

110–246, which amended the Act; (2) responses to the Advisory Council Report; and (3) other items within the jurisdiction of the Council.

#### Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: April 4, 2016.

**Shelly Wisner,**

*Acting Regional Director, Upper Colorado Region.*

[FR Doc. 2016–10202 Filed 5–9–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4332–90–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed First Amended Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On May 2, 2016, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed First Amended Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. City of Akron, Ohio, et al.*, Civil Action No. 09–cv–00272.

In this action the United States, and the State of Ohio in a cross-claim, sought civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*, in connection with the City of Akron's ("Akron's" or "City's") operation of its municipal wastewater treatment facility and sewer system. Under the Consent Decree, which was approved by the Court in January 2014, Akron was required to develop and implement a comprehensive plan to address overflows from its combined sewer system and bypasses around secondary treatment at the wastewater treatment facility. That plan, known as the "Long Term Control Plan Update" ("LTCP Update"), which was approved by the United States in November 2011 and the State of Ohio in April 2012, sets forth specific projects that the City is required to implement, and identifies dates for completion of these projects.

The proposed amendment modifies two provisions of the 2014 Consent Decree to take into account new engineering solutions. Both of the affected projects are included in the

approved LTCP Update. The first modification requires that the City expand secondary treatment at its wastewater treatment plant sooner than is required under the current agreement: Under the amended Decree, the City will achieve 220 million gallons/day of secondary treatment capacity by 2019 instead of 2021. In exchange, the City may delay by approximately two years the installation of a biologically enhanced high rate treatment ("BioActiflo") unit at the treatment plant. The City has committed to, and the United States previously approved (under the terms of the Consent Decree itself), an increase in the size of secondary treatment capacity, and an equivalent reduction in the size of the BioActiflo unit.

The second modification eliminates the requirement for the City to construct a mile-and-a-half-long sewer line parallel to an existing interceptor that connects the combined sewer system to the wastewater treatment plant. In place of the parallel sewer, the amendment requires the City to construct a steel reinforced concrete cap along all but a fraction of the existing interceptor sewer line. The cap will be in place by November 2017, the same Achievement of Full Operation date as for the original project.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the First Amended Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. City of Akron, Ohio, et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–3144/2. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the First Amendment to the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed amendment to the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library,

U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$7.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Randall M. Stone,**

*Acting Assistant Section Chief,  
Environmental Enforcement Section,  
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016–10954 Filed 5–9–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105–0099]

### United States Marshals Service; Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection Comments Requested; Extension With Change, of a Previously Approved Collection USMS Medical Forms

**AGENCY:** U.S. Marshals Service, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), U.S. Marshals Service, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until July 11, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Nicole Timmons, U.S. Marshals Service, Washington, DC 20530–0001 (phone: 202–307–5168).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the U.S. Marshals Service, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the

- methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
  - Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* USMS Medical Forms

3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form Numbers:

- USM–522A Physician Evaluation Report for USMS Operational Employees
- USM–522P Physician Evaluation Report for USMS Operational Employees—Pregnancy Only
- USM–600 Physical Requirements of USMS District Security Officers
- CSO–012 Request to Reevaluate Court Security Officer's Medical Qualification

Component for all above-listed forms: U.S. Marshals Service.

4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

- USM–522A Physician Evaluation Report for USMS Operational Employees
  - Affected public: Private sector (Physicians)
  - Brief abstract: This form is completed by an USMS operational employee's treating physician to report any illness/injury (other than pregnancy) that requires restriction from full performance of duties for longer than 80 consecutive hours.
- USM–522P Physician Evaluation Report for USMS Operational Employees (Pregnancy Only)
  - Affected public: Private sector (Physicians)
  - Brief abstract: Form USM–522P must be completed by the OB/GYN physician of pregnant USMS operational employees to specify any restrictions from full performance of duties.
- USM–600 Physical Requirements of USMS District Security Officers

Affected public: Private sector (Physicians)

- Brief abstract: It is the policy of the USMS to ensure a law enforcement work force that is medically able to safely perform therequired job functions. All applicants for law enforcement positions must have pre-employment physical examinations; existing District Security Officers (DSOs) must recertify that they are physically fit to perform the duties of their position each year. DSOs are individual contractors, not employees of USMS; Form USM–522 does not apply to DSOs.
- CSO–012 Request to Reevaluate Court Security Officer's Qualification
  - Affected public: Private sector (Physicians)
  - Brief abstract: This form is completed by the Court Security Officer (CSO)'s attending physician to determine whether a CSO is physically able to return to work after an injury, serious illness, or surgery. The physician returns the evaluation to the contracting company, and if the determination is that the CSO may return to work, the CSO–012 is then signed off on by the contracting company and forwarded to the USMS for final review by USMS' designated medical reviewing official. Court Security Officers are contractors, not employees of USMS; Form USM–522A does not apply to CSOs.

5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:*

- USM–522A Physician Evaluation Report for USMS Operational Employees
 

It is estimated that 208 respondents will complete a 20 minute form twice per year.
- USM–522P Physician Evaluation Report for USMS Operational Employees (Pregnancy Only)
 

It is estimated that 7 respondents will complete a 15 minute form twice per year.
- USM–600 Physical Requirements of USMS District Security Officers
 

It is estimated that 2,000 respondents will complete a 20 minute form.
- CSO–012 Request to Reevaluate Court Security Officer's Medical Qualification
 

It is estimated that 300 respondents will complete a 30 minute form.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:*

—USM–522A Physician Evaluation Report for USMS Operational Employees

There are an estimated 139 annual total burden hours associated with this collection.

—USM–522P Physician Evaluation Report for USMS Operational Employees (Pregnancy Only)

There are an estimated 4 annual total burden hours associated with this collection.

—USM–600 Physical Requirements of USMS District Security Officers

There are an estimated 667 annual total burden hours associated with this collection.

—CSO–012 Request to Reevaluate Court Security Officer's Medical Qualification

There are an estimated 150 annual total burden hours associated with this collection.

*Total Annual Time Burden (Hr):* 960.

*If additional information is required contact:* Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: May 5, 2016.

**Jerri Murray,**

*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2016–10936 Filed 5–9–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–04–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Filing of Proposed Settlement Agreement Regarding Environmental Claims in Connection With Army Creek Landfill Site, Blosenski Landfill Site and Delaware Sand and Gravel Site

On May 3, 2016, a proposed Settlement Agreement Regarding Environmental Claims in Connection with Army Creek Landfill Site, Blosenski Landfill Site and Delaware Sand and Gravel Site was filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois, in the proceeding entitled *In re Budd Company, Inc.*, Ch. 11, Bankr. No. 14–11873–JBS.

Under the proposed Settlement Agreement, the debtor, Budd Company, Inc. (“Budd”) will agree to allowed general unsecured claims of (1) \$100,000 for response costs incurred and to be incurred at the Army Creek Landfill Site near New Castle, Delaware;