

determination of this investigation is no later than June 22, 2016.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On May 3, 2016, Titan Tire Corporation and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO, CLC (USW) (collectively, Petitioners) made a timely request, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e), for postponement of the preliminary determination, in order to provide the Department with sufficient time to develop the record in this proceeding through additional questionnaires, which Petitioners will in turn need to analyze and possibly comment on. Because there are no compelling reasons to deny Petitioners' request, in accordance with section 773(c)(1)(A) of the Act, the Department is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination by 50 days.

For the reasons stated above, the Department, in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination to no later than 190 days after the date on which the Department initiated this investigation. Therefore, the new deadline for the preliminary determination is August 11, 2016. In accordance with section 735(a)(1) of the Act, the deadline for the final determination of this investigation will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination, unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: May 31, 2016.
Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
 [FR Doc. 2016-13278 Filed 6-3-16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-804, A-412-801]

Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From Japan and the United Kingdom: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews; 2009-2010

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 10, 2016, the United States Court of International Trade (the Court) sustained the *Final Remand Redetermination* pertaining to the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from Japan and the United Kingdom covering the period May 1, 2009, through April 30, 2010.¹ Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public that the Court's final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the *Final Results*, and that the Department is amending the *Final Results* with respect to all respondents that were subject to these administrative reviews.²

DATES: Effective May 20, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Schauer or Mino Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0410 or (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 8, 2015, the Court remanded the *Final Results* for the Department to apply a differential pricing analysis.³ On remand, the Department applied a differential pricing analysis, under protest, and as a result, the weighted-average dumping margin for each respondent subject to these administrative reviews changed. On May 10, 2016, the Court upheld the *Final Remand Redetermination* in full.⁴

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*, as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The *Remand Affirmation* sustaining the *Final Remand Redetermination* constitutes a final decision of the Court which is not in harmony with the *Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision, the Department is amending the *Final Results* with respect to all respondents as follows:

Company	Rate (percent)
JAPAN	
Asahi Seiko Co., Ltd.	1.33
Audi AG	4.58
Bosch Corporation	4.58
Bosch Packaging Technology K.K.	4.58
Bosch Rexroth Corporation	4.58
Caterpillar Japan Ltd.	4.58
Caterpillar Overseas S.A.R.L.	4.58
Caterpillar Group Services S.A.	4.58
Caterpillar Brazil Ltd.	4.58
Caterpillar Africa Pty. Ltd.	4.58
Caterpillar of Australia Pty. Ltd.	4.58

¹ See Final Results of Remand Redetermination (*Final Remand Redetermination*) Pursuant to *The Timken Company v. United States*, 79 F. Supp. 3d 1350 (CIT 2015) (*Remand Order*), *aff'd The Timken Company v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14-

00155, slip op. 16-47, 2016 Ct. Intl. Trade LEXIS 45 (Ct. Int'l Trade May 10, 2016) (*Remand Affirmation*).

² See *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from Japan and the United Kingdom: Final Results of*

Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Rescission of Review in Part; 2009-2010, 79 FR 35312 (June 20, 2014) (*Final Results*).

³ See *Remand Order*, 79 F. Supp. 3d at 1361.

⁴ See *Remand Affirmation* at 26.

Company	Rate (percent)
Caterpillar S.A.R.L.	4.58
Caterpillar Americas Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.	4.58
Caterpillar Logistics Services China Ltd.	4.58
Caterpillar Mexico, S.A. de C.V.	4.58
Hagglunds Ltd.	4.58
Hino Motors Ltd.	4.58
JTEKT Corporation (formerly known as Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd.)	4.58
Kongskilde Limited	4.58
Mazda Motor Corporation	4.58
Mori Seiki Co., Ltd.	0.65
Nachi-Fujikoshi Corporation	4.58
Nissan Motor Company, Ltd.	4.58
NSK Ltd.	2.79
NTN Corporation and NTN Kongo Corporation	6.37
Perkins Engines Company Limited	4.58
Volkswagen AG	4.58
Volkswagen Zubehor GmbH	4.58
Yamazaki Mazak Trading Corporation	4.58

UNITED KINGDOM

Alcatel Vacuum Technology	6.47
Bosch Rexroth Ltd.	6.47
Caterpillar S.A.R.L.	6.47
Caterpillar Group Services S.A.	6.47
Caterpillar of Australia Pty Ltd.	6.47
Caterpillar Overseas S.A.R.L.	6.47
Caterpillar Marine Power UK	6.47
NSK Bearings Europe Ltd.	6.47
Perkins Engines Company Ltd.	6.47
SKF (U.K.) Limited and SKF Aeroengine Bearings U.K.	6.47

In the event the Court's ruling is not appealed, or if it is appealed and upheld by the CAFC, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise exported by the companies listed above. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), for Asahi Seiko Co., Ltd., Mori Seiki Co., Ltd., NSK Ltd., NSK Bearings Europe Ltd., and NTN Corporation and NTN Kongo Corporation, we calculated importer-specific assessment rates by dividing the total amount of dumping for the reviewed sales by the total entered value of those reviewed sales for each importer.

For entries of subject merchandise during the period of reviews produced by Asahi Seiko Co., Ltd., Mori Seiki Co., Ltd., NSK Ltd., NSK Bearings Europe Ltd., and NTN Corporation and NTN Kongo Corporation, for which they did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the country-specific all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

For all other companies listed above, which were not selected for individual examination, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties at a rate equal to the weighted-average dumping

margin listed above to all entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by such firms.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because we revoked the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from Japan and the United Kingdom effective September 15, 2011, no cash deposits for estimated antidumping duties on future entries of subject merchandise will be required.⁵

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516(A)(e), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 31, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-13280 Filed 6-3-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

⁵ See *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From Japan and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders*, 79 FR 16771 (March 26, 2014).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-016]

Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2015-2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 25, 2016, the Department received a timely request for a new shipper review (NSR) from Shandong Xinghongyuan Tire Co., Ltd. ("SXT"), in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(c). The Department of Commerce (Department) has determined that the request for a NSR of the antidumping duty order on Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires (passenger tires) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation. The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2015, through, January 31, 2016.

DATES: Effective June 6, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chien-Min Yang, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade