

2015, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. app. 2 section 10(d)), that the portion of the meeting dealing with pre-decisional changes to the Commerce Control List and U.S. export control policies shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 sections 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3). The remaining portions of the meeting will be open to the public.

For more information, call Yvette Springer at (202) 482-2813.

Dated: April 6, 2016.

Yvette Springer,

Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016-08379 Filed 4-11-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-821]

Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from Thailand. The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2014, through July 31, 2015. We preliminarily find that subject merchandise has been sold at less than normal value by K. International Packaging Co., Ltd. (K. International Packaging).¹

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 12, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andre Gziryan, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401

¹ We initiated a review of 45 companies and subsequently rescinded the review with respect to 44 companies pursuant to a timely withdrawal of the request for review. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 60356 (October 6, 2015); see also *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review in Part; 2014-2015*, 80 FR 45952 (August 3, 2015); see also, See the Memorandum from Andre Gziryan to James Maeder titled "Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand: Selection of Respondents for Individual Examination" at footnote 5 for clarification on the company name (K. International Packaging Co., Ltd. is also known as "K. International Packing Co., Ltd.").

Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2201.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order is polyethylene retail carrier bags, which are currently classified under subheading 3923.21.0085 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.²

Tolling of Deadline of Preliminary Results of Review

As explained in the memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the preliminary results of this review is now May 6, 2016.³

Methodology

We have relied on total facts available with respect to K. International Packaging, the sole company subject to this review. Because this company did not act to the best of its ability to respond to the Department's requests for information, we have drawn an adverse inference in selecting from among the facts otherwise available.⁴ We have preliminarily determined to apply a 122.88 percent rate as adverse facts available for K. International Packaging.⁵

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of our review, we preliminarily determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of

² See the Memorandum from Deputy Assistant Secretary Christian Marsh to Acting Assistant Secretary Ronald K. Lorentzen entitled, "Preliminary Decision Memorandum for the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand" dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

³ See Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting A/S for Enforcement & Compliance, regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm Jonas" dated January 27, 2016.

⁴ See sections 776(a) and (b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

⁵ For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

122.88 percent exists for K. International Packaging Co., Ltd. on PRCBs from Thailand for the period August 1, 2014, through July 31, 2015.

Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.⁶ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.⁷

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically *via* ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.⁸ Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. The Department will issue the final results of this administrative and new shipper review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. For the final results, if we continue to rely on total adverse facts available to establish K. International Packaging's weighted-average dumping margin, we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 122.88 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by K. International Packaging.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

publication of the final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of PRCBs from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer has its own rate, the cash deposit rate will be 4.69 percent.⁹ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications to Importer

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

These preliminary results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 6, 2016.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

Summary

Background

Scope of the Order

Discussion of the Methodology

A. Use of Facts Available

B. Application of Facts Available With an Adverse Inference

C. Selection and Corroboration of

Information Used as Facts Available

⁹ See Section 129 Determination.

Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2016-08385 Filed 4-11-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-938]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results Pursuant to Court Decision; 2011

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 30, 2016, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the Department of Commerce's (Department's) final results of redetermination,¹ which recalculated the subsidy rate for RZBC Group Shareholding Co., Ltd., RZBC Co., Ltd., RZBC Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd., and RZBC (Juxian) Co., Ltd. (collectively, RZBC Companies) in the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on citric acid and certain citrate salts (citric acid) from the People's Republic of China for the period January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011,² pursuant to the CIT's remand order in *RZBC Companies v. United States*.³ Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in *Timken*,⁴ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,⁵ the Department is notifying the public that the Court's final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the *Final Results* and that the Department is amending the *Final Results* with respect to the RZBC Companies.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 9, 2016.

¹ See *RZBC Group Shareholding Co., Ltd., RZBC Co., Ltd., RZBC Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd., and RZBC (Juxian) Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Court No. 14-00041 (CIT March 30, 2016) (Court Order affirming remand redetermination) (*RZBC Companies v. United States II*).

² See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*; 2011, 79 FR 108 (January 2, 2014) (*Final Results*) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (Final IDM).

³ See *RZBC Group Shareholding Co., Ltd., RZBC Co., Ltd., RZBC Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd., and RZBC (Juxian) Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Court No. 14-00041, Slip Op. 15-83 (August 5, 2015) (*RZBC Companies v. United States*).

⁴ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

⁵ See *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia M. Tran, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1503.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In the *Final Results*, the Department elected to simple-average all available benchmark data for steam coal, sulfuric acid, and calcium carbonate because they were not reported in a uniform manner.⁶ The CIT remanded for the Department to reevaluate the world benchmarks for steam coal, sulfuric acid, and calcium carbonate subsidies. Specifically, the CIT instructed the Department to consider whether to calculate world-average prices using weighted or simple-averages in light of small-quantity, high-price transactions in the underlying data, and to comply with the mandate to measure the adequacy of remuneration in light of prevailing market conditions in the country subject to review.⁷ The CIT also directed the Department to recalculate the respondents' countervailing duty rate consistent with any reevaluated benchmark prices for steam coal, sulfuric acid, and calcium carbonate.⁸

In its final results of redetermination pursuant to *RZBC Companies v. United States*, the Department reopened and placed on the record in the remand proceeding world benchmark information for steam coal, sulfuric acid, and calcium carbonate. The Department then calculated weighted-average monthly world benchmarks for sulfuric acid and calcium carbonate. For steam coal, we weight-averaged the weightable data⁹ on the record while continuing to utilize the data from other unweightable¹⁰ sources.

On March 30, 2016, the CIT sustained the Department's final results of redetermination pursuant to remand.¹¹

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*, as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in

⁶ See *Final Results* and Final IDM at Comment 13E.

⁷ See *RZBC Companies v. United States*, Slip Op. at 40.

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ Weightable data contains benchmark prices and quantity.

¹⁰ Unweightable data contains only benchmark prices.

¹¹ See *RZBC Companies v. United States II*.