

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77813; File No. SR-BatsEDGX-2016-15]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Related to Fees as They Apply to the Equity Options Platform

May 11, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on May 2, 2016, Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated the proposed rule change as one establishing or changing a member due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,⁴ which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend the fee schedule applicable to Members⁵ and non-members of the Exchange pursuant to EDGX Rules 15.1(a) and (c).

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s Web site at www.batstrading.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these

statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its fee schedule for its equity options platform (“EDGX Options”) to: (1) Modify an existing tier and add a new tier to its existing tiered pricing structure; and (2) simplify the Exchange’s routing fees, as further described below.

Tiered Pricing Changes

The Exchange currently offers two pricing tiers under footnotes 1 and 2 of the fee schedule, Customer Volume Tiers and Market Maker Volume Tiers, respectively. Under the tiers, Members that achieve certain volume criteria may qualify for reduced fees or enhanced rebates for Customer⁶ and Market Maker⁷ orders. The Exchange proposes to modify Customer Volume Tier 6 under footnote 1 and to add an additional Market Maker Volume Tier under footnote 2, as further described below.

Fee code PC and NC are currently appended to all Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities⁸ and Non-Penny Pilot Securities,⁹ respectively, and result in a standard rebate of \$0.01 per contract. The Customer Volume Tiers in footnote 1 consist of six separate tiers, each providing an enhanced rebate to a Member’s Customer orders that yield fee codes PC or NC upon satisfying monthly volume criteria required by the respective tier. For instance, pursuant to Customer Volume Tier 1, the lowest volume tier, a Member will receive a rebate of \$0.05 per contract where the

Member has an ADV¹⁰ in Customer orders equal to or greater than 0.10% of average TCV.¹¹

Pursuant to Customer Volume Tier 6, a Member currently will receive a rebate of \$0.21 per contract where: (1) The Member has an ADV in Customer orders equal to or greater than 0.25% of average TCV; and (2) the Member has an ADV in Market Maker orders equal to or greater than 0.25% of average TCV. In order to encourage the entry of additional orders to the Exchange, Exchange proposes to modify Customer Volume Tier 6 to reduce the criteria necessary to qualify. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to provide the same rebate, \$0.21 per contract, as it currently provides for Customer Volume Tier 6, but to provide such rebate where: (1) The Member has an ADV in Customer orders equal to or greater than 0.20% of average TCV; and (2) the Member has an ADV in Market Maker orders equal to or greater than 0.15% of average TCV. The Exchange believes that this change will make Customer Volume Tier 6 more attainable for additional Members.

Fee code PM and NM are currently appended to all Market Maker orders in Penny Pilot Securities and Non-Penny Pilot Securities, respectively, and result in a standard fee of \$0.19 per contract. The Market Maker Volume Tiers in footnote 2 consist of six separate tiers, each providing a reduced fee or rebate to a Member’s Market Maker orders that yield fee codes PM or NM upon satisfying monthly volume criteria required by the respective tier. For instance, pursuant to Market Maker Volume Tier 1, the lowest volume tier, a Member will pay a reduced fee of \$0.16 per contract where the Member has an ADV in Market Maker orders equal to or greater than 0.05% of average TCV. Pursuant to Market Maker Volume Tier 6, the highest volume tier, a Member will receive a rebate of \$0.01 per contract where the Member has an ADV in Market Maker orders equal to or greater than 1.10% of average TCV.

In addition to the change to the qualifying criteria for Customer Volume Tier 6 set forth above, the Exchange proposes to adopt a new Market Maker Volume Tier with the same criteria as amended Customer Volume Tier 6. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to

⁶ The term “Customer” applies to any transaction identified by a Member for clearing in the Customer range at the Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”), excluding any transaction for a Broker Dealer or a “Professional” as defined in Exchange Rule 16.1.

⁷ The term “Market Maker” applies to any transaction identified by a Member for clearing in the Market Maker range at the OCC, where such Member is registered with the Exchange as a Market Maker as defined in Rule 16.1(a)(37).

⁸ The term “Penny Pilot Security” applies to those issues that are quoted pursuant to Exchange Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.

⁹ The term “Non-Penny Pilot Security” applies to those issues that are not Penny Pilot Securities quoted pursuant to Exchange Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.

¹⁰ “ADV” means average daily volume calculated as the number of contracts added or removed, combined, per day.

