The Department’s procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: May 24, 2016.
Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for July 2016

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in July 2016 and will appear in that month’s Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Review (“Sunset Review”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</th>
<th>Department contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the Republic of Korea (A–580–834) (3rd Review)</td>
<td>Da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Nitrate from Russia (A–821–811) (3rd Review)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from South Africa (A–791–805) (3rd Review)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Taiwan (A–583–830) (3rd Review)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Taiwan (A–583–831) (3rd Review)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</th>
<th>Department contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the Republic of Korea (C–580–835) (3rd Review)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from South Africa (C–791–806) (3rd Review)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspended Investigations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in July 2016.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Department published the Preliminary Determination on January 4, 2016.
responses submitted to the record of this final determination, and accompanying discussion and analysis of all comments timely received, see the Final Scope Decision Memorandum. The Final Scope Decision Memorandum is incorporated by, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Final Decision Memorandum accompanying this notice. A list of the issues raised and to which the Department responded is attached to this notice as Appendix I.

**Verification**

As provided in section 782(l) of the Act, in January 2016, the Department verified the sales and cost data reported by the mandatory respondent Yieh Phui (China) Technomaterial Co., Ltd. (Yieh Phui), pursuant to section 782(l) of the Act. We used standard verification procedures, including an examination of relevant accounting and production records, and original source documents provided by respondents.

**Changes to the Margin Calculations Since the Preliminary Determination**

Based on the Department’s analysis of the comments received and our findings at verification, we made certain changes to our margin calculations. For a discussion of these changes, see the Final Decision Memorandum.

**Combination Rates**

As stated in the Initiation Notice, the Department calculated combination rates for the respondents that are eligible for a separate rate in this investigation. Policy Bulletin 05.1 describes this practice.

**Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, in Part**

Prior to the Preliminary Determination, the Department found that critical circumstances exist with respect to imports of corrosion-resistant steel from the PRC produced or exported by the PRC-wide entity (which, as noted below, includes Hebei Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. (Tangshan Branch) (Tangshan) and Baoshan Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. (Baoshan)). We are not modifying our findings for this final determination. Thus, pursuant to section 735(a)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.206(h)(1)–(2), we find that critical circumstances exist with respect to subject merchandise produced or exported by the PRC-wide entity, but do not exist for Yieh Phui and the other producers/exporters entitled to a separate rate.

**Separate Rate**

Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the rate for all other companies that have not been individually examined is normally an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and de minimis margins, and any margins determined entirely on the basis of facts available. In this final determination, we calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for Yieh Phui (the only cooperating mandatory respondent) which is not zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available. Accordingly, we determine to use Yieh Phui’s weighted-average dumping margin as the margin for the separate rate companies.

**PRC-Wide Rate**

In our Preliminary Determination, we found that the PRC-wide entity, which includes Baoshan, Tangshan, and other PRC exporters and/or producers that did not respond to the Department’s requests for information, failed to provide necessary information, withheld information requested by the Department, failed to provide information in a timely manner, and significantly impeded this proceeding by not submitting the requested information. As a result, we preliminarily determined to calculate the PRC-wide rate on the basis of adverse facts available (AFA). We examined whether the highest petition margin was less than or equal to the...
highest calculated margin, and
determined that the highest calculated
margin of 255.80 percent was the higher
of the two. Thus, for the Preliminary
Determination, we assigned to the PRC-
wide entity a dumping margin of 255.80
percent, the highest calculated margin.
This rate was Yieh Phui’s preliminary
calculated margin. For this final
determination, Yieh Phui’s calculated
margin changed to 209.97 percent, and
it is still the highest calculated margin.

Consistent with our practice, the
Department selected Yieh Phui’s highest
calculated margin, as AFA, because this
rate is higher than the highest petition
rate in this investigation and therefore,
sufficiently adverse to serve the
purposes of facts available.10 Therefore,
we assigned this rate to the PRC-wide
entity for this final determination.
Furthermore, there is no need to
corroborate the selected margin because
it is based on information submitted by
Yieh Phui in the course of this
investigation, i.e., it is not secondary
information.11

