

the establishment-specific data does not include papers that use the data that are not peer-reviewed.

Another commenter recommended that FSIS reassess the Plan after one year. If after one year FSIS determines that the data release program is not achieving its intended goals, the Agency should change the Plan.

Response: FSIS acknowledges that it is impossible to anticipate every way in which the released establishment-specific data will be used. The Plan, however, presents a framework of performance measures that will adequately inform future data releases. This framework includes a combination of the seven quantitative metrics listed, along with qualitative measures, such as assessments of how data are interpreted and used by stakeholders. FSIS will regularly review these metrics and use them to guide future choices for data release.

USDA Nondiscrimination Statement

No agency, officer, or employee of the USDA shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, or political beliefs, exclude from participation in, deny the benefits of, or subject to discrimination any person in the United States under any program or activity conducted by the USDA.

To file a complaint of discrimination, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, which may be accessed online at http://www.ocio.usda.gov/sites/default/files/docs/2012/Complain_combined_6_8_12.pdf, or write a letter signed by you or your authorized representative.

Send your completed complaint form or letter to USDA by mail, fax, or email:

Mail

U.S. Department of Agriculture Director,
Office of Adjudication, 1400
Independence Avenue SW.,
Washington, DC 20250-9410.

Fax

(202) 690-7442

Email

program.intake@usda.gov

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (voice and TDD).

Additional Public Notification

FSIS will announce this notice online through the FSIS Web page located at <http://www.fsis.usda.gov/federal-register>.

FSIS will also make copies of this **Federal Register** publication available through the FSIS Constituent Update, which is used to provide information regarding FSIS policies, procedures, regulations, **Federal Register** notices, FSIS public meetings, and other types of information that could affect or would be of interest to constituents and stakeholders. The Update is communicated via Listserv, a free electronic mail subscription service for industry, trade groups, consumer interest groups, health professionals, and other individuals who have asked to be included. The Update is also available on the FSIS Web page. In addition, FSIS offers an electronic mail subscription service which provides automatic and customized access to selected food safety news and information. This service is available at <http://www.fsis.usda.gov/subscribe>. Options range from recalls to export information to regulations, directives, and notices. Customers can add or delete subscriptions themselves, and have the option to password protect their accounts.

Done at Washington, DC, on July 11, 2016.

Alfred V. Almanza,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2016-16642 Filed 7-13-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-DM-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Title: NIST Associates Information System (NAIS).

OMB Control Number: 0693-0067.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Request: Regular submission (extension).

Number of Respondents: 4,000.

Average Hours per Response: 30 minutes.

Burden Hours: 2,000.

Needs and Uses: NIST Associates (NA) will include guest researchers, research associates, contractors, and other non-NIST employees that require access to the NIST campuses or resources. The NIST Associates Information System (NAIS) information collection instruments(s) are completed

by incoming NAs. They are asked to provide personal identifying data including home address, date and place of birth, employer name and address, and basic security information. The data provided by the collection instruments is input into NAIS which automatically populates the appropriate forms, and is routed through the approval process. NIST's Office of Security receives security forms through the NAIS process and is able to allow preliminary access to NIST for NAs. The data collected is the basis for further security investigations as necessary.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: Once.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

This information collection request may be viewed at reginfo.gov. Follow the instructions to view Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov or fax to (202) 395-5806.

Dated: July 8, 2016.

Glenna Mickelson,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016-16600 Filed 7-13-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-601]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results, Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Preliminary Rescission of New Shipper Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Department) is conducting an administrative review (AR) and a new shipper review (NSR) of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished (TRBs), from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The AR covers four¹ exporters, of which

¹ This figure does not include one exporter for which the Department is preliminarily rescinding the administrative review.

the Department selected two mandatory respondents for individual examination (*i.e.*, Changshan Peer Bearing Co. Ltd. (CPZ/SKF); and Yantai CMC Bearing Co., Ltd. (Yantai CMC)). The NSR covers Shandong Bolong Bearing Co., Ltd. (Bolong). The period of review (POR) is June 1, 2014, through May 31, 2015.

We preliminarily determine that sales of subject merchandise have been made below normal value (NV). In addition, we preliminarily determine that Bolong's sale to the United States is not *bona fide*, as required by section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² Therefore, we are preliminarily rescinding this NSR. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 14, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Blaine Wiltse or Manuel Rey, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6345 or (202) 482-5518, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes tapered roller bearings and parts thereof. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 8482.20.00, 8482.91.00.50, 8482.99.15, 8482.99.45, 8483.20.40, 8483.20.80, 8483.30.80, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, 8483.90.80, 8708.70.6060, 8708.99.2300, 8708.99.4850, 8708.99.6890, 8708.99.8115, and 8708.99.8180. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.³

² On February 24, 2016, the President of the United States signed into law the Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015, Public Law 114-125 (February 24, 2016), which made amendments to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act. These amendments apply to this determination.

