

Number of Respondents and Responses: 21,019 respondents with multiple responses; 27,737 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: .0025–12 hours.

Frequency of Response:

Recordkeeping requirement; On occasion reporting requirement; Monthly reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in sections 154(i), 303, 308 and 325(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Total Annual Burden: 35,471 hours.

Total Annual Costs: \$39,750.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: This information collection does not affect individuals or households; thus, there are no impacts under the Privacy Act.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:

There is no need for confidentiality with this information collection.

Needs and Uses: This submission is being made as an extension to an existing information collection pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 3507. This submission covers FCC Form 318 and its accompanying instructions and worksheets. FCC Form 318 is required: (1) To apply for a construction permit for a new Low Power FM (LPFM) station; (2) to make changes in the existing facilities of such a station; (3) to amend a pending FCC Form 318 application; or (4) to propose mandatory time-sharing.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–00499 Filed 1–12–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Notice to All Interested Parties of the Termination of the Receivership of 10326, Legacy Bank; Scottsdale, Arizona

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) as Receiver for Legacy Bank, Scottsdale, Arizona (“the Receiver”) intends to terminate its receivership for said institution. The FDIC was appointed receiver of Legacy Bank on January 7, 2011. The liquidation of the receivership assets has been completed. To the extent permitted by available funds and in accordance with law, the Receiver will be making a final dividend payment to proven creditors.

Based upon the foregoing, the Receiver has determined that the continued existence of the receivership will serve no useful purpose. Consequently, notice is given that the receivership shall be terminated, to be effective no sooner than thirty days after the date of this Notice. If any person wishes to comment concerning the termination of the receivership, such comment must be made in writing and sent within thirty days of the date of this Notice to: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Division of Resolutions and Receiverships, Attention: Receivership Oversight Department 32.1, 1601 Bryan Street, Dallas, TX 75201.

No comments concerning the termination of this receivership will be considered which are not sent within this time frame.

Dated: January 8, 2016.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Robert E. Feldman,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–00517 Filed 1–12–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 16–01]

Cargo Agents, Inc, International Transport Management Corp., and RCL Agencies, Inc., on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated v. Nippon Yusen Kabushiki Kaisha, N.Y.K. Line (North America) Inc., Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd., MITSUI O.S.K. Bulk Shipping (USA) Inc., World Logistics Service (U.S.A.), Inc., Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd., “K” Line America, Inc., Eukor Car Carriers Inc., Wallenius Wilhelmsen Logistics as, Wallenius Wilhelmsen Logistics Americas LLC, Compañía Sud Americana de Vapores S.A., CSAV Agency North America, LLC, Höegh Autoliners Holdings as, Höegh Autoliners as, Höegh Autoliners, Inc., Autotrans as, Alliance Navigation LLC, and Nissan Motor Car Carrier Co., LTD.; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a “Class Action Complaint” has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) by Cargo Agents, Inc., International Transport Management, Corp., and RCL Agencies, Inc. on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, hereinafter “Complainants,” against the vehicle transport services providers named in the above caption, hereinafter “Respondents.”

Complainants state that they are purchasers of “Vehicle Carrier Services” from Respondents. Complainants allege that Respondents “are the largest providers of deep sea vehicle transport services . . . in the world, including for shipments to and from the United States.”

Complainants allege that Respondents violated provisions of the Shipping Act of 1984, including 46 U.S.C. 40302(a), 41102(b)(1), 41102(c), 41104(10), 41105, and the Commission’s regulations at 46 CFR 535.401 *et seq.*, because they “have conspired to allocate customers and markets, to rig bids, to restrict supply, and otherwise to raise, fix, stabilize, or maintain prices for Vehicle Carrier Services for shipment to and from the United States, pursuant to agreements between and among them that were not filed with the Federal Maritime Commission . . . and that otherwise violated the Shipping Act and regulations promulgated thereunder.”

Complainants request the following relief:

“(a) That the Respondents be required to answer the charges herein;

(b) That the Commission certify this action as a class action under Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and that Complainants be deemed adequate representatives of the Class;

(c) That, after due investigation and hearing, Respondents be found to have violated [the Shipping Act provisions and Commission regulations listed above];

(d) That the Commission order Respondents to cease and desist from violating the Shipping Act, including the above-specified provisions thereof;

(e) That Complainants and the Class recover reparations in a sum to be proven under 46 U.S.C. 41305, with interest . . . ;

(f) That Complainants and the Class members recover their costs of the suit including reasonable attorneys’ fees as provided by 46 U.S.C. 41305(e);

(g) That Complainants and the Class be awarded up to double their proven actual injury under 46 U.S.C. 41305(c) because Respondents and their co-conspirators violated 46 U.S.C. 41102(b) and 41105(1) and (3);

(h) That Respondents be found jointly and severally liable for the conduct alleged herein, including that of their co-conspirators; and

(i) That the Commission direct further relief as it may deem just and proper.”

The full text of the complaint can be found in the Commission’s Electronic Reading Room at www.fmc.gov/16-01.

This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges.