Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone (816) 329–4125, facsimile (816) 329–4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 14, 2016, the FAA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) titled “Revision of Airworthiness Standards for Normal, Utility, Acrobatic, and Commuter Category Airplanes”. The FAA proposes in this NPRM to amend its airworthiness standards for normal, utility, acrobatic, and commuter category airplanes by removing current prescriptive design requirements and replacing them with performance-based airworthiness standards. The proposed standards would also replace the current weight and propulsion divisions in part 23 with performance- and risk-based divisions for airplanes with a maximum seating capacity of 19 passengers or less and a maximum takeoff weight of 19,000 pounds or less. The proposed airworthiness standards are based on, and would maintain, the level of safety of the current part 23. Finally, the FAA proposes to adopt additional airworthiness standards to address certification for flight in icing conditions, enhanced stall characteristics, and minimum control speed to prevent departure from controlled flight for multiengine airplanes. The NPRM addresses the Congressional mandate set forth in the Small Airplane Revitalization Act of 2013 I (Pub. L. 113–53, 49 U.S.C. 44704–44705). The purpose of the public meetings is to accommodate all persons wishing to attend the public meetings. The meetings will be open to all persons, subject to the availability of space in the meeting rooms. The FAA will make every effort to accommodate all persons wishing to attend the FAA asks that participants sign in between 7:00–8:00 a.m. on the day of the meeting being attended. The meetings may adjourn early if the agenda items are completed in less time than is scheduled for the meetings. (2) The meetings will be recorded by a court reporter. A transcript of the meetings and all material accepted by the panel during the meetings will be included in the public docket, unless protected from disclosure. Each person interested in purchasing a copy of a transcript should contact the court reporter directly. Information on how to purchase a transcript will be available at the meetings.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 1, 2016.

James M. Crotty,

Acting Director, Office of Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 2016–07943 Filed 4–6–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

14 CFR Part 382


RIN 2105–AE12

Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in Air Travel; Establishment of a Negotiated Rulemaking Committee

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice of intent to establish the Accessible Air Transportation (ACCESS) Advisory Committee; Solicitation of applications and nominations for membership.

SUMMARY: The Department of Transportation (“Department,” “DOT,” or “we”) announces its intent to establish a negotiated rulemaking (Reg–Neg) committee to negotiate and develop proposed amendments to the Department’s disability regulation on three issues: Whether to require accessible inflight entertainment (IFE) and strengthen accessibility requirements for other in-flight communications; whether to require an accessible lavatory on new single-aisle aircraft over a certain size; and whether to amend the definition of “service animals” that may accompany passengers with a disability on a flight. The committee will include representatives of organizations or groups with interests that are affected significantly by the subject matter of the proposed regulation.

The Department anticipates that the interested parties may include disability advocacy organizations, airlines, airports, and aircraft manufacturers. The Department seeks comment on the establishment of the Accessible Air Transportation (ACCESS) Advisory Committee, the issues to be addressed, and the proposed list of stakeholder types to be represented on the Committee. We also invite nominations or applications for membership on the ACCESS Advisory Committee. To the extent it can do so consistent with the goal of ensuring effective representation and necessary expertise, the Department will select individuals who reflect the diversity among the organizations or groups represented.

DATES: Comments and nominations for Committee membership must be received on or before April 21, 2016.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments using any one of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

• Mail: Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building, Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

• Hand Delivery or Courier: West Building, Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

• Fax: 202 493–2251. To avoid duplication, please use only one of these four methods. See the “Submitting Comments” portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for instructions on submitting comments. In all cases, please identify your comment with docket number DOT–OST–2015–0246.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about the regulatory negotiation, you may contact Livaughn Chapman or Blane A. Workie, Office of the Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings, U.S. Department of Transportation, by email at livaulghn.chapman@dot.gov or blane.workie@dot.gov or by telephone at 202–366–9342. To obtain a copy of this notice of intent in an accessible format, you may also contact Livaughn Chapman.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Submitting Comments

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this notice of intent (DOT–OST–2015–0246), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each
suggestion or recommendation. You may submit your comments and material online, by mail, by hand delivery, or by fax. Please use only one of these methods. DOT recommends that you include your name and a mailing address, an email address, or a phone number with your comments so that DOT can contact you if there are questions regarding your submission. All comments and material received in the docket during this comment period will be given consideration and become part of the record in this rulemaking proceeding. We will consider to the extent practicable all comments and material received in the docket after the comment period ends.

Viewing Comments and Documents

You may view comments on this notice of intent, as well as any documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket at http://www.regulations.gov. After entering the docket number, click the link to “Open Docket Folder” and choose the document to review. If you do not have access to the Internet, you may view the docket online by visiting the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the DOT West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Submitting Nominations

All nomination materials should be submitted electronically via email to accesscommittee@dot.gov. Any person needing accessibility accommodations should contact Livaughn Chapman, Chief, Aviation Civil Rights Compliance Branch, Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings, at (202) 366–9342.

Privacy Act

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL–14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at www.dot.gov/privacy.

Background

On December 7, 2015, the Department published a notice in the Federal Register announcing its intent to consider a Reg-Neg on six issues—(1) inflight entertainment accessibility; (2) supplemental medical oxygen; (3) service animals; (4) accessible lavatories on single-aisle aircraft; (5) seating accommodations; and (6) carrier reporting of disability service requests.\(^1\) On January 5, 2016, the Department subsequently announced an extension of the 30-day comment period to January 21, 2016.\(^2\) At the close of the comment period, we had received nearly 70 comments. To date, we have received close to 90 comments. The Department also announced that we had hired a neutral convener, Mr. Richard Parker, a professor of law at the University of Connecticut School of Law, to speak with representatives from among the organizational interests mentioned above about the feasibility of conducting a Reg-Neg on these six issues. Mr. Parker conducted interviews with 46 different stakeholders representing these interests and prepared a convening report to DOT on the feasibility of conducting the negotiated rulemaking under consideration. The convening report is available in the rulemaking docket at DOT–OST–2015–0246. Based on the convening report, the comments received on the December notice, and on the statutory factors in the Negotiated Rulemaking Act (5 U.S.C. 563), DOT decided that it would be in the public interest to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee (5 U.S.C. 564) with a narrower scope. Chief among the statutory factors we considered in our decision was whether a Committee could be assembled that would fairly represent all affected interests, negotiate in good faith, and offer a reasonable likelihood of reaching a consensus on the issues. We determined that the following three issues were the most suitable for the Reg-Neg: (1) Whether to require accessible inflight entertainment (IFE) and strengthen accessibility requirements for other in-flight communications; (2) whether to require an accessible lavatory on single-aisle new aircraft over a certain size; and (3) whether to amend the definition of “service animals” that may accompany passengers with a disability on a flight. The Department intends to address the remaining supplemental medical oxygen, seating accommodations, and carrier reporting of disability service requests) through other actions.

In selecting these three issues for the Reg-Neg, the Department considered the impact of the issues on all the affected stakeholders and the likelihood of the Committee reaching agreement on recommendations to the Department. Concerns expressed across interest groups about service animals on aircraft suggested that stakeholders would be motivated to come to agreement on their recommendations. While the differences among stakeholders on IFE accessibility were greater, there were other indications that consensus recommendations may be achievable and that Committee deliberations might furnish highly relevant and useful information and insight to guide Departmental rulemaking deliberations in any event. For example, new technologies and methods for providing IFE have created more accessible on-board entertainment and communications options at lower cost than ever before. Although lavatory accessibility on single aisle aircraft is perhaps the longest standing and the most controversial of the issues considered for the Reg-Neg, we believe that there are significant advantages to including it. The Reg-Neg process will serve to educate all the parties about the state of the art in lavatory accessibility and allow stakeholders to identify regulatory options and share information on their costs and benefits. We believe these stakeholder discussions will enhance the possibility of reaching agreement on proposals to recommend to the Department, and in furnishing useful information to guide the Department’s rulemaking if consensus is not reached. For the above reasons, we believe that the scope of the rulemaking should focus on these issues.

The Department acknowledges the views and concerns of all the participants in the convening process and is committed to addressing the issues of supplemental medical oxygen, seating accommodations, and carrier reporting of disability service requests as appropriate in subsequent rulemaking or other actions. The Secretary of Transportation has approved a charter to govern the activities of the ACCESS Advisory Committee in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. App. 2. In accordance with section 14 of FACA, the charter provides for the ACCESS Advisory Committee to remain in existence for two years after the filing date unless sooner terminated or renewed.

However, the Department intends to complete the Reg-Neg for the proposed rule in 2016 and to publish a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in 2017. This notice of intent requests nominations for members of the Committee to ensure a wide range of member candidates and a balanced committee. The Secretary of Transportation will appoint approximately 25 Committee members.

---

1. 80 FR 75953 (December 7, 2015).
2. 81 FR 193 (January 5, 2016).
including a representative of DOT, who
will each serve until the stated
objectives of the Committee have been
accomplished (i.e., the Committee
submits its recommendation to the
Secretary). The Committee is expected
to meet every month from May to
October 2016. Members should be
senior level officials who can commit
t heir organizations and who either are
experts on accessibility with respect to
the topics of interest to their
organizations or who have access to
such experts.