Final Determination Margins

The Department determines that the
following weighted-average dumping
margins, and cash deposit rates
reflecting adjustments to the weighted-
average dumping margins to account for
export subsidies exist:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Producer</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
<th>Cash deposit rate (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yieh Phui (China) Technomaterial Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>Yieh Phui (China) Technomaterial Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>209.97</td>
<td>199.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangyin Zongcheng Steel Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>Jiangyin Zongcheng Steel Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>209.97</td>
<td>199.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Steel China</td>
<td>Union Steel China</td>
<td>209.97</td>
<td>199.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-Wide Entity</td>
<td></td>
<td>209.97</td>
<td>199.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As detailed in the Preliminary
Decision Memorandum, Baoshan and
Tangshan, mandatory respondents in
this investigation, did not respond to
our questionnaire and, thus, did not
demonstrate that they were entitled to
separate rates. We continue to find these
companies to be part of the PRC-Wide Entity. Furthermore, because we did not
receive quantity and value questionnaire responses or separate rate applications from numerous companies,
the PRC-wide entity also includes these
non-responsive companies.13

Disclosure

We intend to disclose to parties in
this proceeding the calculations
performed for this final determination
within five days of the date of public
announcement of our final
determination, in accordance with 19
CFR 351.224(b).

Continuation of Suspension of
Liquidation

Pursuant to section 735(c)(1)(B) of the
Act, the Department will instruct U.S.
Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to
continue to suspend liquidation of all
entries of corrosion-resistant steel from
the PRC, which were entered, or
withdrawn from warehouse, for
consumption on or after October 6, 2015
(for those entities for which we found
critical circumstances exist) or on or
after January 4, 2016, the date of
publication in the Federal Register of
the affirmative Preliminary
Determination (for all entities for which
we did not find critical circumstances exist). Further, pursuant to section
735(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act, the
Department will instruct CBP to require
a cash deposit14 equal to the weighted-
average amount by which the normal
value exceeds U.S. price, adjusted
where appropriate for export
subsidies,15 as follows: (1) For the
exporter/producer combinations listed
in the table above, the cash deposit rate
will be equal to the dumping margin
which the Department determined in
this final determination; (2) for all
combinations of PRC exporters/
producers of merchandise under
consideration which have not received
their own separate rate above, the cash
deposit rate will be equal to the
dumping margin established for the
PRC-wide entity; and (3) for all non-PRC
exporters of merchandise under
consideration which have not received
their own separate rate above, the cash
deposit rate will be equal to the
cash deposit rate applicable to the PRC
exporter/producer combination that
supplied that non-PRC exporter.

The suspension of liquidation instructions
will remain in effect until further notice.

As noted above, where the product
under investigation is also subject to a
concurrent countervailing duty
investigation, we instruct CBP to require
a cash deposit less the amount of the
countervailing duty determined to
constitute any export subsidies.16

Therefore, in the event that a
countervailing duty order is issued and
suspension of liquidation is resumed in
the companion countervailing duty
investigation on corrosion-resistant steel
from the PRC, the Department will
instruct CBP to require cash deposits
adjusted by the amount of export
subsidies, as appropriate. These
adjustments are reflected in the final
column of the rate chart, above. Until
such suspension of liquidation is
resumed in the companion
countervailing duty investigation, and
so long as suspension of liquidation
continues under this antidumping duty
investigation, the cash deposit rates for
this antidumping duty investigation will
be the rates identified in the weighted-
average margin column in the rate chart,
above.

International Trade Commission
Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of
the Act, we will notify the U.S.
International Trade Commission (ITC) of
our final determination. As our final
determination is affirmative, in
accordance with section 735(b)(2) of the

---

10 See Final Decision Memorandum for a detailed discussion.
11 See 19 CFR 351.308(c) and (d) and section 776(c) of the Act.
12 See “Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation” section below.
13 See Memorandum to the File, “Quantity and Value Questionnaire Recipients” (July 16, 2015).
14 See Modification of Regulations Regarding the Practice of Accepting Bonds During the Provisional Measures Period in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations, 76 FR 61042 (October 3, 2011).
15 See section 772(f)(1)(C) of the Act.
16 In the companion countervailing duty (CVD) investigation, the Department preliminarily found that Yieh Phui did not receive export subsidies. As a result, we did not adjust any of the companies’ AD cash deposit rates for export subsidies. In the concurrent final CVD investigation, we determined that the Yieh Phui did receive export subsidies. In addition, pursuant to section 777A(i) of the Act, we normally adjust preliminary cash deposit rates for estimated domestic subsidy pass-through, where appropriate. However, in this case in the Preliminary Determination, we did not grant a domestic subsidy pass-through adjustment. See Preliminary Decision Memorandum. We received no comments on this issues, and we have not changed this decision for this final determination.
Act, the ITC will determine within 45 days whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation of the subject merchandise. If the ITC determines that such injury exists, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess, upon further instruction by the Department, antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

**Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders**

In the event the ITC issues a final negative injury determination, this notice will serve as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction. We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 24, 2016.

