

and operations if the species were to be listed as “threatened” or “endangered” under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act), in the future.

Strategy

The Strategy was developed by the Service in conjunction with the following State wildlife agencies: Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division of the Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources; Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission; Wildlife Resources Division of the Georgia Department of Natural Resources; and, the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources. The Strategy describes conservation activities designed to protect and enhance habitats for the gopher tortoise on lands that have been permanently protected in the Strategy Area. The focus of the conservation activities would be the areas where significant gopher tortoise populations, as identified through population and habitat analyses conducted by the Service and the State wildlife agencies, could be conserved.

Under the Strategy, the military services would voluntarily agree to undertake specified conservation activities to conserve gopher tortoise populations and habitat within the Strategy Area. The suite of conservation activities that could be performed includes land acquisition for the permanent protection of populations of the species; enhancement, restoration, or maintenance of the species’ habitat via prescribed fire and thinning to maintain forest habitats and control of invasive exotic species; and/or, translocation of gopher tortoises to augment existing populations in permanently protected conservation areas within the Strategy Area.

The military services could generate and accumulate Gopher Tortoise Conservation Credits (GTCCs) for undertaking the conservation activities set forth in the Strategy. Those GTCCs could, in turn, be tendered to the Service to offset impacts to the species from training operations were the gopher tortoise to be federally-listed as “threatened” or “endangered” in the portion of the Strategy Area where the impacts occurred. Pursuant to 50 CFR 402.14 (g)(8), during formal consultation under section 7 of the Act, the Service must “give appropriate consideration to any beneficial actions taken by the Federal agency or applicant, including any actions taken prior to the initiation of consultation.”

We specifically request information, views, and opinions from the public via this notice on the proposed Strategy. We

will evaluate the Strategy under section 7 of the Act as a programmatic action as defined in 50 CFR 402.02. As a framework programmatic action, we have determined that the Strategy qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with the Department of the Interior’s NEPA implementing regulations in Part 46 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations (43 CFR sections 46.205, 46.210, and 46.215). We will conduct independent NEPA evaluations of each project undertaken by the military services under this Strategy as such is proposed.

Public Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Next Steps

Prior to making a final decision on whether to approve the Strategy, we will evaluate the Strategy and fully consider all comments received during the 30-day comment period. We also will conduct an intra-Service section 7 consultation to determine whether the Strategy meets the requirements of section 7 of the Act. If we determine that the requirements are met, we will approve and adopt the Strategy for implementation in accordance with the applicable regulatory requirements, including 50 CFR 402.14(g)(8).

Authority

We provide this notice under section 7 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

Dated: April 20, 2016.

Mike Oetker,

Deputy Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 2016–10939 Filed 5–9–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

[RR04084000, XXXR4081X1, RN.20350010.REG0000]

Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Advisory Council Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Advisory Council (Council) was established by the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–320) (Act) to receive reports and advise Federal agencies on implementing the Act. In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Bureau of Reclamation announces that the Council will meet as detailed below. The meeting of the Council is open to the public.

DATES: The Council will convene the meeting on Wednesday, June 8, 2016, at 1:00 p.m. and adjourn at approximately 5:00 p.m. The Council will reconvene the meeting on Thursday, June 9, 2016, at 8:30 a.m. and adjourn the meeting at approximately 11:00 a.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Castle Peak Room—Keystone Conference Center, 21966 Highway 6, Keystone, Colorado. Send written comments to Mr. Kib Jacobson, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Regional Office, 125 South State Street, Room 8100, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138–1147; telephone (801) 524–3753; facsimile (801) 524–3847; email at: kjacobson@usbr.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kib Jacobson, telephone (801) 524–3753; facsimile (801) 524–3847; email at: kjacobson@usbr.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Any member of the public may file written statements with the Council before, during, or up to 30 days after the meeting either in person or by mail. To the extent that time permits, the Council chairman will allow public presentation of oral comments at the meeting. To allow full consideration of information by Council members, written notice must be provided at least 5 days prior to the meeting. Any written comments received prior to the meeting will be provided to Council members at the meeting.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss and take appropriate actions regarding the following: (1) The Basin States Program created by Public Law

110–246, which amended the Act; (2) responses to the Advisory Council Report; and (3) other items within the jurisdiction of the Council.

Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: April 4, 2016.

Shelly Wisner,

Acting Regional Director, Upper Colorado Region.

[FR Doc. 2016–10202 Filed 5–9–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332–90–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed First Amended Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On May 2, 2016, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed First Amended Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. City of Akron, Ohio, et al.*, Civil Action No. 09–cv–00272.

In this action the United States, and the State of Ohio in a cross-claim, sought civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*, in connection with the City of Akron's ("Akron's" or "City's") operation of its municipal wastewater treatment facility and sewer system. Under the Consent Decree, which was approved by the Court in January 2014, Akron was required to develop and implement a comprehensive plan to address overflows from its combined sewer system and bypasses around secondary treatment at the wastewater treatment facility. That plan, known as the "Long Term Control Plan Update" ("LTCP Update"), which was approved by the United States in November 2011 and the State of Ohio in April 2012, sets forth specific projects that the City is required to implement, and identifies dates for completion of these projects.

The proposed amendment modifies two provisions of the 2014 Consent Decree to take into account new engineering solutions. Both of the affected projects are included in the

approved LTCP Update. The first modification requires that the City expand secondary treatment at its wastewater treatment plant sooner than is required under the current agreement: Under the amended Decree, the City will achieve 220 million gallons/day of secondary treatment capacity by 2019 instead of 2021. In exchange, the City may delay by approximately two years the installation of a biologically enhanced high rate treatment ("BioActiflo") unit at the treatment plant. The City has committed to, and the United States previously approved (under the terms of the Consent Decree itself), an increase in the size of secondary treatment capacity, and an equivalent reduction in the size of the BioActiflo unit.

The second modification eliminates the requirement for the City to construct a mile-and-a-half-long sewer line parallel to an existing interceptor that connects the combined sewer system to the wastewater treatment plant. In place of the parallel sewer, the amendment requires the City to construct a steel reinforced concrete cap along all but a fraction of the existing interceptor sewer line. The cap will be in place by November 2017, the same Achievement of Full Operation date as for the original project.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the First Amended Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. City of Akron, Ohio, et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–3144/2. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the First Amendment to the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed amendment to the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library,

U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$7.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Randall M. Stone,

*Acting Assistant Section Chief,
Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016–10954 Filed 5–9–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105–0099]

United States Marshals Service; Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection Comments Requested; Extension With Change, of a Previously Approved Collection USMS Medical Forms

AGENCY: U.S. Marshals Service, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), U.S. Marshals Service, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until July 11, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Nicole Timmons, U.S. Marshals Service, Washington, DC 20530–0001 (phone: 202–307–5168).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the U.S. Marshals Service, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the