

consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Cassie D'Souza is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).

3. Comments are due no later than April 5, 2016.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Stacy L. Ruble,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-07551 Filed 4-1-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2016-108 and CP2016-136; Order No. 3185]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning the addition of Priority Mail Contract 201 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* April 5, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Commission Action
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

#### I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30-35, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 201 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 201 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Request, Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

#### II. Notice of Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016-108 and CP2016-136 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 201 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than April 5, 2016. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Curtis E. Kidd to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

#### III. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016-108 and CP2016-136 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Curtis E. Kidd is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).

3. Comments are due no later than April 5, 2016.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Stacy L. Ruble,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-07522 Filed 4-1-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P**

Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, March 25, 2016 (Request).

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. SIPA-176; File No. SIPC-2016-01]

### Securities Investor Protection Corporation; Order Approving the Determination of the Board of Directors of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation Not To Adjust for Inflation the Standard Maximum Cash Advance Amount and Notice of the Standard Maximum Cash Advance Amount

March 30, 2016.

#### I. Background

On February 17, 2016, the Securities Investor Protection Corporation ("SIPC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), under sections 9(e)(1) and 3(e)(2)(A) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970 ("SIPA"),<sup>1</sup> notification that SIPC's Board of Directors (the "SIPC Board") had determined that the standard maximum cash advance amount available to satisfy customer claims for cash in a SIPA liquidation proceeding would remain at \$250,000 beginning January 1, 2017 and for the five-year period immediately thereafter. The Commission published for comment notice of the SIPC Board's determination in the **Federal Register** on February 25, 2016.<sup>2</sup> The Commission did not receive any comments. The Commission today is approving, by order, the SIPC Board's determination. The Commission is also publishing notice that the standard maximum cash advance amount will remain \$250,000 beginning January 1, 2017 and for the five-year period immediately thereafter.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act")<sup>3</sup> amended SIPA to raise the "standard maximum cash advance amount" from \$100,000 to \$250,000 per customer.<sup>4</sup> This aligned

<sup>1</sup> See 15 U.S.C. 78fff-3(e)(1) and 15 U.S.C. 78ccc(e)(2)(A), respectively.

<sup>2</sup> See *Securities Investor Protection Corporation*, Release No. SIPA-174 (Feb. 22, 2016), 81 FR 9561 (Feb. 25, 2016). The notice set forth SIPC's statement of the purpose and statutory basis of the determination of the SIPC Board not to adjust the standard maximum cash advance amount for inflation (the "February 17, 2016 SIPC Statement of Purpose"), which was attached to a letter from SIPC to the Commission, dated February 17, 2016.

<sup>3</sup> Public Law 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376 (July 21, 2010).

<sup>4</sup> In a liquidation of a broker-dealer performed under SIPA, a fund of customer property is established for priority distribution to customers ahead of all other creditors. Each customer is entitled to a pro rata share of the customer property to the extent of the customer's net equity in the customer's account. If the amount of customer