
[End of provision]

b. Removing the bottom text “246.710(i)(B)” and adding “246.710(i)(ii)” in its place;

c. Revising in paragraph (a) the definitions of “Issuing agency” and “Starting event”;

d. Revising paragraph (b).

The revision reads as follows:

252.246–7006 Warranty Tracking of Serialized Items.  

(a) * * * *  

Issuing agency means an organization responsible for assigning a globally unique identifier to an enterprise, as indicated in the Register of Issuing Agency Codes for International Standards Organization/International Electrotechnical Commission 15459, located at http://www.aimglobal.org/Reg_Authority15459.  

Starting event means the event or action that initiates the warranty, such as first use or upon installation.  

(b) Reporting of data for warranty tracking and administration. (1) The Contractor shall provide the information required by the attachment entitled “Warranty Tracking Information” on each contract line item number, subline item number, or exhibit line item number for warranted items no later than the time of award. Information required in the warranty attachment shall include such information as duration, fixed expiration, item type, starting event, usage, warranty administrator enterprise identifier, and warranty guarantor enterprise identifier.  

(2) The Contractor shall provide the following information no later than when the warranted items are presented to the warranty guarantor:

(i) The unique item identifier for each warranted item required by the attachment entitled “Warranty Tracking Information;” and

(ii) The warranty repair source information and instructions for each warranted item required by the attachment entitled “Source of Repair Instructions.”

(3) The Contractor shall submit the data for warranty tracking to the Contracting Officer with a copy to the requiring activity and the Contracting Officer Representative.
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AGENCY: Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD is issuing a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement a section of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 that amended a section of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, to extend and modify contract authority for advanced component development and prototype units.

DATES: Effective March 25, 2016.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

DoD published a proposed rule in the Federal Register at 80 FR 72671 on November 20, 2015, to revise the DFARS to implement section 811 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 (Pub. L. 114–25) and section 819 of the NDAA for FY 2010 (10 U.S.C. 2302 note). The rule proposed to amend DFARS 217.202(2) and 234.005–1(1) to add “or initial production” to the text, to allow for inclusion of a contract line item (possibly an option) for advanced component development and prototype units to go to initial production without further competition. The rule also proposed to amend DFARS 234.005–1(2) to extend this authority to September 30, 2019. There were no public comments submitted in response to the proposed rule. There are no changes from the proposed rule made in the final rule.

II. Applicability to Contracts at or Below the Simplified Acquisition Threshold and for Commercial Items, Including Commercially Available Off-the-Shelf Items

This case does not add any new provisions or clauses or impact any existing provisions or clauses.

III. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD has prepared a Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) consistent with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. The FRFA is summarized as follows:

This rule is necessary to implement section 811 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 (Pub. L. 114–291) and section 819 of the NDAA for FY 2010 (10 U.S.C. 2302 note). The objective of this rule is to provide authority for the inclusion of a contract line item (possibly an option) for advanced component development and prototype units to go to initial production without further competition. There were no significant issues raised by the public in response to the initial regulatory flexibility analysis.
The rule will apply to DoD major defense acquisition program contractors and subcontractors. Most major defense acquisition programs are awarded to large concerns as they are of a scope too large for any small business to perform. As such, it is not expected that this rule will have a significant impact on a significant number of small entities.

This rule does not impose new recordkeeping or reporting requirements. There are no known significant alternative approaches to the rule that would meet the requirements of the statute.

V. Paperwork Reduction Act

The rule does not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 217 and 234

Government procurement.

Jennifer L. Hawes,
Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

Therefore, 48 CFR parts 217 and 234 are amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 217 and 234 continues to read as follows:

PART 217—SPECIAL CONTRACTING METHODS

2. Amend section 217.202 by revising paragraph (2) to read as follows:

217.202 Use of options.

   (2) See 234.005–1 for limitations on the use of contract options for the provision of advanced component development, prototype, or initial production of technology developed under the contract or the delivery of initial or additional items.

PART 234—MAJOR SYSTEM ACQUISITION

234.005–1 [Amended]

3. Amend section 234.005–1—

   a. In paragraph (1) introductory text, by removing “component development or prototype of technology” and adding “component development, prototype, or initial production of technology” in its place, and removing “additional prototype items” and adding “additional items” in its place; and

   b. In paragraph (2) by removing “September 30, 2014” and adding “September 30, 2019” in its place.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Acquisition Regulations System
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Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Clauses With Alternates—Small Business Programs (DFARS Case 2015–D017)

AGENCY: Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD is issuing a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to clarify clauses and their prescriptions for small business programs and to create basic and alternate clauses structured in a manner to facilitate use of automated contract writing systems.

DATES: Effective March 25, 2016.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

DoD published a proposed rule in the Federal Register at 80 FR 58669 on September 30, 2015, to clarify, in the small business programs’ clause prescriptions, the appropriate use of the basic clause and its alternate clause. This final rule provides the basic clause at 252.219–7003, Small Business Subcontracting Plan (DoD Contracts), in full text as well as the alternate to the basic clause in full text, instead of only reflecting the paragraphs that are different. The clause at DFARS 252.219–7010, now titled “Notification of Competition Limited to Eligible 8(a) Concerns—Partnership Agreement” is modified to incorporate Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) clause 52.219–18 and its two alternates into the existing clause at DFARS 252.219–7010. No public comments were received in response to the proposed rule. Three editorial changes were made to the proposed rule to (1) correct a typographical error, (2) update how the basic clause and alternate clause for 252.219–7003 are displayed at 212.301, and (3) spell out the acronym “eSRS” in the DFARS basic and alternate clause 252.219–7003.

II. Applicability to Contracts at or Below the Simplified Acquisition Threshold and for Commercial Items, Including Commercially Available Off-the-Shelf Items

This rule does not change the prescription for DFAR clause 252.219–7003. Small Business Subcontracting Plan (DoD Contracts); rather, the rule merely clarifies the use of the clause and the way it is displayed in the regulations. DFARS clause 252.219–7003 is used in conjunction with FAR clause 52.219–9, Small Business Subcontracting Plan, and applies to solicitations and contracts for commercial items, including commercially available off-the-shelf items. The clause is not applicable to acquisitions valued at or below the simplified acquisition threshold, because the FAR clause is only used in acquisitions expected to exceed $700,000.

III. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act

A final regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared consistent with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., and is summarized as follows:

This final rule clarifies: (1) DFARS clause, 252.219–7003, Small Business Subcontracting Plan (DoD Contracts), which has an alternate, and (2) DFARS clause 252.219–7010, now titled “Notification of Competition Limited to Eligible 8(a) Concerns—Partnership Agreement,” which is an alternate to a FAR clause. The basic and alternate clauses will be clear for clarity and ease of use by the contracting officers. This rule also explains the appropriate