which we are calculating the fixed loss outlier threshold, we use MedPAR data for purposes of calculating the fixed loss outlier threshold, would improve the simulations to account for future turbocharging by the 123 hospitals. Therefore, we did not apply any additional adjustments focused on the 123 hospitals that had been discussed in the March 2003 proposed rule, beyond the adjustments we have already discussed.

The court rulings also stated that we should explain further why we did not exclude the 123 identified turbocharging hospitals from the charge inflation calculation for FY 2004. We simply did not have strong reason to believe that excluding the 123 hospitals from the charge inflation calculation, or from other parts of the fixed loss outlier threshold calculation, would improve our projections. When we simulate payments for purposes of calculating the fixed loss outlier threshold, we use MedPAR data from an earlier period to produce a simulated set of claims for the period for which we are calculating the fixed loss outlier threshold. For the FY 2004 final rule, we used cases from the FY 2002 MedPAR file to simulate FY 2004 cases. We applied a charge inflation factor to account for growth in hospital charges between the period covered by the MedPAR data and the period for which we are calculating the fixed loss outlier threshold. In this instance, the charge inflation factor was intended to account for growth in hospital charges over the 2-year period between FY 2002 and FY 2004. We estimated charge growth over this period based on actual charge growth over an earlier 2-year period, FY 2000 to FY 2002. More specifically, we estimate of charge inflation was based on the 2-year average annual rate of change in charges per case from FY 2000 to FY 2001 and from FY 2001 to FY 2002 (12.5978 percent annually, or 26.8 percent over 2 years).

Although we expected the June 2003 outlier final rule to curb turbocharging, which would affect the rate of charge growth after the rule became effective, we believed that past charge growth would still be a satisfactory basis for estimating more recent charge growth, for the 123 hospitals as well as for other hospitals. The outlier final rule was in effect for only part of the interval that our charge inflation estimate was intended to reflect. The outlier final rule went into effect only in part for the last 2 months of FY 2003, and went into effect in full only at the beginning of FY 2004.

We had no strong reason to expect that excluding the 123 hospitals from our charge inflation calculations, or from other parts of our simulations, would improve our simulations in a way that would bring outlier payments closer to our target of 5.1 percent of operating DRG payments. The 123 hospitals were not excluded from claiming outlier payments in FY 2004, so excluding them from our simulations would have introduced a different form of distortion into our simulations, by causing the simulations to disregard the impact of those hospitals. While excluding the 123 hospitals might produce a lower estimate of charge inflation, a lower estimate is not necessarily a better estimate. A charge inflation estimate that is too low could lead to a fixed loss outlier threshold that produces outlier payments farther from, instead of closer to, the target of 5.1 percent of operating DRG payments.

Finally, the court rulings state that if we decide to recalculate the FY 2004 fixed loss outlier threshold, we should also address any effect that recalculation has on the FY 2005 and FY 2006 outlier threshold calculations. We are not recalculating the FY 2004 fixed-loss threshold. We also note that the fixed loss outlier thresholds are set based on new calculations each year without reference to the previous year’s threshold; even if the FY 2004 threshold had been reset, there would be no reason to revisit the FY 2005 or FY 2006 calculation.

III. Collection of Information Requirements

This document does not impose information collection requirements, that is, reporting, recordkeeping or third-party disclosure requirements. Consequently, there is no need for review by the Office of Management and Budget under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

Dated: January 4, 2016.

Andrew M. Slavitt,
Acting Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

Approved: January 15, 2016.

Sylvia M. Burwell,
Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services.
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Updating Competitive Bidding Rules

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; announcement of effective date.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission announces that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved, on an emergency basis, a revision to an approved information collection to implement modified and new collection requirements on FCC Form 175, Application to Participate in an FCC Auction, contained in the Part 1 Report and Order, Updating Competitive Bidding Rules, FCC 15–80. This document is consistent with the Part 1 Report and Order, which stated that the Commission would publish a document in the Federal Register announcing OMB approval and the effective date of the rules and requirements.

DATES: 47 CFR 1.2105(a)(2), 1.2105(a)(2)(ii)–(vi), (a)(2)(viii)–(x), (a)(2)(xii), 1.2105(c)(3), and 1.2112(b)(1)(iii)–(vi), published at 80 FR 56764 on September 18, 2015, and
revised FCC Form 175, are effective on January 22, 2016. OMB approved the information collection requirements in 47 CFR 1.2105(c)(3), 1.2110(b)(1), and 1.2112(b) on January 14, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact Cathy Williams, Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov. (202) 418–2918.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document announces that, on January 14, 2016, OMB approved, on an emergency basis, a revision to an approved information collection to implement modified and new collection requirements on FCC Form 175, Application to Participate in an FCC Auction, and under 47 CFR 1.2105(a)(2), 1.2105(a)(2)(iii)–(vi), (a)(2)(viii)–(x), (a)(2)(xii), 1.2105(a)(3), 1.2105(c)(3), 1.2110(b)(1), 1.2112(b), and 1.2112(b)(1)(iiii)–(vi), published at 80 FR 56764 on September 18, 2015. The OMB Control Number is 3060–0600. The Commission publishes this document as an announcement of the effective date of the rules and requirements. If you have any comments on the burden estimates listed below or how the Commission can improve the collections and reduce any burdens caused thereby, please contact Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C823, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554. Please include the OMB Control Number, 3060–0600, in your correspondence. The Commission will also accept your comments via the Internet if you send them to PRAB@fcc.gov.

To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice), (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

Synopsis

As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507), the Commission is notifying the public that it received emergency approval from OMB on January 14, 2016 for the revised information collection requirements contained in the information collection 3060–0600, Application to Participate in an FCC Auction.

Under 5 CFR part 1320, an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a current, valid OMB Control Number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for responding to this collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a current, valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number is 3060–0600. The foregoing document is required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13, October 1, 1995, and 44 U.S.C. 3507.

The total annual reporting burdens and costs for the respondents are as follows:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0600.
OMB Approval Date: January 14, 2016.
OMB Expiration Date: July 31, 2016.
Title: Application to Participate in an FCC Auction.
Form No.: FCC Form 175.
Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities; Not-for-profit institutions; State, local or Tribal government.
Number of Respondents and Responses: 500 respondents; 500 responses.
Estimated Time per response: 90 minutes.
Frequency of Response: On-occasion reporting requirement.
Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this information collection is contained in sections 154(i) and 309(j)(5) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 4(i), 309(j)(5), and sections 1.2105, 1.2110, 1.2112 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 1.2105, 1.2110, 1.2112.
Total Annual Burden: 750 hours.
Total Annual Cost: None.
Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: Information collected on FCC Form 175 is made available for public inspection. To the extent that a respondent seeks to have certain information collected on FCC Form 175 withheld from public inspection, the respondent may request confidential treatment of such information pursuant to section 0.459 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 0.459.

Needs and Uses: In the Part 1 Report and Order, the Commission updated many of its Part 1 competitive bidding rules. The updated Part 1 rules apply to applicants seeking to participate in future non-reverse auctions for Commission licenses and permits, including the forward auction component of the Commission’s upcoming television broadcast incentive auction (BIA). The revised information collection on FCC Form 175 implements the modified and new collection requirements contained in sections 1.2105(a)(2), 1.2105(a)(2)(iii)–(vi), (a)(2)(viii)–(x), (a)(2)(xii), 1.2105(a)(3), 1.2105(c)(3), 1.2110(b)(1), 1.2112(b), and 1.2112(b)(1)(iii)–(vi) of the Commission’s rules, as adopted in the Part 1 Report and Order. The information collected on the revised FCC Form 175 will be used by the Commission to determine if an applicant is legally, technically, and financially qualified to participate in a non-reverse Commission auction for Commission licenses and permits, including the forward component of the BIA. Commission staff will review the information collected on FCC Form 175 for a particular auction as part of the pre-auction process, prior to the auction being held. Staff will determine whether each applicant satisfies the Commission’s requirements to participate in the auction and, if an applicant claims status as a particular type of auction participant, whether that applicant is eligible for the status claimed. This approach provides an appropriate screen to ensure serious participation and deter possible abuse of the bidding process without being unduly burdensome.

Federal Communications Commission.
Marlene H. Dortch,
Secretary, Office of the Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2016–01185 Filed 1–21–16; 8:45 am]
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General Services Administration
Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); Technical Amendments; Corrections

AGENCY: Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Correcting amendments.

SUMMARY: GSA published a technical amendment document, GSAR–TA–01, which was published in the Federal Register on Wednesday, January 13, 2016 at 81 FR 1531, to make editorial changes. That document inadvertently failed to update a subpart heading and a clause heading. This document corrects the final regulation by revising the subpart.

DATES: Effective: January 22, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Leah Price, Procurement Analyst, by phone at 703–605–2558, or email at leah.price@gsa.gov for clarification of content. For information pertaining to the status or publication schedules, contact the Regulatory Secretariat Division at 202–501–4735. Please cite GSAR–TA–01; Technical Amendments; Corrections.