¹¹ “TCV” means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges to the consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply, excluding volume on any day that the Exchange experiences an Exchange System Disruption and on any day with a scheduled early market close.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

⁵ The term “Member” is defined as “any registered broker or dealer that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange.” See Exchange Rule 1.5(n).

adopt Market Maker Volume Tier 7, providing a reduced fee of \$0.10 per contract where: (1) The Member has an ADV in Customer orders equal to or greater than 0.20% of average TCV; and (2) the Member has an ADV in Market Maker orders equal to or greater than 0.15% of average TCV. As with all other fees and rebates pursuant to footnote 2, the fee would be charged for transactions yielding fee code PM and NM.

The Exchange notes that the reduced fee of \$0.10 per contract is the same fee as Market Maker Volume Tier 3, which is provided where the Member has an ADV in Market Maker orders equal to or greater than 0.20% of average TCV. By introducing Tier 7, the Exchange is providing an additional mechanism for a Member to achieve this reduced fee. The Exchange also notes that the proposed fee and associated criteria are intended to encourage the entry of both Customer orders and Market Maker orders by providing a hybrid tier that rewards the entry of both. Although the qualifying criteria includes Customer orders, as noted above, the proposed reduced fee of \$0.10 per contract would only be awarded to a Member's Market Maker orders that yield fee codes PM or NM upon satisfying the monthly volume criteria (and not such Member's Customer orders). However, as noted above, because the criteria are the same, a Member qualifying for Market Maker Volume Tier 7 would also qualify for Customer Volume Tier 6, and thus would be entitled to enhanced rebates for such Member's Customer orders.

In addition to the changes described above, the Exchange proposes to add the phrase "of average TCV" to the end of the criteria for existing Market Making Volume Tiers 1 through 6. Although the filing initially adopting such tiers did include the language in describing the applicable criteria, the Exchange believes that such language is appropriate for the fee schedule. This change would ensure that the language of footnote 2 is consistent with footnote 1, which does include this phrase in each Tier's criteria description. The Exchange also proposes to change all references to "Customer Orders" to "Customer orders" and from "Market Maker Orders" to "Market Maker orders" throughout footnote 1 and footnote 2. These changes will also ensure consistency on the fee schedule with respect to the word "order", which is not contained in any of the defined terms on the fee schedule.

Routing Fees

The Exchange proposes to modify the fees charged for orders routed away

from the Exchange and executed at various away options exchanges. The Exchange currently has specific rates and associated fee codes for each away options exchange.¹² Such rates are further divided at each options exchange into either two categories in order to differentiate between Customer and Non-Customer¹³ orders or into four categories in order to differentiate between Customer and Non-Customer orders and then into Penny Pilot Securities and Non-Penny Pilot Securities.¹⁴ In order to simplify routing fees for executions at away options exchanges, the Exchange proposes to charge flat rates for routing to other options exchanges that have been placed into groups based on the approximate cost of routing to such venues. The grouping of away options exchanges is based on the cost of transaction fees assessed by each venue as well as costs to the Exchange for routing (*i.e.*, clearing fees, connectivity and other infrastructure costs, membership fees, etc.) (collectively, "Routing Costs"). To address different fees at various other options exchanges, the Exchange proposes to adopt five different fees and associated fee codes applicable to routing to away options exchanges, as further described below.

With respect to Non-Customer orders, the Exchange proposes to adopt two fee codes: (1) Fee code RN, which would result in a fee of \$0.85 per contract and would apply to all Non-Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities; and (2) fee code RO, which would result in a fee of \$1.20 per contract and would apply to all Non-Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities. The Exchange notes that the current range of fees applicable to Non-Customer orders routed to other options exchanges is from \$0.60 per contract (fee code RF, applicable to Non-Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities executed at BZX Options) to \$1.25 per contract (fee code QG, applicable to Non-Customer orders executed at NOM in Non-Penny Pilot Securities).

¹² Other options exchanges to which the Exchange routes include: Bats BZX Exchange, Inc. ("BZX Options"), BOX Options Exchange LLC ("BOX"), Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE"), C2 Options Exchange, Inc. ("C2"), International Securities Exchange, Inc. ("ISE"), ISE Gemini, LLC ("ISE Gemini"), ISE Mercury, LLC ("ISE Mercury"), Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC ("MIAX") Nasdaq Options Market LLC ("NOM"), Nasdaq OMX BX LLC ("BX Options"), Nasdaq OMX PHLX LLC ("PHLX"), NYSE Arca, Inc. ("ARCA"), and NYSE MKT LLC ("AMEX").

¹³ The term "Non-Customer" applies to any transaction that is not a Customer order.

