

2010) (“*Diamond Sawblades*”), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department’s *Final Determination* and is amending the *Final Determination* of the antidumping duty investigation of boltless steel shelving units from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) with respect to the countervailing duty export subsidy adjustments applied to the cash deposit rates calculated for the *Final Determination*.²

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 5, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene Gorelik, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6905.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 19, 2016, the CIT remanded this case to the Department, based on a request for voluntary remand, to reconsider the amount of the export subsidy adjustments used to calculate cash deposit rates for respondents.³ Pursuant to the Final Remand Results, we reconsidered our export subsidy adjustments, as applied in the *Final Determination*, and revised our *Final Determination* cash deposit calculations, adjusted for export subsidies, in accordance with the established policy and practice articulated in *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People’s Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Investigation*, 77 FR 60673 (October 4, 2012) (“*PRC Sinks*”). The CIT sustained the Department’s Final Remand Results on June 22, 2016, making the effective date of this notice July 5, 2016.

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*, 893 F.2d at 341, as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The CIT’s June 22, 2016, judgment sustaining the Department’s Final Remand Results constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with the Department’s *Final Determination*. This

² See *Boltless Steel Shelving Units Prepackaged for Sale from the People’s Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 80 FR 51779 (August 26, 2015) (“*Final Determination*”).

³ See *Edsal Manufacturing Co., Inc., v. United States*, Court No. 15–00298 (April 18, 2016) (“*Remand Opinion and Order*”).

notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*. Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending the expiration of the period of appeal, or if appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision.

Amended Final Determination

Because there is now a final court decision, we are amending the *Final Determination* with respect to the amount of the export subsidy adjustments applied to the calculated cash deposit rates, in accordance with the Final Remand Results. Based on our applied practice in *PRC Sinks*, the proper adjustments to the AD cash deposits in the *Final Determination* of this investigation, as noted in the Final Remand Results, are as follows:

(1) For Zhongda United Holding Group Co., Ltd. (“Zhongda”), we reduced the AD cash deposit rate by the simple average of the export subsidy rates determined for the mandatory respondents in the companion CVD investigation. This adjustment is: 17.55 percent minus 0.02 percent,⁴ resulting in an adjusted AD cash deposit rate of 17.53 percent;

(2) For the other producer/exporter combinations receiving a separate rate we also reduced the AD cash deposit rate, which is based on the 17.55 percent calculated rate for Zhongda, by the simple average of the export subsidy rates determined for the mandatory respondents in the companion CVD investigation. This adjustment is: 17.55 percent minus 0.02 percent,⁵ percent resulting in an adjusted AD cash deposit rate of 17.53 percent; and

(3) For the PRC-wide entity (including Nanjing Topsun Racking Manufacturing Co., Ltd.), which received an adverse facts available rate based on information contained in the Petition, as an extension of the adverse inference found necessary pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act, the Department has adjusted the PRC-wide entity’s AD cash deposit rate by the lowest export subsidy rate determined for any party in the

⁴ In the companion countervailing duty (“CVD”) investigation, Zhongda was not a mandatory respondent and received the calculated “all-others” export subsidy rate of 0.02 percent, which should be used to adjust Zhongda’s calculated AD cash deposit rate. See *Boltless Steel Shelving Units Prepackaged for Sale from the People’s Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, 80 FR 51775 (August 26, 2015) (“*CVD Final*”) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at 18–22. In the *CVD Final*, the export subsidy rates determined for the mandatory respondents was 0.00 percent and 0.04 percent, the simple average of which is 0.02 percent.

⁵ *Id.*

companion CVD proceeding, which was 0.00 percent. Accordingly, the AD cash deposit rate of 112.68 percent is not adjusted, as an extension of the adverse inference found necessary under section 776(b) of the Act.

In the event the CIT’s ruling is not appealed, the Department will instruct CBP to require cash deposits equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as indicated in the *Final Determination*, adjusted where appropriate for the export subsidies noted above.⁶ These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Ralph K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016–16443 Filed 7–7–16; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XE718

North Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) Electronic Monitoring Workgroup (EMWG) will hold a public meeting on July 26, 2016.

DATES: The meeting will be at 1 p.m. on Tuesday, July 26, 2016, and end at 5 p.m. on Thursday, July 28, 2016, to view the agenda, see **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in the Susitna Room, at The Coast International Inn, 3450 Aviation Avenue., Anchorage, Alaska 99502.

⁶ See also Final Remand Results (describing the adjustments to the AD duty margins in more detail); see also sections 772(c)(1)(C) and 777A(f) of the Act, respectively. Unlike in administrative reviews, the Department calculates the adjustment for export subsidies in investigations not in the margin calculation program, but in the cash deposit instructions issued to CBP. See, e.g., *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, and Negative Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Lined Paper Products from India*, 71 FR 45012 (August 8, 2006), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 1.

Teleconference number is (907) 271-2896.

Council address: North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252; telephone (907) 271-2809.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Diana Evans, Council staff; telephone: 907-271-2809.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Agenda

Tuesday, July 26, 2016 Through Thursday, July 28, 2016

The agenda will include an update on the 2016 pre-implementation program, briefing on Northeast and West coast EM (electronic monitoring) programs, review of draft EM analysis, development of the 2017 pre-implementation plan, and other business and scheduling. The Agenda is subject to change, and the latest version will be posted at <http://www.npfmc.org/>.

Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Shannon Gleason, at (907) 271-2809, at least 7 working days prior to the meeting date.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: July 6, 2016.

Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2016-16308 Filed 7-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XE722

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of a joint meeting of the Law Enforcement Advisory Panel and Law Enforcement Committee.

SUMMARY: The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council's (Council) Law Enforcement Advisory Panel and Law Enforcement Committee will meet jointly to address issues pertaining to enforcement of fisheries regulations in the South Atlantic Region.

DATES: The joint meeting will take place on August 4-5, 2016. The meeting will begin at 1:30 p.m. on August 4 and conclude at 3 p.m. on August 5. The joint meeting will be broadcast via webinar. Registration information will be posted on the SAFMC Web site at www.safmc.net as it becomes available.

ADDRESSES: The joint meeting will be held at the Crowne Plaza hotel; 4831 Tanger Outlet Boulevard, North Charleston, SC 29418; phone: (843) 744-4422; fax: (843) 744-4472.

Council address: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201, N. Charleston, SC 29405.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim Iverson, Public Information Officer, SAFMC; phone: (843) 571-4366 or toll free (866) SAFMC-10; fax: (843) 769-4520; email: kim.iverson@safmc.net.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Council's Law Enforcement Advisory Panel and Law Enforcement Committee will meet jointly to address several items including proper stowage of spearfishing gear for vessels transiting through closed areas, enhancing compliance with future reporting requirements for for-hire vessels, utility of Operator Cards, enforcement of newly implemented regulations regarding transport of fillets from The Bahamas, Joint Enforcement Agreement (JEA) activities, and inclusion of Council's managed areas (*i.e.*, Marine Protected Areas, Spawning Special Management Zones) in navigation charts. Meeting participants will also receive a presentation on a marine managed areas mapping project.

Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for auxiliary aids should be directed to the council office (see **ADDRESSES**) 3 days prior to the meeting.

Note: The times and sequence specified in this agenda are subject to change.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: July 6, 2016.

Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2016-16356 Filed 7-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

[Docket No. PTO-P-2016-0012]

Post-Prosecution Pilot Program

AGENCY: United States Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) is initiating a Post-Prosecution Pilot Program (P3) to test its impact on enhancing patent practice during the period subsequent to a final rejection and prior to the filing of a notice of appeal. This Pilot Program responds to stakeholder input gathered during public forums held in support of the Enhanced Patent Quality Initiative. Under the P3, a panel of examiners, including the examiner of record, will hold a conference with the applicant to review the applicant's response to the final rejection of record. In order to participate in the P3, the applicant will be required to file a request for consideration under the P3 within two months from the mailing date of a final rejection and prior to filing a notice of appeal, together with a response to the final rejection and a statement that the applicant is willing and available to participate in the conference. The applicant will have the option of including in the response a proposed non-broadening amendment to a claim(s). The Office designed the P3 to increase the value of after final practice by (1) leveraging applicant input obtained through an oral presentation during a conference with a panel of examiners, and (2) also providing written explanation for the panel decision. The P3 is also designed to reduce the number of appeals and issues to be taken up on appeal to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB), and reduce the number of Requests for Continued Examination (RCE), and simplify the after final landscape. This notice identifies requirements and procedures of the P3, which will govern entry into, and practice under, the P3. This notice also solicits public comments on the P3 and other suggestions to improve after final practice and reduce the number of both appeals to the PTAB and RCEs.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 11, 2016.

Duration: The P3 will accept requests beginning July 11, 2016, until either January 12, 2017, or the date the Office accepts a total (collectively across all technology centers) of 1,600 compliant requests to participate under the P3, whichever occurs first. Each individual