given special status under the Pilot Program unless the continuing
application is filed with a petition to make special under the Pilot Program.

Part XII. Twelve-Month Goal. The objective of the Cancer Immunology
Pilot Program is to complete the examination of an application within
twelve months of special status being
granted under the Pilot Program (i.e.,
within twelve months from the mailing
date of the decision granting the petition
to make special). The twelve-month goal
is successfully achieved when one of
the following final dispositions occurs
within twelve months from the grant of
special status under the Pilot Program:
(1) The mailing of a notice of allowance;
(2) the mailing of a final Office action;
(3) the filing of an RCE; (4) the
abandonment of the application; (5) or
the filing of a Notice of Appeal. The
final disposition of an application,
however, may occur later than the
twelve-month time frame in certain
circumstances (e.g., applicant files an
amendment that does not comply with
the Pilot Program claim requirements or
applicant petitions for extension of time
under 37 CFR 1.136(a)). See Part X for
more information on other events that
may cause examination to extend
beyond this twelve-month timeframe. In
any event, however, this twelve-month
time frame is simply a goal. Any failure
to meet the twelve-month goal or other
issues relating to this twelve-month goal
are neither petitionable nor appealable
matters.

Dated: June 24, 2016.

Michelle K. Lee,
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual
Property and Director of the United States
Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 2016–15533 Filed 6–28–16; 8:45 am]
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### ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17 CFR Section</th>
<th>Annual number of respondents</th>
<th>Frequency of response</th>
<th>Total annual responses</th>
<th>Hours per response</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.01</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>3,750</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1,875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total annual cost burden per respondent is estimated to be $6,875.
The Commission based its calculation
on a blended hourly wage rate of $55 for
a Programmer and Compliance
Manager.¹

¹In arriving at a wage rate for the hourly cost
imposed, Commission staff used the Management &
Professional Earnings in the Securities Industry
Report, published in 2013 by the Securities
Industry and Financial Markets Associations
('Report'). The wage rate used the median salary of
a Programmer and Compliance Manager as
published in the 2013 Report and divided that
figure by 2000 annual working hours to arrive at the
hourly rate of $55.
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DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Charter Renewal of Department of Defense Federal Advisory Committees

AGENCY: Department of Defense.

ACTION: Renewal of Federal Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense (DoD) is publishing this notice to announce that it is renewing the charter for the Army Education Advisory Committee (“the Committee”).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Freeman, Advisory Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, 703–692–5952.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee’s charter is being renewed in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended) and 41 CFR 102–3.50(d). The Committee’s charter and contact information for the Committee’s Designated Federal Officer (DFO) can be found at http://www/acadatabase.gov/. The Committee focuses on matters pertaining to the educational, doctrinal, and research policies and activities of the U.S. Army’s educational programs, to include the U.S. Army’s joint professional military education programs. The Committee provides the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense, through the Secretary of the Army and the Chief of Staff of the U.S. Army, independent advice and recommendations across the spectrum of educational policies, school curricula, educational philosophy and objectives, program effectiveness, facilities, staff and faculty, instructional methods, and other aspects of the organization and management of these programs. In addition, the Committee provides independent advice and recommendations on matters pertaining to the Army Historical Program and the role and mission of the U.S. Army Center of Military History. The Committee is composed of no more than 15 members, and its membership includes: Not more than 13 individuals who are eminent authorities in the fields of defense, management, leadership, and academia, including those who are deemed to be historical scholars; the Chief Historian of the Army, U.S. Army, Center of Military History; and the Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff, G–3/5/7 for U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command, who serves as a non-voting member of the Committee. All members are appointed to provide advice on behalf of the Government on the basis of their best judgment without representing any particular point of view and in a manner free from conflict of interest. Except for reimbursement of official Committee-related travel and per diem, Committee members serve without compensation.

The DoD may establish subcommittees, task forces, or working groups to support the Committee. All subcommittees operate under the provisions of FACA and the Government in the Sunshine Act, will not work independently of the Committee, report all findings to the Committee for full deliberation and discussion, and have no authority to make decisions and recommendations, verbally or in writing, on behalf of the Committee. No subcommittee or any of its members can update or report, verbally or in writing, directly to the DoD or any Federal officers or employees.

The Committee’s DFO, pursuant to DoD policy, must be a full-time or permanent part-time DoD employee, and must be in attendance for the duration of each and every Committee or subcommittee meeting. The public or interested organizations may submit written statements to the Committee membership about the Committee’s mission and functions. Such statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of planned Committee meetings. All written statements must be submitted to the Committee’s DFO who will ensure the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration.

Dated: June 24, 2016.

Aaron Siegel,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Government-Industry Advisory Panel; Notice of Federal Advisory Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics), Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Federal advisory committee meeting notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing this notice to announce the following Federal advisory committee meeting of the Government-Industry Advisory Panel. This meeting is open to the public.

DATES: The meeting will be held from 1:30 p.m. to 5 p.m. on Tuesday, July 12, 2016. Public registration will begin at 1 p.m. For entrance into the meeting, you must meet the necessary requirements for entrance into the Pentagon. For more detailed information, please see the following link: http://www.pfpa.mil/access.html.

ADDRESSES: Pentagon Library, Washington Headquarters Services, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–1155. The meeting will be held in Room M2. The Pentagon Library is located in the Pentagon Library and Conference Center (PLC2) across the Corridor 8 bridge.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Due to circumstances beyond the control of the Designated Federal Officer and the Department of Defense, the Government-Industry Advisory Panel was unable to provide public notification of its meeting of July 12, 2016, as required by 41 CFR 102–3.150(a). Accordingly, the Advisory Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150(b), waives the 15-calendar day notification requirement.

Purpose of the Meeting: This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA) (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.150. The Government-Industry Advisory Panel will review sections 2320 and 2321 of title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.), regarding rights in technical data and the validation of proprietary data restrictions and the regulations implementing such sections, for the purpose of ensuring that such statutory and regulatory requirements are best structured to serve the interest of the taxpayers and the national defense. The scope of the panel is as follows: (1) Ensuring that the Department of Defense (DoD) does not pay more than once for the same work, (2) ensuring that the...