Jean King, General Counsel, Executive Office for Immigration Review, U.S. Department of Justice, Suite 2600, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, Virginia 22041; telephone: (703) 305–0470.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

— Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Executive Office for Immigration Review, including whether the information will have practical utility;

— Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

— Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and

— Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. Type of Information Collection: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection.

2. The Title of the Form/Collection: Notice of Appeal From a Decision of an Immigration Judge.

3. The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: The form number is EOIR–26, Executive Office for Immigration Review, United States Department of Justice.

4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: A party (either the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement of the Department of Homeland Security or the respondent/applicant) who appeals a decision of an Immigration Judge to the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board). A party affected by a decision of an Immigration Judge may appeal that decision to the Board, provided that the Board has jurisdiction pursuant to 8 CFR 1003.1(b). An appeal from an Immigration Judge’s decision is taken by completing the Form EOIR–26 and submitting it to the Board.

5. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that 17,627 respondents will complete the form annually with an average of thirty minutes per response.

6. An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 8,813.5 total burden hours associated with this collection annually.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 31, 2016.

Jerri Murray,
Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2016–07731 Filed 4–4–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–30–P

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Notice of Proposed Revisions for the LSC Grant Assurances for Calendar Year 2017 Basic Field Grants

AGENCY: Legal Services Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of proposed changes and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Legal Services Corporation (“LSC”) intends to revise the LSC Grant Assurances for calendar year 2017 Basic Field Grants and is soliciting public comment on the proposed changes. The proposed revisions affect Grant Assurances 7, 15, 20, and 22. The proposed LSC Grant Assurances for calendar year 2017 Basic Field Grants, in redline format indicating the proposed changes to the current “LSC 2016 Grant Assurances,” are available at http://grants.lsc.gov/sites/default/files/Grants/ReferenceMaterials/2017-GrantAssurances-Proposed.pdf.

DATES: All comments and recommendations must be received on or before the close of business on May 5, 2016.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

• Agency Web site: http://www.lsc.gov/contact-us. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the Web site.

• Email: LSCGrantAssurances@lsc.gov.

• Fax: (202) 337–6813.

• Mail: Legal Services Corporation, 3333 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20007.

All comments should be addressed to Reginald J. Haley, Office of Program Performance, Legal Services Corporation. Include “2017 LSC Grant Assurances” as the heading or subject line for all comments submitted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Reginald J. Haley, haley@lsc.gov, (202) 295–1545.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the LSC Grant Assurances is to delineate the rights and responsibilities of LSC and the recipient pursuant to the provisions of the grant. As a grant-making agency created by Congress, LSC has Grant Assurances that are intended to reiterate and/or clarify the responsibilities and obligations already applicable through existing law and regulations and/or obligate the recipient to comply with specific additional requirements in order to effectuate the purposes of the Legal Services Corporation Act, as amended, and other applicable law. A summary of the proposed changes follows.

Grant Assurance 7 requires LSC recipients to provide legal services in accordance with: (a) The grant proposal that LSC approved; (b) the LSC Performance Criteria; (c) the ABA Standards for the Provision of Civil Legal Aid; (d) the ABA standards for Programs Providing Civil Pro Bono Legal Services to Persons of Limited Means; and (e) any applicable code or rules of professional conduct, responsibility, or ethics. The proposed change clarifies the Grant Assurance and notifies the recipient that LSC’s consent is required before the recipient makes significant changes to the delivery system described in the approved grant proposal or grant renewal application.

Grant Assurance 15 requires grantees to notify LSC of: (a) an office closing or relocation; (b) a change of board chairperson; (c) a change of chief executive officer; (d) a change in recipient’s charter, articles of incorporation, by-laws, or governing body structure; and (e) a change in recipient’s main email and Web site address. The proposed change updates the instruction for submitting these notifications to LSC.

Grant Assurance 20 requires LSC recipients to provide advance notification to LSC of a proposed merger, consolidation, change in recipient’s name, or status as a legal entity. In addition, Grant Assurance 20 directs recipients to LSC’s instructions
for planning an orderly conclusion of the role and responsibility of an LSC recipient. The proposed change clarifies and adds to the requirements for notifying LSC of a significant change in recipient’s status and updates the Web site link to LSC’s instructions for planning an orderly conclusion of the role and responsibility of an LSC recipient.

Grant Assurance 22 requires recipients to give recognition and acknowledgement of LSC support and funding by displaying the LSC logo on the recipient’s Web site, annual reports, press releases, letterhead, and other similar announcements and documents. The proposed change updates the Web site link to the digital and camera-ready versions of the LSC logo.

Dated: March 31, 2016.

Stefanie K. Davis, Assistant General Counsel.

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[FR Doc. 2016–0058]

Applications and Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Combined Licenses Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Considerations and Containing Sensitive Unclassified Non-Safeguards Information and Order Imposing Procedures for Access to Sensitive Unclassified Non-Safeguards Information

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: License amendment request; opportunity to comment, request a hearing, and petition for leave to intervene; order.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) received and is considering approval of six amendment requests. The amendment requests are for Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2, Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Unit Nos. 2 and 3, River Bend Station, Unit 1, and Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2. For each amendment request, the NRC proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. In addition, each amendment request contains sensitive unclassified non-safeguards information (SUNSI).

DATES: Comments must be filed by May 5, 2016. A request for a hearing must be filed by June 6, 2016. Any potential party as defined in § 2.4 of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), who believes access to SUNSI is necessary to respond to this notice must request document access by April 15, 2016.

ADDRESS: You may submit comments by any of the following methods (unless this document describes a different method for submitting comments on a specific subject):


- NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section.

- NRC’s PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC–2016–0058, facility name, unit number(s), application date, and subject in your comment submission.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC posts all comment submissions at http://www.regulations.gov as well as enters the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit