Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1280.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 2, 2015, the Department initiated ¹ and the ITC instituted ² fiveyear ("sunset") reviews of the antidumping duty finding on PSP tape from Italy, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). As a result of its review, the Department determined that revocation of the antidumping duty finding on PSP tape from Italy would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail were the finding revoked.³

On April 8, 2016, the ITC published its determination, pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752(a) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty finding order on PSP tape from Italy would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.⁴

Scope of the Finding

The products covered by the finding are shipments of PSP tape measuring over one and three-eighths inches in width and not exceeding four mils ⁵ in thickness. The above described PSP tape is classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 3919.90.10.20 and 3919.90.50. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Continuation of the Finding

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the antidumping duty finding on PSP tape from Italy would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping, and of material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders

the continuation of the antidumping duty finding on PSP tape from Italy. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of the continuation of the finding will be the date of publication in the Federal **Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of the finding not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return/destruction or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO which may be subject to sanctions.

This five-year (sunset) review and notice are in accordance with section 751(c) and published pursuant to 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: April 8, 2016.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016–08630 Filed 4–13–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-863]

Honey From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014– 2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for December 1, 2014 through November 30, 2015.

DATES: Effective Date: April 14, 2016. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kabir Archuletta, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW.,

Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2593.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 9, 2016, based on a timely request for review on behalf of the American Honey Producers Association and Sioux Honey Association (collectively, "Petitioners"),1 the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC covering the period December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015.2 The review covers three companies: Wuhu Haoyikuai Imp & Emp, Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading, and Shanghai Sha Mei Trade Co., Ltd.³ On March 14, 2016, Petitioners withdrew their requests for an administrative review on all three companies listed in the *Initiation* Notice.4 No other party requested a review of these companies or any other exporters of subject merchandise.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, Petitioners timely withdrew their request of all three companies by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding the administrative review of honey from the PRC for the period December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015, in its entirety.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because the Department is rescinding this administrative review in its entirety, the entries to which this administrative review pertained shall be assessed antidumping duties at rates equal to the

¹ See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review, 80 FR 11164 (March 2, 2015).

² See Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape From Italy; Institution of Five-Year Review, 80 FR 11224 (March 2, 2015).

³ See Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape from Italy: Final Results of Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Finding, 80 FR 39054 (July 8, 2015) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Fourth Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Finding on Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape from Italy (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape From Italy; Determination, 81 FR 20673 (April 8, 2016).

⁵ We note that the Issues and Decision Memorandum incorrectly stated millimeters as the unit of measure. The correct unit of measure is mils.

¹ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the American Honey Producers Association and Sioux Honey Association, "Honey from the People's Republic of China: Request for Administrative Review" (December 31, 2015).

² See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 81 FR 6832 (February 9, 2016) ("Initiation Notice").

з *Id*.

⁴ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Petitioners "Honey from the People's Republic of China: Petitioners Withdrawal of Requests for Administrative Review" (March 14, 2016).

cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, if appropriate.⁵

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 6, 2016.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2016–08631 Filed 4–13–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XE428

Takes of Marine Mammals Incidental to Specified Activities; Taking Marine Mammals Incidental to Russian River Estuary Management Activities

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce

ACTION: Notice; issuance of an incidental harassment authorization.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the regulations implementing the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) as amended, notification is hereby given that NMFS has issued an incidental harassment authorization (IHA) to the Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA) to incidentally harass, by Level B harassment only, three species of marine mammals during estuary management activities conducted at the mouth of the Russian River, Sonoma County, California.

DATES: This IHA is effective for the period of one year, from April 21, 2016, through April 20, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ben Laws, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, (301) 427–8401.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Availability

Electronic copies of SCWA's application and any supporting documents, as well as a list of the references cited in this document, may be obtained by visiting the internet at: www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/permits/ incidental/construction.htm. In the case of problems accessing these documents, please call the contact listed above. NMFS' Environmental Assessment (2010) and associated Finding of No Significant Impact, prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, and NMFS' Biological Opinion (2008) on the effects of Russian River management activities on salmonids, prepared pursuant to the Endangered Species Act, are also available at the same site.

Background

Sections 101(a)(5)(A) and (D) of the MMPA (16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.) direct the Secretary of Commerce to allow, upon request by U.S. citizens who engage in a specified activity (other than commercial fishing) within a specified area, the incidental, but not intentional,

taking of small numbers of marine mammals, providing that certain findings are made and the necessary prescriptions are established.

The incidental taking of small numbers of marine mammals may be allowed only if NMFS (through authority delegated by the Secretary) finds that the total taking by the specified activity during the specified time period will (i) have a negligible impact on the species or stock(s) and (ii) not have an unmitigable adverse impact on the availability of the species or stock(s) for subsistence uses (where relevant). Further, the permissible methods of taking and requirements pertaining to the mitigation, monitoring and reporting of such taking must be set forth.

The allowance of such incidental taking under section 101(a)(5)(A), by harassment, serious injury, death, or a combination thereof, requires that regulations be established. Subsequently, a Letter of Authorization may be issued pursuant to the prescriptions established in such regulations, providing that the level of taking will be consistent with the findings made for the total taking allowable under the specific regulations. Under section 101(a)(5)(D), NMFS may authorize such incidental taking by harassment only, for periods of not more than one year, pursuant to requirements and conditions contained within an IHA. The establishment of these prescriptions requires notice and

opportunity for public comment. NMFS has defined "negligible impact" in 50 CFR 216.103 as ". . . an impact resulting from the specified activity that cannot be reasonably expected to, and is not reasonably likely to, adversely affect the species or stock through effects on annual rates of recruitment or survival." Except with respect to certain activities not pertinent here, section 3(18) of the MMPA defines "harassment" as: ". . . any act of pursuit, torment, or annovance which (i) has the potential to injure a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild [Level A harassment]; or (ii) has the potential to disturb a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild by causing disruption of behavioral patterns, including, but not limited to, migration, breathing, nursing, breeding, feeding, or sheltering [Level B harassment]."

Summary of Request

On January 20, 2016, we received an adequate and complete request from SCWA for authorization of the taking of marine mammals incidental to Russian River estuary management activities in

⁵ The Department confirmed with interested parties that "Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading" is the same company as "Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co., Ltd.," which is under review in an ongoing new shipper review (see Honey from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review, 81 FR 5710 (February 3, 2016); see also Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Petitioners "Honey from the People's Republic of China: Response to the Department's February 25, 2016 Letter" (February 29, 2016)). Accordingly, we will instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation of entries exported by Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co., Ltd., until the conclusion of the new shipper review.