³ For a complete description of the scope of the order, *see* memorandum from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, entitled "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2014-2015 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review of Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, from the People's

Tolling of Deadlines for Preliminary Results

As explained in the memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government during Snowstorm "Jonas."⁴ Therefore, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four days. The revised deadline for the preliminary results of this review is now July 5, 2016.

Partial Rescission of the Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. On October 27, 2015, GGB Bearing Technology (Suzhou) Co., Ltd. (GGB) timely withdrew its request for an administrative review.⁵ No other party had requested a review of GGB. Based on the timely withdrawal of the request for review and because GGB established its entitlement to a separate rate from a prior segment, the Department is rescinding this administrative review with respect to GGB, in accordance with 19 CR 351.213(d)(1).

Preliminary Rescission of the NSR

As discussed in the Bona Fides Analysis Memorandum,⁶ the Department preliminarily finds that the single sale made by Bolong to the United States during the POR is not a *bona fide* sale. The Department reached this conclusion based on the totality of the circumstances surrounding the reported sale, including:

(I) the prices of such sales; (II) whether such sales were made in commercial

Republic of China" (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), issued concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.

⁴ *See* Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm Jonas," dated January 27, 2016.

⁵ *See* Letter to the Department from GGB, "Withdrawal of Administrative Review Request in the Antidumping Duty Order on Tapered Roller Bearings from the People's Republic of China (POR: 06/01/14-5/31/15)," dated October 27, 2015.

⁶ *See* Memorandum from Manuel Rey, International Trade Analyst, to Melissa Skinner, Director of AD/CVD Operations, dated July 5, 2016 entitled, "New Shipper Review of Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China—Bona Fides Sales Analysis" (Bona Fides Analysis Memorandum), issued concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.

quantities; (III) the timing of such sales; (IV) the expenses arising from such sales; (V) whether the subject merchandise involved in such sales was resold in the United States at a profit; (VI) whether such sales were made on an arms-length basis; and (VII) any other factor {it} determines to be relevant as to whether such sales are, or are not, likely to be typical of those the exporter or producer will make after completion of the review.⁷

Because the non-*bona fide* sale was the only reported sale of subject merchandise during the POR, and thus there are no reviewable transactions on this record, we are preliminarily rescinding the NSR. Because much of the factual information used in our analysis of Bolong's sale involves business proprietary information, a full discussion of the basis for our preliminary determination is set forth in the Bona Fides Analysis Memorandum.

We further note that Bolong's NSR request did not conform to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii). 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii) requires that, in order to qualify for a NSR, the requestor must provide certifications from both itself and any company that supplied it with subject merchandise that neither party exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation. In this case, Bolong purchased in-scope components from unaffiliated producers, and it failed to provide the certifications required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii) from those producers. The Department requires appropriate certifications from any company requesting a NSR that sources in-scope merchandise, whether finished or unfinished, from its suppliers. In conjunction with any arguments that its reported sale is *bona fide*, Bolong shall submit the requisite certifications from the suppliers of the subject merchandise.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. As noted above, there are two mandatory respondents in this administrative review: CPZ/SKF and Yantai CMC. For CPZ/SKF, we calculated constructed export prices in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a non-market economy (NME) within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, NV has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

For Yantai CMC, we preliminarily find that this respondent is ineligible for a separate rate because it has failed to demonstrate an absence of *de facto*

⁷ *See* section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

government control in this administrative review. Therefore, we did not calculate a separate margin for Yantai CMC.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be found at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as the Appendix to this notice.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies Which Are Eligible for a Separate Rate

As indicated in the "Preliminary Results of Review" section below, we preliminarily determine that a margin of zero percent applies to the two firms not selected for individual review but determined to be eligible for a separate rate. For further information, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum at "Rate for Non-Examined Companies Which Are Eligible for a Separate Rate."

Preliminary Results of Review

Because Yantai CMC did not demonstrate that it was entitled to a separate rate, the Department preliminarily finds Yantai CMC to be part of the PRC-wide entity.⁸ The rate previously established for the PRC-wide entity is 92.84 percent.