Paul Piquado,  
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

**Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Final Decision Memorandum**

I. Summary  
II. Background  
III. Final Determination of Critical Circumstances, in Part  
IV. Scope Comments  
V. Scope of the Investigation  
VI. Changes Since the Preliminary Determinations  
VII. Use of Adverse Facts Available  
VIII. Discussion of the Issues  
Comment 1: Ocean Freight Surrogate Value  
Comment 2: Byproduct Offset  
Comment 3: Hot-Rolled Steel Surrogate Value  
Comment 4: Surrogate Financial Ratios  
IX. Recommendation

**Appendix II—Scope of the Investigation**

The products covered by this investigation are certain flat-rolled steel products, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished, laminated, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. The products covered include coils that have a width of 12.7 mm or greater, regardless of form of coil (e.g., in successively superimposed layers, spirally oscillating, etc.). The products covered also include: products not in coils (e.g., in straight lengths) of a thickness less than 4.75 mm and a width that is 12.7 mm or greater and that measures at least 10 times the thickness. The products covered also include products not in coils (e.g., in straight lengths) of a thickness of 4.75 mm or more and a width exceeding 150 mm and measuring at least twice the thickness. The products described above may be rectangular, square, circular, or other shape and include products of either rectangular or non-rectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process, i.e., products which have been “worked after rolling” (e.g., products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges). For purposes of the width and thickness requirements referenced above:

1. Where the nominal and actual measurement vary, a product is within the scope if application of either the nominal or actual measurement would place it within the scope based on the definitions set forth above, and
2. Where the width and thickness vary for a specific product (e.g., the thickness of certain products with non-rectangular cross-section, the width of certain products with non-rectangular shape, etc.), the measurement at its greatest width or thickness applies.

Steel products included in the scope of this investigation are products in which:

1. Iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements; and
2. The carbon content is 2 percent or less, by weight; and
3. None of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity, by weight, respectively:
   - 2.50 percent of manganese, or
   - 3.30 percent of silicon, or
   - 1.50 percent of copper, or
   - 1.50 percent of aluminum, or
   - 1.25 percent of chromium, or
   - 0.30 percent of cobalt, or
   - 0.40 percent of lead, or
   - 2.00 percent of nickel, or
   - 0.30 percent of tungsten (also called wolfram), or
   - 0.80 percent of molybdenum, or
   - 0.10 percent of niobium (also called columbium), or
   - 0.30 percent of vanadium, or
   - 0.30 percent of zirconium

Unless specifically excluded, products are included in this scope regardless of levels of boron and titanium.

For example, specifically included in this scope are vacuum degassed, fully stabilized (commonly referred to as interstitial-free (IF)) steels and high strength low alloy (HSLA) steels. IF steels are recognized as low carbon steels and high strength low alloy (HSLA) steels. IF steels are recognized as low carbon steels and high strength low alloy (HSLA) steels. HSLA steels are recognized as steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as titanium and/or niobium added to stabilize carbon and nitrogen elements. HSLA steels are recognized as steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as chromium, copper, nickel, titanium, vanadium, and molybdenum. Furthermore, this scope also includes Advanced High Strength Steels (AHSS) and Ultra High Strength Steels (UHSS), both of which are considered to have high tensile strength and high elongation steels.

Subject merchandise also includes corrosion-resistant steel that has been further processed in a third country, including but not limited to annealing, tempering, painting, varnishing, trimming, cutting, punching and/or slitting or any other processing that would not otherwise remove the merchandise from the scope of the investigation. Steel products included in this scope are products of flat-rolled steel products less than 4.75 mm in composite thickness that consist of a flat-rolled steel product clad on both sides with stainless steel in a 20%-60%-20% ratio.

The products subject to the investigation are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7210.30.0030, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.49.0091, 7210.49.0095, 7210.61.0000, 7210.69.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.0000, 7212.20.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000, 7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, and 7212.60.0000.

The products subject to the investigation may also enter under the following HTSUS item numbers: 7210.90.1000, 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.3000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.20.1500, 7217.30.1530, 7217.30.1560, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030, 7217.90.5060, 7217.90.5090, 7225.91.0000, 7225.92.0000, 7225.99.0090, 7226.99.0110, 7226.99.0130, 7226.99.0180, 7228.60.6000, 7228.60.8000, and 7229.90.1000.

The HTSUS subheadings above are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.