¹⁴ The Exchange notes that it still applies a single rate for order routed to and executed at the newest options exchange, ISE Mercury.

With respect to Customer orders, the Exchange proposes to adopt three fee codes: (1) Fee code RP, which would result in a fee of \$0.25 per contract and would apply to all Customer orders routed to and executed at AMEX, BOX, BX Options, CBOE, ISE Mercury, MIAX or PHLX; (2) fee code RQ, which would result in a fee of \$0.70 per contract and would apply to all Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities routed to and executed at ARCA, BZX Options, C2, ISE, ISE Gemini or NOM; and (3) fee code RR, which would result in a fee of \$0.90 per contract and would apply to all Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities routed to and executed at ARCA, BZX Options, C2, ISE, ISE Gemini or NOM. The Exchange notes that the current range of fees applicable to Customer orders routed to other options exchanges is from no charge per contract (fee code BD, applicable to Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities executed at BX Options) to \$0.94 per contract (fee code RD, applicable to Customer orders executed at BZX Options in Non-Penny Pilot Securities).¹⁵

As a general matter, the groupings described above in most instances attempt to differentiate between the Routing Costs applicable to either executions of orders in Penny Pilot Securities versus those in Non-Penny Pilot Securities or between fee ranges typical of exchanges that operate primarily a maker/taker or price/time market model (generally imposing higher fees, including for Customer orders) versus exchanges that operate primarily a pro rata or customer priority market model (generally imposing lower fees, especially for Customer orders).

As set forth above, the Exchange's proposed approach to routing fees is to set forth in a simple manner certain flat fees that approximate the cost of routing to other options exchanges. The Exchange will then monitor the fees charged as compared to the costs of its routing services, as well as monitoring for specific fee changes by other options exchanges, and intends to adjust its flat routing fees and/or groupings to ensure that the Exchange's fees do indeed result in a rough approximation of overall Routing Costs, and are not significantly higher or lower in any area. Although there may be instances where the Exchanges [sic] fee to a particular options exchange is indeed significantly higher than the fee charged by such

¹⁵ The Exchange again notes that it currently applies a single rate for orders routed to and executed at the newest options exchange, ISE Mercury. As such, Customer orders execute at ISE Mercury technically pay the highest rate today, a fee of \$0.99 per contract.

options exchange, the Exchange believes that this is appropriate for several reasons discussed in further detail below, including the simplicity that it will provide Users of the Exchange's routing services.

Implementation Date

The Exchange proposes to implement these amendments to its fee schedule immediately.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of Section 6 of the Act.¹⁶ Specifically, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,¹⁷ in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls.

The Exchange believes its proposed fees and rebates pursuant to the tiered pricing structure are reasonable, fair and equitable, and non-discriminatory. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants may readily send order flow to many competing venues if they deem fees at the Exchange to be excessive. As a new options exchange, the proposed fee structure remains intended to attract order flow to the Exchange by offering market participants a competitive yet simple pricing structure. At the same time, the Exchange believes it is reasonable to incrementally adopt incentives intended to help to contribute to the growth of the Exchange.

Volume-based rebates such as those currently maintained on the Exchange have been widely adopted by options exchanges and are equitable because they are open to all Members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to the value of an exchange's market quality associated with higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns, and introduction of higher volumes of orders into the price and volume discovery processes. The proposed modification to the Customer Volume Tier and the proposed addition of Market Maker Volume Tier 7 is each intended to incentivize Members to

send additional Customer orders and Market Maker orders to the Exchange in an effort to qualify for the enhanced rebate or lower fee made available by the tiers.

The Exchange believes that the proposed tiers are reasonable, fair and equitable, and non-discriminatory, for the reasons set forth above with respect to volume-based pricing generally and because such changes will incentivize participants to further contribute to market quality. The proposed tiers will provide an additional way for market participants to qualify for enhanced rebates or reduced fees. The Exchange also believes that the proposed tiered pricing structure is consistent with pricing previously offered by the Exchange as well as other options exchanges and does not represent a significant departure from such pricing structures.¹⁸

