

Excluded from the scope of the investigation are: (1) Welded stainless mechanical tubing, meeting ASTM A-554 or comparable domestic or foreign specifications; (2) boiler, heat exchanger, superheater, refining furnace, feedwater heater, and condenser tubing, meeting ASTM A-249, ASTM A-688 or comparable domestic or foreign specifications; and (3) specialized tubing, meeting ASTM A-269, ASTM A-270 or comparable domestic or foreign specifications.

The subject imports are normally classified in subheadings 7306.40.5005, 7306.40.5040, 7306.40.5062, 7306.40.5064, and 7306.40.5085 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). They may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 7306.40.1010, 7306.40.1015, 7306.40.5042, 7306.40.5044, 7306.40.5080, and 7306.40.5090. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Investigation
- IV. Affiliation and Collapsing
- V. Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures
- VI. Scope of the Investigation
- VII. Discussion of Methodology
 - A. Determination of Comparison Method
 - B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
- VIII. Date of Sale
- IX. Product Comparisons
- X. Export Price and Constructed Export Price
- XI. Normal Value
 - A. Comparison Market Viability
 - B. Affiliated-Party Transactions and Arm's-Length Test
 - C. Level of Trade
 - D. Cost of Production Analysis
 1. Calculation of Cost of Production
 2. Test of Comparison Market Sale Prices
 3. Results of the COP Test
 - E. Calculation of NV Based on Comparison Market Prices
- XII. Currency Conversion
- XIII. Adjustment to Cash Deposit Rates
- XIV. U.S. ITC Notification
- XV. Disclosure and Public Comment
- XVI. Verification
- XVII. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2016-11034 Filed 5-9-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-823]

Silicomanganese From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from India pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).¹ This review covers one company, Universal Ferro and Allied Chemicals Ltd. (Universal). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2014, through April 30, 2015. We preliminarily find no evidence of any reviewable entries, shipments, or sales of subject merchandise by Universal during the POR, and are therefore issuing a preliminary no shipments determination.

DATES: *Effective Date:* May 10, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Lindgren at (202) 482-3870; AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The products subject to the order are all forms, sizes and compositions of silicomanganese, except low-carbon silicomanganese, including silicomanganese briquettes, fines and slag. The silicomanganese subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheading 7202.30.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.² The written description is dispositive.

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 37588 (July 1, 2015) (*Initiation*).

² For a full description of the scope of the order, see Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2014–2015

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum is identical in content.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Based on information Universal submitted after the initiation of this administrative review, and due to the fact that we have not received any information from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) indicating that Universal had entries during the POR, the Department has preliminarily determined that the record evidence indicates that Universal had no reviewable entries during the POR. In addition, the Department finds that it is not appropriate to rescind the review with respect to Universal but, rather, to complete the review and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of review, as is our practice.³

Assessment Rates

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Universal which it did not know were destined for the United States, we instructed CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there was no rate for the intermediate company or companies involved in the transaction.⁴ We intend to issue assessment instructions directly

Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Silicomanganese from India (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with this notice.

³ See, e.g., *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Revoke the Order (in Part)*, 2011–2012, 78 FR 15686 (March 12, 2013) and the accompanying Decision Memorandum at 7 to 8.

⁴ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Disclosure and Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.⁵ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.⁶ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.⁷ Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.⁸ In order to be properly filed, ACCESS must successfully receive an electronically-filed document in its entirety by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the date on which it is due.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.⁹ Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs.

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless extended, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 3, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-11031 Filed 5-9-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-853]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 12, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the sixth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada.¹ The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise: Jungbunzlauer Canada Inc. (JBL Canada).

No interested party commented on the preliminary results and the Department made no changes to the margin calculation for the final results of this review. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results.² The final weighted-average dumping margin for JBL Canada is listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor or Kate Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4007 or (202) 482-4929, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

¹ See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 81 FR 7500 (February 12, 2016) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Decision Memorandum entitled "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada; 2014-2015" (*Preliminary Decision Memorandum*).

² See Preliminary Decision Memorandum and Memorandum to The File, "Preliminary Results Margin Calculation for Jungbunzlauer Canada Inc.," dated February 5, 2016.

Background

The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise: JBL Canada. On February 12, 2016, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of the review. No interested party submitted comments and we made no changes to the margin calculation for the final results of this review. Therefore, the final results are the same as the preliminary results. The Department conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The scope of this order includes all grades and granulation sizes of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate in their unblended forms, whether dry or in solution, and regardless of packaging type. The scope also includes blends of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate; as well as blends with other ingredients, such as sugar, where the unblended form(s) of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate constitute 40 percent or more, by weight, of the blend. The scope of this order also includes all forms of crude calcium citrate, including dicalcium citrate monohydrate, and tricalcium citrate tetrahydrate, which are intermediate products in the production of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate. The scope of this order does not include calcium citrate that satisfies the standards set forth in the United States Pharmacopeia and has been mixed with a functional excipient, such as dextrose or starch, where the excipient constitutes at least 2 percent, by weight, of the product. The scope of this order includes the hydrous and anhydrous forms of citric acid, the dihydrate and anhydrous forms of sodium citrate, otherwise known as citric acid sodium salt, and the monohydrate and monopotassium forms of potassium citrate. Sodium citrate also includes both trisodium citrate and monosodium citrate, which are also known as citric acid trisodium salt and citric acid monosodium salt, respectively. Citric acid and sodium citrate are classifiable under 2918.14.0000 and 2918.15.1000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), respectively. Potassium citrate and crude calcium citrate are classifiable under 2918.15.5000 and 3824.90.9290 of the HTSUS, respectively. Blends that include citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate are classifiable under 3824.90.9290 of the HTSUS. Although

⁵ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii).

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.303.

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).