The Department will choose the
Committee members based on four main
criteria: (1) Representativeness (does the
applicant represent a significant
stakeholder group that will be
substantially affected by the final rule);
(2) expertise (does the applicant bring
essential knowledge, expertise and/or
experience regarding accessibility and
the topic area(s) of interest that will
enrich the discussion of the available
options and their respective costs and
benefits); (3) balance (does the slate of
selected applicants comprise a balanced
array of representative and expert
stakeholders); and (4) willingness to
participate fully (is the applicant able
and willing to attend the listed meetings
and associated working group
conference calls, bring in other experts
from the applicant’s organization as
needed and relevant, bargain in good
faith, and generally contribute
constructively to a rigorous policy
development process).

Subject to change based on
information received in response to this
notice of intent, DOT proposes for
public comment the following list of
stakeholder categories to be members of
the Committee:

- U.S. Department of Transportation
- Airlines (1 representative each from 2
  large U.S. airlines, 2 large foreign
  airlines, 1 regional U.S. air carrier, 1
  low-cost US air carrier, and 1 charter
  carrier to be determined)
- Cross-disability advocacy groups (2
  representatives to be determined)
- Consumer groups (1 representative to
  be determined)
- Professional associations of flight
  attendants (1 representative to be
determined)
- Advocacy groups for blind and
  visually impaired individuals (2
  representatives to be determined)
- Advocacy Groups representing service
  animal users (1 representative to be
determined)
- Advocacy Groups representing people
  with psychiatric disabilities (1
  representative to be determined)
- Providers, manufactures, or experts of
  IFE products, systems, and services (2
  representatives to be determined)
- Advocacy groups representing deaf
  and hard of hearing individuals (2
  representatives to be determined)
- Academic or non-profit institutions
  having technical expertise in
  accessibility research and
development (2 representatives to be
determined)
- Aircraft manufacturers (2
  representatives to be determined)
- Advocacy groups representing
  individuals with mobility disabilities
  (2 representatives to be determined)

We believe that the aforementioned
stakeholder categories represent the
interests significantly affected by this
rulemaking. Organizations from each
stakeholder category may nominate a
candidate for Committee membership
from their own organization, or jointly
nominate a candidate to represent their
collective interests. The list is not
presented as a complete or exclusive list
of stakeholder categories from which
Committee members will be selected.
The list merely indicates the
stakeholder categories that DOT
tentatively has identified as
representing significantly affected
interests in the proposed rule to
enhance requirements for accessibility
in air transportation. All individuals or
organizations who wish to be selected
to serve on the Committee should submit
an application, regardless of whether
their stakeholder category appears on
the above list. If anyone believes their
interests would not be adequately
represented by one or more of these
categories, they should document that
assertion in their comment and/or their
application for membership on the
Committee. DOT requests comments
and suggestions regarding its tentative
list of significantly affected stakeholder
categories from which potential
members of the Committee may be
selected.

Individuals applying for membership
should keep in mind that Committee
members will be selected to represent
not only the interest of that individual’s
own organization but rather the
collective stakeholder interests of
organizations in the same stakeholder
category. For example, an individual
from a large U.S. airline selected to
serve on the Committee would represent
not only its airline but all large U.S.
airlines. As such, the individual would
be expected to consult with other large
airlines in bringing issues to the table
and making decisions on proposals
before the Committee.

Working groups may be formed to
address the specific ACCESS Advisory
Committee issues. These working
groups will include Committee
members but may also include experts
or representatives who are not
Committee members. Such working
groups will report back to the ACCESS
Advisory Committee. The experience of
past Reg-Negs has been that working
groups play a vital role in the policy
development process and deliberations.
They provide both Committee Members
and non-Members an important
opportunity for meaningful
participation and interaction in
identifying and weighing options and
alternatives. The Department’s Office of
Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings
will provide appropriate funding,
logistics, administrative, and technical
support for the Committee and its
working groups. DOT subject matter
experts, attorneys, and economists will
also provide support to the Committee
and work groups. A Web site for
Committee members, working group
members, and the public to access
online general information, meeting
announcements, agendas, and minutes,
as well as Committee and working
group work products will be established
at https://www.transportation.gov/
airconsumer/accesscommittee.

At this time, we anticipate that the
ACCESS Advisory Committee will have
six two-day meetings in Washington DC.
We propose to hold the meetings on the
following dates: First meeting, May 17–
18; second meeting, June 14–15; third
meeting, July 11–12; fourth meeting,
August 16–17; fifth meeting, September
22–23, and the sixth and final meeting
October 13–14. Individuals interested
in serving on the Committee should plan
to attend each of these meetings in
person. When appropriate, designees
will be permitted.

Process and Deadline for Submitting
Nominations: Organizations and/or
persons who believe they meet the
criteria listed above are invited to apply
for membership on the ACCESS
Advisory Committee to represent the
stakeholder interests of their
organizational category with respect to
the proposed issues. Organizational
applicants should indicate both the
stakeholder category they propose to
represent and the individual from their
organization applying to serve on the
Committee; describe the responsibilities
and qualifications of that person; and
describe the qualifications of any
alternates or professional colleagues
who will be assisting the principal
representative in the process.

Qualified individuals can self-
nominate or be nominated by any
stakeholder or stakeholder organization.
To be considered for the ACCESS
Advisory Committee, nominators should submit the following information:

(1) Name, title, and relevant contact information (including phone and email address) and a description of the issues addressed in this rulemaking that such individual is qualified to address, and the interests such a person shall represent;

(2) A letter of support from a company, union, trade association, or non-profit organization on letterhead containing a brief description why the nominee is qualified and should be considered for membership to the extent the nominee proposes to represent parties with interest in this proceeding;

(3) A written commitment that the applicant or nominee shall actively participate in good faith in the development of the rule under consideration;

(4) Short biography of nominee including professional and academic credentials;

(5) An affirmative statement that the nominee meets all Committee eligibility requirements; and

(6) If applicable, the reason(s) that the parties identified in this notice of intent as affected interests and stakeholders do not adequately represent the interest of the person submitting the application or nomination.

All individuals representing a stakeholder interest who wish to serve on the Reg-Neg Committee should apply for membership by supplying the information listed above. Please do not send company, trade association, or organization brochures or any other information. Materials submitted should total two single-spaced pages or less. Should more information be needed, DOT staff will contact the nominee, obtain information from the nominee’s past affiliations, or obtain information from publicly available sources, such as the Internet. Nominations may be emailed to accesscommittee@dot.gov. Nominations must be received by April 21, 2016.

Nominees selected for appointment to the Committee will be notified of appointment by email. Nominations are open to all individuals without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, mental or physical handicap, marital status, or sexual orientation. To ensure that recommendations to the Secretary take into account the needs of the diverse groups served by DOT, membership shall include, to the extent practicable, individuals with demonstrated ability to represent persons with disabilities, minorities, and women. The Department will file any comments it receives on this notice of intent in docket DOT–OST–2015–0246. Notice to the public will be published in the Federal Register at least 15 days prior to each plenary meeting of the ACCESS Advisory Committee and members of the public will be invited to attend.

Issued under the authority of delegation in 49 CFR 1.27.


Kathryn B. Thomson, General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2016–08062 Filed 4–6–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–9X–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Chapter III

[Docket ID ED–2016–OSERS–0005; CFDA Number: 84.160C.]

Proposed Priority—Training of Interpreters for Individuals Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing and Individuals Who Are Deaf-Blind program

AGENCY: Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services, Department of Education.

ACTION: Proposed priority.

SUMMARY: The Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services announces a priority under the Training of Interpreters for Individuals Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing and Individuals Who Are Deaf-Blind program. The Assistant Secretary may use this priority for competitions in fiscal year 2016 and later years. We take this action to provide training and technical assistance to better prepare novice interpreters to become highly qualified nationally certified sign language interpreters.

DATES: We must receive your comments on or before May 9, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. We will not accept comments submitted by fax or by email or those submitted after the comment period. To ensure that we do not receive duplicate copies, please submit your comments only once. In addition, please include the Docket ID at the top of your comments.

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to www.regulations.gov to submit your comments electronically. Information on using Regulations.gov, including instructions for accessing agency documents, submitting comments, and viewing the docket, is available on the site under the “help” tab.

• Postal Mail, Commercial Delivery, or Hand Delivery: If you mail or deliver your comments about these proposed regulations, address them to Kristen Rhinehart-Fernandez, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 5062, Potomac Center Plaza (PCP), Washington, DC 20202–5076.

Privacy Note: The Department’s policy is to make all comments received from members of the public available for public viewing in their entirety on the Federal eRulemaking Portal at www.regulations.gov. Therefore, commenters should be careful to include in their comments only information that they wish to make publicly available.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristen Rhinehart-Fernandez. Telephone: (202) 245–6103 or by email: Kristen.Rhinehart@ed.gov.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Invitation to Comment: We invite you to submit comments regarding this notice. To ensure that your comments have maximum effect in developing the notice of final priority, we urge you to identify clearly the specific section of the proposed priority that each comment addresses.

We invite you to assist us in complying with the specific requirements of Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 and their overall requirement of reducing regulatory burden that might result from this proposed priority. Please let us know of any further ways we could reduce potential costs or increase potential benefits while preserving the effective and efficient administration of the program.

Specific Issues Open for Comment:

In addition to your general comments and recommended clarifications, we seek input on the proposed design of the Experiential Learning Model Demonstration Center for Novice Interpreters and Baccalaureate Degree ASL-English Interpretation Programs (Center) and expectations for implementation. We are particularly interested in your feedback on the following questions:

• Are the proposed required project activities appropriate? Are there any additional project activities beyond those included in the proposed priority that should be considered? For example, are there any specific activities that may be strongly associated with long-term success for ASL-English interpreters that we have not included? If so, please specify what additional activities should be required and why.