “InterDigital”) to substitute parties. The Notice of Investigation is amended accordingly.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.


On August 14, 2009, the ALJ issued his final ID, finding no violation of section 337. On October 16, 2009, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part and terminated the investigation with a finding of no violation. 74 FR 55068 (Oct. 26, 2009). InterDigital timely appealed the Commission’s final determination of no violation of section 337 as to all of the asserted claims of the ‘966 patent and claim 5 of the ‘847 patent to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. On August 1, 2012, the Federal Circuit reversed the Commission’s construction of two claim limitations found in the appealed patents-in-suit, reversed the Commission’s determination of non-infringement as to the asserted claims of those patents, and remanded to the Commission for further proceedings. InterDigital Communications, LLC v. Int’l Trade Comm’n., 690 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2012).


On December 1, 2014, InterDigital filed a motion to substitute InterDigital Communications, Inc. for InterDigital Communications Corp. The motion stated that the Commission investigative
attorney did not oppose the motion. On December 11, 2014, respondents Nokia, Inc. and MMO (collectively “Respondents”) filed an opposition to the motion.

On January 14, 2014, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting InterDigital’s motion. On January 22, 2015, Respondents filed a motion for leave to reply to InterDigital’s response. On February 10, 2015, Respondents filed a motion for leave to reply to InterDigital’s response. On February 11, 2015, InterDigital filed an opposition to the motion.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The Commission has further determined to not grant Respondents’ motion for leave to file a reply.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

Issued: February 13, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Devon Adams, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh St. NW., Washington, DC 20531 (email: Devon.Adams@usdoj.gov; telephone: 202–514–9157). Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530 or sent to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of Information Collection: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: Survey of State Criminal History Information Systems.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: There is no form number. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Bureau of Justice Statistics, in the Office of Justice Programs.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State Government. This information collection is a survey of State record repositories to estimate the percentage of total state records that are immediately available through the FBI’s Interstate Identification Index and the percentage of records that are complete and fingerprint-supported.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that 56 respondents will expend approximately 6.2 hours to complete the survey once every two years.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 347 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: February 17, 2015.

Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meeting

NOTICE: Cancellation.

DATE AND TIME: The Legal Services Corporation’s Board of Directors and Finance Committee meetings scheduled for February 19, 2015 at 4:00 p.m., EDT, have been canceled. These meetings were noticed in the Friday, February 13, 2015 issue of the Federal Register, 80 FR 8110.

CONTACT PERSON FOR INFORMATION: Katherine Ward, Executive Assistant to the Vice President & General Counsel, at (202) 295–1201, or by electronic mail to FR_NOTICE_QUESTIONS@lsc.gov.

Dated: February 18, 2015.

Stefanie K. Davis, Assistant General Counsel.