

minimis, or an importer-(or customer-) specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,¹⁶ we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For Feidong and Ningbo Afa, the respondents which were not selected for individual examination in this administrative review and which qualified for a separate rate, the assessment rate will be equal to the rate calculated for the mandatory respondent in this review (*i.e.*, 1.65 percent).¹⁷

For the final results, if we continue to treat the non-responding mandatory respondents B&R Industries, Newecan, and Superte, as part of the PRC-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 76.45 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by those companies.

The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales database submitted by the company individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if we continue to find that Kehuaxing had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries of subject merchandise from Kehuaxing will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.¹⁸

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then a cash deposit rate of zero will be established for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this

proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity, which is 76.45 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: May 5, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
 - A. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
 - B. Non-Market Economy Country Status
 - C. Separate Rates Determination
 1. Absence of *De Jure* Control
 2. Absence of *De Facto* Control
 3. Separate Rate for Non-Selected Companies
 - D. Companies Preliminarily Considered Part of the PRC-Wide Entity
 1. B&R Industries, Newecan, and Superte
 2. Shunde Foodstuffs, Franke, Grand Hill, Heng's Industries, Hongmao, J&C Industries, MingHao, Ningbo Oulin, and Zoje
 - E. Surrogate Country
 1. Economic Comparability
 2. Significant Producer of Comparable Merchandise
 3. Data Availability
 - F. Date of Sale
 - G. Comparisons to Normal Value
 1. Determination of Comparison Method

2. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
3. Export Price
4. VAT
5. Normal Value
- H. Factor Valuation Methodology
- I. Adjustment Under Section 777A(f) of the Act
- J. Currency Conversion
- V. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2016-11249 Filed 5-11-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-893]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp ("shrimp") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016.

DATES: *Effective Date:* May 12, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kabir Archuleta, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2593.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 7, 2016, based on a timely request for review on behalf of the Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee ("Petitioner")¹ and the American Shrimp Processors Association ("Domestic Processors"),² the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on shrimp from

¹ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee ("AHSTAC") "Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the People's Republic of China: Request for Administrative Reviews" (February 24, 2016).

² See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the American Shrimp Processors Association ("ASPA") "Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order Covering Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the People's Republic of China (POR 11: 02/01/15-01/31/16): American Shrimp Processors Association's Request for an Administrative Review" (February 29, 2016).

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹⁷ See *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 80 FR 26227, 26228 (May 7, 2015); unchanged in *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2014*, 80 FR 69644 (November 10, 2015).

¹⁸ For a full discussion of this practice, see *NME AD Assessment*.

the PRC covering the period February 1, 2015, through January 31, 2016.³ The review covers 74 companies. On April 18, 2016, and April 25, 2016, Petitioner and Domestic Processors withdrew their requests for an administrative review on all companies listed in the *Initiation Notice*.⁴ No other party requested a review of these companies or any other exporters of subject merchandise.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, Petitioner and Domestic Processors timely withdrew their request by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping order on shrimp from the PRC for the period February 1, 2015, through January 31, 2016, in its entirety.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because the Department is rescinding this administrative review in its entirety, the entries to which this administrative review pertained shall be assessed antidumping duties at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, if appropriate.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 20324 (April 7, 2016) (“*Initiation Notice*”).

⁴ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Petitioner “Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the People’s Republic of China: Domestic Processors’ Withdrawal of Review Requests” (April 18, 2016); Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Domestic Processors “Administrative Review of Antidumping Duty Order Covering Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People’s Republic of China: Withdrawal of Review Request on Behalf of the American Shrimp Processors Association” (April 25, 2016).

liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 4, 2016.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2016–11239 Filed 5–11–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–033]

Large Residential Washers From the People’s Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* May 12, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Goldberger at (202) 482–4136 or Brian Smith at (202) 482–1766, Office II, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 5, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the antidumping duty investigation of large residential washers (washing machines) from the People’s Republic of

China (PRC).¹ The notice of initiation stated that the Department, in accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1), would issue its preliminary determination for this investigation, unless postponed, no later than 140 days after the date of the initiation. As explained in the memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government.² All deadlines in this investigation have been extended by four business days.³ The revised deadline for the preliminary determination of this antidumping duty investigation is currently May 31, 2016.⁴

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation is April 1, 2015, through September 30, 2015.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act permits the Department to postpone the time limit for the preliminary determination if it receives a timely request from the petitioner for postponement. The Department may postpone the preliminary determination under section 733(c)(1) of the Act no later than 190 days after the date on which the administering authority initiates an investigation.

On May 2, 2016, Whirlpool Corporation (the petitioner), made a timely request pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 1673(c)(1) and 19 CFR 351.205(e) for a 50-day postponement of the preliminary determination in this investigation.⁵ The petitioner stated that a postponement is necessary given the unprecedented number of factors of production that need to be accurately classified and valued, and the amount of

¹ See *Large Residential Washers From the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation*, 81 FR 1398 (January 12, 2016).

² See Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting A/S for Enforcement and Compliance, “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm Jonas” (January 27, 2016).

³ *Id.*

⁴ Where the deadline falls on a weekend/holiday, the appropriate date is the next business day. Because the deadline for the preliminary determination of this antidumping duty investigation is Monday, May 30, 2016, a federal holiday, the appropriate date is the next business day, Tuesday, May 31, 2016.

⁵ See Letter from the petitioner, “Large Residential Washers from the People’s Republic of China: Petitioner’s Request for Extension of the Preliminary Determination” (May 2, 2016).