detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

(1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,

(2) Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),

(3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and

(4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.13 [Amended]

1. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):


(a) Comments Due Date

We must receive comments by July 18, 2016.

(b) Affected ADs

None.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to The Boeing Company Model 787–8 airplanes, certificated in any category, as identified in Boeing Service Bulletin B787–81205–SB3S30025–00, Issue 001, dated July 17, 2014.

(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 53; Fuselage.

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by a report that the grounding jumper between the environmental control system (ECS) bracket and the current return network (CRN) strap near passenger 1 left and 1 right entry doors was not bonded correctly during manufacturing. We are issuing this AD to prevent an incorrectly bonded jumper between the ECS bracket and the CRN strap, which does not provide proper grounding to the door frames at door 1 left and 1 right. If a fault occurs, an electrical shock hazard can exist to passengers and flight crew and could result in personal or fatal injury.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Grounding Jumper Revision

Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD: Change the configuration of the grounding jumpers connecting the ECS brackets and CRN straps, including measuring the bond resistance and doing all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin B787–81205–SB3S30025–00, Issue 001, dated July 17, 2014. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight.

(h) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (i)(1) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(i) Related Information

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Brendan Shanley, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM–130S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; phone: 425–917–6492; fax: 425–917–6590; email: brendan.shanley@faa.gov.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H–65, Seattle, WA 98124–2207; telephone 206–544–5000, extension 1; fax 206–766–5680; Internet https://www.myboeingfleet.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 20, 2016.

Victor Wicklund, Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2016–12849 Filed 6–2–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES; AMERI-KING CORPORATION EMERGENCY LOCATOR TRANSMITTERS

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Ameri-King Corporation emergency locator transmitters (ELTs) as installed on various aircraft. This proposed AD was prompted by multiple reports of ELT failure. This proposed AD was also prompted by a report of noncompliance to quality standards and manufacturer processes related to Ameri-King Corporation ELTs. Failure to adhere to these standards and processes could result in ELTs that do not function. This proposed AD would require repetitive
We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2016–6673; Directorate Identifier 2015–NM–092–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

### Discussion

We received multiple reports of ELT failure (73 reported ELT failures). We also received a report of noncompliance to quality standards and manufacturer processes related to Ameri-King Corporation ELTs. Failure to adhere to these standards and processes could result in ELTs that do not function. ELT failure, if not corrected, could delay or impede the rescue of the flight crew and passengers after an emergency landing.

### Emergency Cease and Desist Order Issued to Ameri-King Corporation

We have determined that Ameri-King Corporation manufactured, sold, or distributed parts and articles that do not conform to an approved design but were represented as FAA-approved for installation on FAA type-certificated aircraft. Investigation of the production issues identified that Ameri-King Corporation violated multiple FAA regulations and falsified documents used to show compliance with FAA regulations. Therefore, we issued an emergency cease and desist order, dated December 28, 2015, to Ameri-King Corporation that terminates their technical standard order authorization (TSOA) and parts manufacturer approval (PMA).

The FAA’s emergency cease and desist order requires Ameri-King Corporation to immediately cease and desist manufacturing, selling, and distributing any articles for installation on FAA type-certificated aircraft, which would include advertising, repairing, rebuilding, and altering any articles intended for installation on type certified products. Any parts and articles produced by Ameri-King Corporation before December 28, 2015, may not conform to an approved design. Any parts and articles produced by Ameri-King Corporation after that date were produced without an FAA production approval and contrary to the FAA’s emergency cease and desist order.

We might consider additional rulemaking to address other parts and articles that were produced by Ameri-King Corporation with falsified testing records and without complying with its FAA-mandated quality assurance procedures; such non-compliant parts and articles could result in an unacceptable hazard to aviation safety.

### Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

We reviewed Ameri-King Corporation Document IM–450, “INSTALLATION & OPERATION MANUAL,” Revision A, dated October 18, 1995; and Ameri-King Corporation Document IM–451, “INSTALLATION AND OPERATION MANUAL,” Revision NC–4.1h, dated July 5, 2014. The service information describes procedures for inspections of the ELT for discrepancies; checks, tests, and verifications to ensure the ELT is functioning; and corrective actions. Corrective actions include replacing affected parts. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

### FAA’s Determination

We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

### Proposed AD Requirements

This proposed AD would require accomplishing the actions specified in the service information described previously, except as discussed under “Differences Between This Proposed AD and the Service Information.”

### Differences Between This Proposed AD and the Service Information

Ameri-King Corporation Document IM–450, “INSTALLATION & OPERATION MANUAL,” Revision A, dated October 18, 1995; and Ameri-King
Corporation Document IM–451, “INSTALLATION AND OPERATION MANUAL,” Revision NC–4.1h, dated July 5, 2014; specify accomplishing certain inspections of the ELT but do not specify corrective actions for any finding of the following discrepancies: Unsecured fastener or mechanical assembly, cuts or abrasions on the coaxial cable outer jacket, corrosion on the “BNC” connectors and mating plug on the antenna and the ELT main unit, wear or abrasion on the modular cable outer jacket, corrosion on the jack and plug of the modular connecting cable, and corrosion on the battery compartment. This proposed AD would require repairing any discrepancy found during the inspections.

Ameri-King Corporation Document IM–450, “INSTALLATION & OPERATION MANUAL,” Revision A, dated October 18, 1995, specifies doing a functional test, a verification that the G-switch is working, and an activation check, but does not specify corrective actions for any findings. If there are any findings during the test, verification, or check, this proposed AD would require replacing the affected ELT with another serviceable FAA-approved ELT.

Ameri-King Corporation Document IM–451, “INSTALLATION AND OPERATION MANUAL,” Revision NC–4.1h, dated July 5, 2014, specifies doing an operational test, G-switch and antenna checks, a digital message verification, a registration verification, and verification of ELT and global positioning system (GPS) interface, but does not specify corrective actions for any findings. If there are any findings during the test, checks, or verifications, this proposed AD would require replacing the affected ELT with another serviceable FAA-approved ELT.

**Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 14,500 ELTs installed on various aircraft of U.S. registry.

We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspections, checks, tests, and verifications.</td>
<td>2 work-hours × $85 per hour = $170 per inspection cycle.</td>
<td>$170 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$2,465,000 per inspection cycle.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We estimate the following costs to do any necessary replacements that would be required based on the results of the proposed inspections, checks, tests, and verifications. We have no way of determining the number of aircraft that might need these replacements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>4 work-hours × $85 per hour = $340</td>
<td>Between $600 and $1,500</td>
<td>Between $940 and $1,840.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

**Regulatory Findings**

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation: (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866, (2) Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). (3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and (4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation. Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

**The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:


2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

   **Ameri-King Corporation:** Docket No. FAA–2016–6673; Directorate Identifier 2015–NM–092–AD.

   (a) Comments Due Date

   We must receive comments by July 18, 2016.

   (b) Affected ADs

   None.

   (c) Applicability

   This AD applies to Ameri-King Corporation Model AK–450–( ) and AK–451–( ) series emergency locator transmitters (ELTs). This appliance is installed on, but not limited to, aircraft identified in table 1 to paragraph (c) of this AD.
(d) Subject

Joint Aircraft System Component (JASC)/Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 2562, Emergency Locator Beacon.

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by multiple reports of ELT failure. This AD was also prompted by a report of noncompliance to quality standards and manufacturer processes related to Ameri-King Corporation ELTs. Failure to adhere to these standards and processes could result in ELTs that do not function. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct nonfunctioning ELTs, which could delay or impede the rescue of the flightcrew and passengers after an emergency landing.

(f) Comply

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Repetitive Actions and Corrective Actions

Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, do general visual inspections of the ELT for discrepancies; checks, tests, and verifications, as applicable, to ensure the ELT is functioning; and all applicable corrective actions; in accordance with section 3.4, “Periodic Maintenance” of Ameri-King Corporation Document IM–450, “INSTALLATION & OPERATION MANUAL,” Revision A, dated October 18, 1995; or Ameri-King Corporation Document IM–451, “INSTALLATION AND OPERATION MANUAL,” Revision NC–4.1h, dated July 5, 2014.

(h) Exceptions to Service Information

(1) If, during any action required by paragraph (g) of this AD, any ELT fails the functional test specified in step 6, the verification specified in step 7, or the activation check specified in step 8, of section 3.4, “Periodic Maintenance,” of Ameri-King Corporation Document IM–450, “INSTALLATION & OPERATION MANUAL,” Revision A, dated October 18, 1995, replace the affected Model AK–450–( ) ELT with a serviceable FAA-approved ELT as specified in paragraph (i) of this AD ("Definition of Serviceable FAA-approved ELT"), following 14 CFR 91.207(a), 14 CFR 91.207(f), and other applicable operating rules.

(ii) For AK–451 ELTs: For the remote cockpit switch, use a 3-volt lithium battery. For the ELT main unit, use four D cell (1.5 volt) alkaline batteries.

(i) Any corrosion on the “BNC” connectors and mating plug on the antenna and the ELT main unit.

(iv) Any wear or abrasion on the modular cable outer jacket.

(v) Any corrosion on the jack and plug of the modular connecting cable.

(vi) Any unsecured fastener or mechanical assembly.

Table 1 to paragraph (c) of this AD—Certain Aircraft That Might Have Affected ELTs Installed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aircraft</th>
<th>ELT model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airbus rotorcraft</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Champion Aircraft Corp. airplanes</td>
<td>AK–450 and AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviat Aircraft Inc. airplanes</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beechcraft Corporation airplanes</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Helicopter Textron Canada Limited rotorcraft</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombardier Inc. airplanes</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cessna Aircraft Company airplanes</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrus Design Corporation airplanes</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Aircraft Industries Inc. airplanes</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclipse Aerospace Inc. airplanes</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embracer S.A. airplanes</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KitFox Aircraft LLC (formerly SkyStar Aircraft Corporation and also Denney Aerocraft Company) airplanes</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luscombe Aircraft Corporation airplanes</td>
<td>AK–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mooney Aircraft Corporation airplanes</td>
<td>AK–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piper Aircraft Inc. airplanes</td>
<td>AK–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson Helicopter Company rotorcraft</td>
<td>AK–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation rotorcraft</td>
<td>AK–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCATA, S.A., Socata Groupe Aerospatiale airplanes</td>
<td>AK–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin Commander Aircraft LLC airplanes</td>
<td>AK–451</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(vii) Any unsecured fastener or mechanical assembly.

Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, as applicable; except as required by paragraph (h) of this AD. Do all applicable corrective actions following 14 CFR 91.207(a), 14 CFR 91.207(f), and other applicable operating rules after accomplishing the inspections, checks, tests, and verifications. Repeat the inspections and applicable checks, tests, and verifications thereafter at intervals not to exceed 12 months until the terminating action specified in paragraph (i) of this AD is done.


(vi) Any unsecured fastener or mechanical assembly.

(vii) Any cuts or abrasions on the coaxial cable outer jacket.

(viii) Any corrosion on the “BNC” connectors and mating plug on the antenna and the ELT main unit.

(ix) Any wear or abrasion on the modular cable outer jacket.

(x) Any corrosion on the jack and plug of the modular connecting cable.

(xi) Any corrosion on the battery compartment.

(4) If, during any action required by paragraph (g) of this AD, any non-functioning battery is found, replace non-functioning batteries with non-rechargeable batteries identified in paragraph (h)(4)(i) or (h)(4)(ii) of this AD, as applicable, following 14 CFR 91.207(a), 14 CFR 91.207(f), and other applicable operating rules.

(i) For AK–450 ELTs: For the remote cockpit switch, use a 3-volt lithium battery. For the ELT main unit, use four D cell lithium (LiMnO2) batteries or four D cell lithium (LiSO4) batteries.

(ii) For AK–451 ELTs: For the remote cockpit switch, use a 3-volt lithium battery. For the ELT main unit, use either four D cell lithium (LiMnO2) batteries or four D cell lithium (LiSO4) batteries.

(xi) Any unsecured fastener or mechanical assembly.

For the purposes of this AD, a serviceable FAA-approved ELT is any FAA-approved ELT that is determined, by a report of noncompliance to quality standards, is functioning; and all applicable corrective actions; in accordance with section 3.4, “Periodic Maintenance,” of Ameri-King Corporation Document IM–451, “INSTALLATION AND OPERATION MANUAL,” Revision NC–4.1h, dated July 5, 2014.
ELT other than a Model AK–450(–1) and AK–451(–1) series ELT produced by Ameri-King Corporation.

(j) Optional Terminating Action
Doing the applicable action specified in paragraph (j)(1) or (j)(2) of this AD terminates the actions required by paragraphs (g) and (h) of this AD.

(1) For aircraft required by operating regulations to be equipped with an ELT: Replace the ELT with a serviceable FAA-approved ELT as specified in paragraph (i) of this AD (“Definition of Serviceable FAA-approved ELT”).

(2) For aircraft not required by operating regulations to be equipped with an ELT: Replace the ELT with a serviceable FAA-approved ELT as specified in paragraph (i) of this AD (“Definition of Serviceable FAA-approved ELT”). The ELT may be removed as an alternative to the ELT replacement; if an ELT is reinstalled, it must be a serviceable ELT as specified in paragraph (j) of this AD (“Definition of Serviceable FAA-approved ELT”).

(k) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If the information directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (l)(1) of this AD.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local Flight Standards District Office, certifying office or district office.

(l) Related Information


(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Ameri-King Corporation, 17841 Sampson Lane, Huntington Beach, CA 92648; telephone: 714–842–8555; fax: 714–842–4235; Internet: http://ameri-king.com; email: ameriking@aol.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Aircraft Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 20, 2016.

Victor Wicklund,
Acting Manager, Transport Aircraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 460
Labeling and Advertising of Home Insulation

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”).

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking: extension of deadline for submission of public comments.

SUMMARY: The FTC is extending the deadline for filing public comments on its recent Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for the “Trade Regulation Rule Concerning the Labeling and Advertising of Home Insulation” (the “R-value Rule” or “Rule”).

DATES: The comment period for the advance notice of proposed rulemaking published April 6, 2016 (81 FR 19936), is extended. Comments must be received on or before September 6, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below. Write “16 CFR part 460—R-value Rule Review, File No. R811001” on your comment, and file your comment online at https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/rvaluerule, by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC–5610 (Annex B), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th St. SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex B), Washington, DC 20024.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Comment Period Extension

On April 6, 2016 (81 FR 19936), as part of the Commission’s systematic review of its rules and guides, the FTC published an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPR) in the Federal Register requesting public comments on the R-value Rule. The ANPR set June 6, 2016 as the deadline for filing comments. On May 12, 2016, the American Chemistry Council’s (ACC) Center for the Polyurethanes Industry and Spray Foam Coalition requested a 90-day extension to the comment period. ACC represents manufacturers of various types of home insulation products, including spray polyurethane foam (SPF) and rigid polyurethane foam board insulation. The requesters explained that the insulation industry and certain insulation products have changed substantially since the Commission completed its last regulatory review in 2005. In particular, new industry research has become available on the short-term and long-term thermal performance of SPF products. ACC also noted that new research exists about the energy efficiency benefits of insulation products that combine air sealing with high thermal resistance properties. Accordingly, it asserted that additional time is necessary for companies and industry trade organizations to present this new information in a useful manner through comments.

Given the complexity and range of issues raised in the ANPR, the Commission agrees that allowing additional time for filing comments would help facilitate the creation of a more complete record. Moreover, this extension would not harm consumers because the current Rule will remain in effect during the review process. The Commission agrees that extending the comment period to allow interested parties adequate time to address issues raised by the ANPR will facilitate a more complete record. Therefore, the Commission has decided to extend the comment period to September 6, 2016.

II. Request for Comment

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before September 6, 2016. Write “16 CFR part 460—R-value Rule Review, File No. R811001” on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, and your state—will be placed on the public Commission Web site, at http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm. As a matter of discretion, the Commission tries to remove individuals’ home contact information from comments before placing them on the Commission Web site.

Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive personal information, such as anyone’s Social Security number, date of birth, driver’s license number or other state identification number or foreign country...