

closing period for their receipt is July 27, 2016.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's Web site, which is accessible via [www.trade.gov/ftz](http://www.trade.gov/ftz).

For further information, contact Elizabeth Whiteman at [Elizabeth.Whiteman@trade.gov](mailto:Elizabeth.Whiteman@trade.gov) or (202) 482-0473.

Dated: June 10, 2016.

**Elizabeth Whiteman,**  
*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14318 Filed 6-16-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### North American Free Trade Agreement, Article 1904, Request for Panel Review

**AGENCY:** United States Section, NAFTA Secretariat, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Request for Panel Review.

**SUMMARY:** A Request for Panel Review was filed on behalf of Selenis Canada, Inc. with the United States Section of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Secretariat on June 6, 2016 pursuant to NAFTA Article 1904. Panel Review was requested of the International Trade Commission's final determination regarding Polyethylene Terephthalate Resin from Canada. The final injury determination was published in the **Federal Register** on May 4, 2016 (81 FRN 26832) and the effective antidumping order was published in the **Federal Register** on May 6, 2016 (81 FRN 27929). The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned case number USA-CDA-2016-1904-01 to this request.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul E. Morris, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Room 2061, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Chapter 19 of the NAFTA established a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for

Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms to the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under NAFTA Article 1904, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada, and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686) and subsequently amended on April 10, 2008 (73 FR 19458).

The Rules provide that:

(a) A Party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a Complaint in accordance with Rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Complaint is July 6, 2016);

(b) A Party, investigating authority or interested person that does not file a Complaint but that intends to appear in support of any reviewable portion of the final determination may participate in the panel review by filing a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Rule 40 within 45 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Notice of Appearance is July 21, 2016); and

(c) The panel review shall be limited to the allegations of error of fact or law, including challenges to the jurisdiction of the investigating authority, that are set out in the Complaints filed in the panel review and to the procedural and substantive defenses raised in the panel review.

Dated: June 13, 2016.

**Paul E. Morris,**

*United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14339 Filed 6-16-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-GT-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-869]

#### Diffusion-Annealed, Nickel-Plated Flat-Rolled Steel Products From Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2015

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an

administrative review of the antidumping duty order on diffusion-annealed, nickel-plated flat-rolled steel products from Japan.<sup>1</sup> The period of review (POR) is November 19, 2013, through April 30, 2015. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Toyo Kohan Co., Ltd. (Toyo Kohan). We preliminarily determine that sales of subject merchandise by Toyo Kohan were not made at less than normal value during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Effective June 17, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dena Crossland or Brian Davis, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3362 or (202) 482-7924, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The diffusion-annealed, nickel-plated flat-rolled steel products included in this order are flat-rolled, cold-reduced steel products, regardless of chemistry; whether or not in coils; either plated or coated with nickel or nickel-based alloys and subsequently annealed (*i.e.*, "diffusion-annealed"); whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other metallic or nonmetallic substances; and less than or equal to 2.0 mm in nominal thickness. For purposes of this order, "nickel-based alloys" include all nickel alloys with other metals in which nickel accounts for at least 80 percent of the alloy by volume.

Imports of merchandise included in the scope of this order are classified primarily under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7212.50.0000 and 7210.90.6000, but may also be classified under HTSUS subheadings 7210.70.6090, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7219.90.0020, 7219.90.0025, 7219.90.0060, 7219.90.0080, 7220.90.0010, 7220.90.0015, 7225.99.0090, or 7226.99.0180. The foregoing HTSUS subheadings are provided only for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

##### Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 37588 (July 1, 2015).

amended (the Act). Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, titled "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Diffusion-Annealed, Nickel-Plated Flat-Rolled Steel Products from Japan; 2013–2015" (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), which is issued concurrent with and hereby adopted by this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). Access to ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an Appendix to this notice. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine that, for the period November 19, 2013, through April 30, 2015, the following dumping margin exists:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Toyo Kohan Co., Ltd .....	0.00

### Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department will disclose to parties to the proceeding any calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results of review within five days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>2</sup> Interested parties may submit case briefs to the Department in response to these preliminary results no later than 30 days after the publication of these

preliminary results.<sup>3</sup> Rebuttal briefs, the content of which is limited to the issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed within five days from the deadline date for the submission of case briefs.<sup>4</sup>

Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>5</sup> Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.<sup>6</sup> In order to be properly filed, ACCESS must successfully receive an electronically-filed document in its entirety by 5 p.m. Eastern Time. Case and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties.<sup>7</sup>

Within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, interested parties may request a public hearing on arguments raised in the case and rebuttal briefs.<sup>8</sup> Unless the Department specifies otherwise, the hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the date for submission of rebuttal briefs.<sup>9</sup> Written argument and hearing requests should be electronically submitted to the Department via ACCESS.<sup>10</sup> The Department's electronic records system, ACCESS, must successfully receive an electronically-filed document in its entirety by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs.<sup>11</sup> Parties will be notified of the time and location of the hearing.