With respect to the proposed routing structure, the Exchange again notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues or providers of routing services if they deem fee levels to be excessive. As explained above, the Exchange proposes to approximate the cost of routing to other options exchanges, including other applicable costs to the Exchange for routing, in order to provide a simplified and easy to understand pricing model. The Exchange believes that a pricing model based on approximate Routing Costs is a reasonable, fair and equitable approach to pricing. Specifically, the Exchange believes that its proposal to modify fees is fair, equitable and reasonable because the fees are generally an approximation of the cost to the Exchange for routing orders to such exchanges. The Exchange believes that its flat fee structure for orders routed to various venues is a fair and equitable approach to pricing, as it will provide certainty with respect to execution fees at groups of away options exchanges. In order to achieve its flat fee structure, taking all costs to the Exchange into account, the Exchange will necessarily charge a higher premium to route to certain options exchanges than to others. As a general matter, the Exchange believes that the proposed fees will allow it to recoup and cover its costs of providing routing services to such exchanges and to make

some additional profit in exchange for the services it provides. The Exchange also believes that the proposed fee structure for orders routed to and executed at these away options exchanges is fair and equitable and not unreasonably discriminatory in that it applies equally to all Members. Finally, the Exchange notes that it intends to consistently evaluate its routing fees, including profit and loss attributable to routing, as applicable, in connection with the operation of a flat fee routing service, and would consider future adjustments to the proposed pricing structure to the extent it was recouping a significant profit or loss from routing to away options exchanges.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes the proposed amendments to its fee schedule would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Rather, the proposal is a competitive proposal that is seeking to further the growth of the Exchange and to simplify the Exchange's fees for routing orders to away options exchanges. With respect to the tiered pricing changes, the Exchange has structured the proposed fees and rebates to attract additional volume in Market Maker and Customer orders, however, the Exchange believes that its pricing for all capacities is competitive with that offered by other options exchanges. With respect to the proposed routing fee structure, the Exchange believes that the proposed fees are competitive in that they will provide a simple approach to routing pricing that some Members may favor. Additionally, Members may opt to disfavor the Exchange's pricing, including pricing for transactions on the Exchange as well as routing fees, if they believe that alternatives offer them better value. In particular, with respect to routing services, such services are available to Members from other broker-dealers as well as other options exchanges. The Exchange also notes that Members may choose to mark their orders as ineligible for routing to avoid incurring routing fees.¹⁹ Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed change will impair the ability of Members or competing venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

¹⁸ See, e.g., Bats BZX Options Fee Schedule, Footnote 1, Customer Add Volume Tier 5, which provides an enhanced rebate to Customer orders on BZX Options based on both Customer volume and Market Maker volume. The BZX Options Fee Schedule is available at: http://www.batsoptions.com/suppo/fee_schedule/bzx/.

¹⁹ See Exchange Rule 21.1(d)(7) (describing "Book Only" orders) and Exchange Rule 21.9(a)(1) (describing the Exchange's routing process, which requires orders to be designated as available for routing).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any written comments from members or other interested parties.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act²⁰ and paragraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.²¹ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-BatsEDGX-2016-15 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-BatsEDGX-2016-15. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the

Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-BatsEDGX-2016-15, and should be submitted on or before June 7, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²²

Robert W. Errett,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-11543 Filed 5-16-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77814; File No. SR-NYSEMKT-2016-50]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE MKT LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Change Modifying the NYSE Amex Options Fee Schedule

May 11, 2016.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on April 28, 2016, NYSE MKT LLC (the "Exchange" or "NYSE MKT") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to modify the NYSE Amex Options Fee Schedule

("Fee Schedule"). The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective May 2, 2016. The proposed change is available on the Exchange's Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this filing is to modify the definition of a Firm Facilitation trade to include Broker-Dealers, which would be consistent with the treatment of such transactions on another options market. The Exchange proposes to implement the change effective on May 2, 2016.

The current Fee Schedule defines a "Firm Facilitation" trade as "a Manual trade that is executed in open outcry, in which one counterparty clears in the Firm range at the OCC, the other counterparty clears in the Customer range at the OCC, and both counterparties have the same Clearing Member symbol or identification."⁴ Firm Facilitation trades are not subject to transaction charges and are only subject to Royalty Fees.⁵

The Exchange proposes to modify the definition of Firm Facilitation to include Manual trades clearing in the

⁴ See Fee Schedule, Terms and Definitions, available here, https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/amex-options/NYSE_Amex_Options_Fee_Schedule.pdf.

⁵ See *id.*, Fee Schedule, sections I. A. and B. (providing that Firm Facilitation trades are executed at the rate of \$0.00 per contract) and K. (providing that Firm Facilitation trades are subject to Royalty Fees). The Exchange notes that Royalty Fees (or license fees) apply to certain classes of options and such fees are passed-on by the Exchange to the actual participants executing the trade.

²² 15 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).