Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA); and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) (42 U.S.C. 6901–6992(k)).

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)


Issued on: December 9, 2015.

Peter W. Osborn,
Division Administrator, Albany, New York.
[FR Doc. 2016–00687 Filed 1–21–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–RY–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

Prevention of Alcohol Misuse and Prohibited Drug Use in Transit Operations

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of random drug and alcohol testing rates for 2016.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the random testing rates for employers subject to the Federal Transit Administration’s (FTA) drug and alcohol rules for 2016.

DATES: Effective Date: January 1, 2016.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On January 1, 1995, FTA required large transit employers to begin drug and alcohol testing employees performing safety-sensitive functions and submit annual reports by March 15 of each year beginning in 1996. The annual report includes the number of employees who had a verified positive for the use of prohibited drugs, and the number of employees who tested positive for the misuse of alcohol during the reported year. Small employers commenced their FTA-required testing on January 1, 1996, and began reporting the same information as the large employers beginning March 15, 1997.

The testing rules were updated on August 1, 2001, and established a random testing rate for prohibited drugs and the misuse of alcohol.

The rules require that employers conduct random drug tests at a rate equivalent to at least 50 percent of their total number of safety-sensitive employees for prohibited drug use and at least 25 percent for the misuse of alcohol. However, the rules provide that the drug random testing rate may be lowered to 25 percent if the positive rate for the entire transit industry is less than one percent for two preceding consecutive years. Once lowered, the random rates may be raised to 50 percent if the positive rate equals or exceeds one percent for any one year (positive rate means the number of positive results for random drug tests conducted under 49 CFR 655.45 plus the number of refusals of random tests required by 49 CFR 655.49, divided by the total number of random drug tests, plus the number of refusals of random tests required by 49 CFR part 655).

The alcohol provisions provide that the random rate may be lowered to 10 percent if the violation rate for the entire transit industry is less than 0.5 percent for two consecutive years. It will remain at 25 percent if the violation rate is equal to or greater than 0.5 percent but less than one percent, and it will be raised to 50 percent if the violation rate is one percent or greater for any one year. (violation rate means the number of covered employees found during random tests administered under 49 CFR 655.45 to have an alcohol concentration of .04 or greater, plus the number of employees who refuse a random test required by 49 CFR 655.49, divided by the total reported number of random alcohol tests plus the total number of refusals of random tests required by 49 CFR part 655).

Pursuant to 49 CFR 655.45(b), the Administrator’s decision to increase or decrease the minimum annual percentage rate for random drug and alcohol testing is based, in part, on the reported positive drug and alcohol violation rates for the entire industry. The information used for this determination is drawn from the drug and alcohol Management Information System (MIS) reports required by 49 CFR part 655. In determining the reliability of the data, the Administrator considers the quality and completeness of the reported data, or may obtain additional information or reports from employers, and make appropriate modifications in calculating the industry’s verified positive results and violation rates.

The Administrator has determined the random drug testing rate will remain at 25 percent for 2016 due to an industry positive rate lower than 1.0 percent for random test data for the two preceding calendar years. The random drug rates for the two preceding years are .87 percent for 2014 and .90 percent for 2015.

The Administrator has also determined the random alcohol testing rate for 2016 will remain at 10 percent because the random alcohol violation rate for the industry was again lower than 0.5 percent for the two preceding consecutive years. The random alcohol rates for the two preceding years are 0.14 percent for 2014 and 0.14 percent for 2015.


Issued in Washington, DC, pursuant to authority under 49 CFR 1.91.

Therese W. McMillan,
Acting Administrator.
[FR Doc. 2016–01222 Filed 1–21–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Doct No. NHTSA–2015–0106; Notice 1]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming Model Year 2008–2010 Alfa Romeo 8C Spider Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Receipt of petition.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that model year (MY) 2008–2010 Alfa Romeo 8C Spider passenger cars (PC) that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS) are eligible for importation into the United States because they have safety features that comply with, or are capable of being altered to comply with, all such standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is February 22, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket and notice numbers above and be submitted by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the
online instructions for submitting comments.

- **Mail:** Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- **Hand Delivery or Courier:** West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
- **Fax:** 202–493–2251.

**Instructions:** Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that your comments were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

**Privacy Act:** Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

**How to read comments submitted to the docket:** You may read the comments received by Docket Management at the address and times given above. You may also view the documents from the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets. The docket ID number and title of this notice are shown at the heading of this document notice. Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically search the Docket for new material.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(B), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS, and has no substantially similar U.S.-certified counterpart, shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle has safety features that comply with, or are capable of being altered to comply with, all applicable FMVSS based on destructive test data or such other evidence as NHTSA decides to be adequate.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

**Placard Environmental Testing Laboratories (WETL), Inc. of Houston, Texas (Registered Importer R–90–005)** has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming 2008–2010 Alfa Romeo 8C Spider PCs are eligible for importation into the United States. In its petition, WETL notes that the original manufacturer, Alfa Romeo, certified the MY 2008 and 2009 8C Spider PCs to all applicable FMVSS and offered those vehicles for sale in the United States. WETL contends that the non-U.S. certified MY 2010 Alfa Romeo 8C Spider PC shares the same platform with the U.S.-certified 2008 and 2009 model Alfa Romeo 8C Spider PC, and on that basis compares the non-U.S. certified model to those vehicles to establish its conformity with many applicable FMVSS. Because there is no U.S.-certified counterpart for the 2010 Alfa Romeo 8C Spider PC, the petitioner acknowledged that it could not base its petition solely on the substantial similarity of those vehicles to the U.S.-certified 2008 and 2009 Alfa Romeo 8C Spider PC in light of the petitioning requirements of 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), as set forth in 49 CFR part 593. Instead, the petitioner chose to establish import eligibility on the basis that the vehicles have safety features that comply with, or are capable of being modified to comply with, the FMVSS based on destructive test data or such other evidence that NHTSA decides to be adequate as set forth in 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(B). Nevertheless, the petitioner contends that non-U.S.-certified 2010 Alfa Romeo 8C Spider PCs use the same components as the U.S.-certified MY 2008 and 2009 Alfa Romeo 8C Spider PCs in virtually all of the systems subject to applicable FMVSS.


The petitioner also contends that the subject non-U.S. certified vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

- **Standard No. 101 Controls and Displays:** replacement of the instrument cluster with the U.S.-model component.
- **Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment:** replacement of the headlamps, and the front and rear side marker lamps with U.S.-conforming components.
- **Standard No. 110 Tire Selection and Rims:** installation of the required tire information placard.
- **Standard No. 111 Rearview Mirrors:** inscription of the required warning statement on the face of the passenger mirror.
- **Standard No. 114 Theft Protection:** reprogramming the theft protection control system by using the Examiner Smart Diagnostic System to change the country code options. In addition, there would be a need for installation of a key buzzer warning system to meet the requirements of the standard.
Standard No. 118 Power-Operated Window, Partition, and Roof Panel System: reprogramming the power-operated window, partition, and roof panel systems by using the Examiner Smart Diagnostic System to change the country code options.

Standard No. 138 Tire Pressure Monitoring Systems: installation of the U.S.-model tire pressure control unit, antenna, and sensors. In addition, programming of the associated control units by using the Examiner Smart Diagnostic System to change the country code options.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: installation of U.S.-model seat occupancy detection control units and seat frame sensors and replacement of the air bag ECUs. In addition, reprogramming of all associated control systems with U.S.-model software and reprogramming of the driver’s seat controller to activate the safety belt warning buzzer using an Examiner Smart Diagnostic System.

The petitioner additionally states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicle near the left windshield pillar to meet the requirements of 49 CFR part 565.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above addresses both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the Federal Register pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), (a)(1)(B), and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.7; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Jeffrey M. Giuseppe,
Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2015–0122; Notice 1]

Van Hool N.V., Receipt of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Receipt of petition.

SUMMARY: Van Hool N.V. (Van Hool), has determined that certain model year (MY) 2015–2016 Van Hool Double Deck buses do not fully comply with paragraph S5.3.4 of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 121, Air Brake Systems. Van Hool filed a report dated November 6, 2015, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports. Van Hool then petitioned NHTSA under 49 CFR part 556 requesting a decision that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is February 22, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments on this petition. Comments must refer to the docket and notice number cited in the title of this notice and submitted by any of the following methods:

• Mail: Send comments by mail addressed to: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–Docket W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.


• Electronically: Submit comments electronically by: logging onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) Web site at http://www.regulations.gov/. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Comments may also be faxed to (202) 493–2251.

Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that comments you have submitted by mail were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov/, including any personal information provided.

The petition, supporting materials, and all comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be filed in the docket and will be considered. All comments and supporting materials received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible.

When the petition is granted or denied, notice of the decision will also be published in the Federal Register pursuant to the authority indicated at the end of this notice.

All documents submitted to the docket may be viewed by anyone at the address and times given above. The documents may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov/ by following the online instructions for accessing the docket. The docket ID number for this petition is shown at the heading of this notice.

DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement is available for review in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000, (65 FR 19477–78).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Overview

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) (see implementing rule at 49 CFR part 556), Van Hool submitted a petition for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

This notice of receipt of Van Hool’s petition is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the petition.

II. Vehicles Involved

Affected are approximately 48 MY 2015–2016 Van Hool Double Deck buses that were manufactured between December 13, 2014 and October 22, 2015.

III. Noncompliance

Van Hool explains that the noncompliance is that brake release times slightly exceed the requirements as specified in paragraph S5.3.4 of FMVSS No. 121.

IV. Rule Text

Paragraph S5.3.4 of FMVSS No. 121 requires in pertinent part:

S5.3.4 Brake Release Time. Each service brake system shall meet the requirements of S5.3.1 (a) through (b) . . .

V. Summary of Van Hool’s Petition

Van Hool described the subject noncompliance and stated its belief that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety based on the following reasoning:

1) Based on the results of testing that Van Hool conducted on some of the affected buses, it determined that the brake release times, on average,