The Department intends to publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues addressed in any case or rebuttal brief, no later than 120 days after publication of the preliminary results, unless extended.<sup>12</sup>

### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of this administrative review, the Department shall determine, and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate

entries.<sup>13</sup> If Toyo Kohan's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for an importer's examined sales and the total entered value of such sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). If Toyo Kohan's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews, i.e.,* "{w}here the weighted-average margin of dumping for the exporter is determined to be zero or *de minimis*, no antidumping duties will be assessed."<sup>14</sup> The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Toyo Kohan for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. The all-others rate is 45.42 percent.<sup>15</sup> We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Toyo Kohan will be that established in the final results of this administrative review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of this

<sup>3</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1) and (2).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>6</sup> See generally 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303(f).

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(d)(1).

<sup>10</sup> See generally 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>12</sup> See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act; 19 CFR 351.213(h).

<sup>13</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>14</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

<sup>15</sup> See *Diffusion-Annealed, Nickel-Plated Flat-Rolled Steel Products From Japan: Antidumping Duty Order*, 79 FR 30816, 30817 (May 29, 2014) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or in the less-than-fair value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be the all-others rate of 45.42 percent, which is the all-others rate established in the investigation.<sup>16</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: June 6, 2016.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix I

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Comparisons to Normal Value
  - A. Determination of Comparison Method
  - B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
5. Product Comparisons
6. Date of Sale
7. Export Price
8. Normal Value
  - A. Home Market Viability as Comparison Market
  - B. Level of Trade
  - C. Sales to Affiliated Customers
  - D. Cost of Production
    1. Calculation of Cost of Production
    2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
    3. Results of the Cost of Production Test
  - E. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
  - F. Price-to-CV Comparison
  - G. Constructed Value
9. Currency Conversion

#### 10. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2016-14070 Filed 6-16-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[C-570-048, C-580-888]

#### Countervailing Duty Investigations of Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to-Length Plate From the People's Republic of China and the Republic of Korea: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 17, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Palmer at (202) 482-9068 (the People's Republic of China) or John Corrigan at (202) 482-7438 (Republic of Korea), AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On April 28, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated countervailing duty (CVD) investigations of imports of certain carbon and alloy steel cut-to-length plate (CTL plate) from Brazil, the People's Republic of China (PRC), and the Republic of Korea (Korea).<sup>1</sup> The notice of initiation stated that, in accordance with section 703(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1), we would issue our preliminary determinations no later than 65 days after the date of initiation, unless postponed. Currently, the preliminary determinations in these investigations are due no later than July 5, 2016.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to-Length Plate from Brazil, the People's Republic of China, and the Republic of Korea: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigations*, 81 FR 27098 (May 5, 2016). This notice of postponement of preliminary determinations applies to the PRC and Korea only, as the International Trade Commission terminated the investigation of allegedly subsidized imports of certain carbon and alloy steel cut-to-length plate from Brazil, pursuant to section 703(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See *Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate From Austria, Belgium, Brazil, China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Korea, South Africa, Taiwan, and Turkey; Determinations*, 81 FR 33705 (May 27, 2016).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation Notice*, 81 FR at 27101. We note that the current deadline for the preliminary determinations is July 2, 2016, which is a Saturday. Pursuant to Department practice, the signature date

#### Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

Section 703(c)(1)(B) of the Act permits the Department to postpone the time limit for the preliminary determination if it concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating and determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated by reason of the number and complexity of the transactions to be investigated or adjustments to be considered, the novelty of the issues presented, the need to determine the extent to which particular countervailable subsidies are used by individual companies, or the number of firms whose activities must be investigated, and additional time is necessary to make the preliminary determination. Under this section of the Act, the Department may postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation.

The Department determines that the parties involved in these CTL plate CVD investigations are currently cooperating and that the investigations are extraordinarily complicated, such that we will need more time to make the preliminary determinations. Specifically, the Department finds that these investigations are both extraordinarily complicated by reason of the number and complexity of the alleged countervailable subsidy practices, and the need to determine the extent to which particular countervailable subsidies are used by individual manufacturers, producers, and exporters.

Therefore, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1), the Department is postponing the time period for the preliminary determinations of these investigations by 65 days, to September 6, 2016.<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determinations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

would be the next business day, which is Tuesday, July 5, 2016. See *Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* The deadline for the postponed preliminary determination would be September 5, 2016, *i.e.* not later than 130 days after the date of initiation. September 5, 2016 is Labor Day. Pursuant to Department practice, the signature date will be the next business day, which is Tuesday, September 6, 2016.

<sup>16</sup> See *Order*, 79 FR at 30817.