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the

period June 1, 2014, through May 31, 2015:

Exporters	Weighted-average percent margin
Changshan Peer Bearing Co., Ltd	0.00
Haining Nice Flourish Auto Parts Co., Ltd*	0.00
Roci International (HK) Limited*	0.00

* This company demonstrated that it qualified for a separate rate in this administrative review.

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department will disclose calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.⁹ Rebuttals to case briefs may be filed no later than five days after case briefs are filed and all rebuttal briefs must be limited to comments raised in the case briefs.¹⁰ Parties who submit comments are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹¹

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice.¹² Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs.¹³ If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.¹⁴

All submissions, with limited exceptions, must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by 5 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) on the due date. Documents excepted from the electronic submission requirements must be filed manually (i.e., in paper form) with the APO/Dockets Unit in Room 18022 and stamped with the date and time of receipt by 5 p.m. ET on the due date.

Unless otherwise extended, the Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of all issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results of the administrative review, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.¹⁵ If the preliminary results are unchanged for the final results we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of zero percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the zero percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by CPZ/SKF and the two aforementioned companies which were not selected for individual examination but were found to be eligible for a separate rate.

If we determine in the final results that an individually-examined respondent in the administrative review (e.g., CPZ/SKF) has a weighted-average dumping margin which is not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), then we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).¹⁶

For the final results, if we continue to treat Yantai CMC as part of the PRC-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 92.84 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were exported by Yantai CMC.

We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of the final results of this review.

For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during the administrative review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case

⁸ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum, at 8–10. Pursuant to the Department's change in practice, the Department no longer considers the NME entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews. See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963, 65970 (November 4, 2013). Under this practice, the NME entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or the Department self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the entity, the entity is not under review and the entity's rate is not subject to change.

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹⁶ In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.¹⁷

If we proceed to a final rescission of the NSR, Bolong's entries will be assessed at the rate entered.¹⁸ If we do not proceed to a final rescission of the NSR, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate for Bolong. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this NSR if the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this NSR is above *de minimis*.¹⁹

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above which have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then a cash deposit rate of zero will be established for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity, 92.84 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Effective upon publication of the final rescission or the final results of the NSR, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(e), the Department will instruct CBP to discontinue the option of posting a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for entries of subject merchandise by Bolong. If the Department proceeds to a final rescission of the NSR, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the PRC-wide

rate for Bolong because the Department will not have determined an individual margin of dumping for this company. If the Department issues final results for the NSR, the Department will instruct CBP to collect a cash deposit, effective upon the publication of the final results, at the rate established therein.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of reviews in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: July 5, 2016.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Bona Fides Analysis
5. Discussion of the Methodology for the Administrative Review
 - a. Non-Market Economy Country
 - b. Separate Rates
 - c. Separate Rate Assigned to Non-Selected Companies
 - d. The PRC-Wide Entity
 - e. Collapsing of CPZ/SKF With Another Producer of TRBs
 - f. Surrogate Country
 - g. Date of Sale
 - h. Comparisons to Normal Value
 - i. Determination of Comparison Method
 - j. Constructed Export Price
 - k. Value-Added Tax (VAT)
 - l. Normal Value
 - m. Currency Conversion
6. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2016-16467 Filed 7-13-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XE693

Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Crab Rationalization Cost Recovery Program

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notification of fee percentage.

SUMMARY: NMFS publishes notification of a 1.60 percent fee for cost recovery under the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Crab Rationalization Program. This action is intended to provide holders of crab allocations with the fee percentage for the 2016/2017 crab fishing year so they can calculate the required payment for cost recovery fees that must be submitted by July 31, 2017.

DATES: The Crab Rationalization Program Registered Crab Receiver permit holder is responsible for submitting the fee liability payment to NMFS on or before July 31, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Keeley Kent, 907-586-7228.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

NMFS Alaska Region administers the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Crab Rationalization Program (Program) in the North Pacific. Fishing under the Program began on August 15, 2005. Regulations implementing the Program can be found at 50 CFR part 680.

The Program is a limited access system authorized by section 313(j) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act). The Program includes a cost recovery provision to collect fees to recover the actual costs directly related to the management, data collection, and enforcement of the Program. The Program implemented under the authority of section 313(j) is consistent with the cost recovery provisions included under section 304(d)(2)(A) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act. NMFS developed the cost recovery provision to conform to statutory requirements and to reimburse the agency for the actual costs directly related to the management, data collection, and enforcement of the Program. The cost recovery provision allows collection of 133 percent of the actual management, data collection, and enforcement costs up to 3 percent of the

¹⁷ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).