

### Battery Systems Twenty-Fourth Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is issuing this notice to advise the public of a meeting of RTCA Special Committee 225, Rechargeable Lithium Battery and Battery Systems Twenty-Fourth Meeting.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held August 9, 2016, 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at: <https://rtca.webex.com/rtca/j.php?MTID=m49080be6d09ca112b2f0aaef27e66d49>.

Meeting number: 630 710 609.

Meeting password: August 2016.

Join by phone 1-877-668-4493 Call-in toll-free number (U.S./Canada), 1-650-479-3208 Call-in toll number (U.S./Canada), Access code: 630 710 609.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jennifer Iversen at [jiversen@rtca.org](mailto:jiversen@rtca.org) or (202) 330-0662 or The RTCA Secretariat, 1150 18th Street NW., Suite 910, Washington, DC 20036, or by telephone at (202) 833-9339, fax at (202) 833-9434, or Web site at <http://www.rtca.org>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 5 U.S.C., App.), notice is hereby given for a meeting of the RTCA Special Committee 225, Rechargeable Lithium Battery and Battery Systems Twenty-Fourth Meeting. The agenda will include the following:

#### Tuesday, August 9, 2016

1. Introductions and administrative items (including DFO & RTCA Statement) (15 min)
2. Review agenda (5 min)
3. Review and approve summary from the last Plenary (10 min)
4. Review and approve Multi-Cell Thermal Runaway test (3.5 hours)
5. Lunch (1:00 p.m. EDT)
6. Final review of document including: (3.5 hr)
  - Changes made to document between plenary 23 and 24
  - Document reformat
  - Requirements (section 2.2)
  - Test Procedures (section 2.4)
7. Approve document for Final Review and Comment (FRAC) (10 min)
8. Establish Agenda, location, and time for next Plenary (10 min)
9. Adjourn

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the person

listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 13, 2016.

**Mohannad Dawoud,**

Management & Program Analyst, Partnership Contracts Branch, ANG-A17, NextGen, Procurement Services Division, Federal Aviation Administration.

[FR Doc. 2016-16926 Filed 7-15-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Notice of Funding Opportunity for the Tribal Transportation Program Safety Funding

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of funding opportunity.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces a funding opportunity and requests grant applications for FHWA's Tribal Transportation Program Safety Funds (TTPSF). In addition, this notice identifies selection criteria, application requirements, and technical assistance during the grant solicitation period for the TTPSF.

The TTPSF is authorized within the Tribal Transportation Program (TTP) under the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act. The FHWA will distribute these funds as described in this notice on a competitive basis in a manner consistent with the selection criteria.

**DATES:** Applications must be submitted electronically no later than 11:59 p.m., e.t. on September 16, 2016 (the "application deadline"). Applicants are encouraged to submit applications in advance of the application deadline; however, applications will not be evaluated, and awards will not be made until after the application deadline.

The FHWA plans to conduct outreach regarding the TTPSF in the form of a Webinar on August 3, 2016 at 2:00 p.m., e.t. To join the Webinar, please click this link then enter the room as a guest: <https://connectdot.connectsolutions.com/tribaltrans/>. The audio portion of the Webinar can be accessed from this teleconference line: TOLL FREE 1-888-251-2909; ACCESS CODE 4442306. The Webinar will be recorded and posted on FHWA's Web site at: <http://www.fhwa.gov/programs/ttp/safety/>. A TDD is available for

individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing at 202-366-3993.

**ADDRESSES:** Applications must be submitted electronically through the Web site: <http://flh.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/ttp/safety/ttpsf.htm>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information concerning this notice please contact Russell Garcia, TTPSF Program Manager, via email at [russell.garcia@dot.gov](mailto:russell.garcia@dot.gov); by telephone at 202-366-9815; or by mail at Federal Highway Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. For legal questions, please contact Ms. Vivian Philbin, Office of the Chief Counsel, by telephone at (720) 963-3445; by email at [vivian.philbin@dot.gov](mailto:vivian.philbin@dot.gov); or by mail at Federal Highway Administration, Central Federal Lands Highway Division, 12300 West Dakota Avenue, Lakewood, CO 80228. Office hours are from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. m.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 5, 2013, FHWA published the first notice of funding availability for the TTPSF (78 FR 47480). On November 13, 2013, FHWA awarded 183 tribes a total of \$8.6 million for 193 safety projects. On May 14, 2014, FHWA published the second notice of funding availability for the TTPSF (79 FR 27676). On March 10, 2015, FHWA awarded 82 tribes a total of \$8.5 million for 94 projects to improve transportation safety on tribal lands. On June 26, 2015, FHWA published the third notice of funding availability for the TTPSF (80 FR 36885). On December 9, 2015, FHWA awarded 36 tribes a total of \$449,500 for 36 projects for developing tribal safety plans. On April 26, 2016, FHWA awarded 35 tribes a total of \$8 million for 54 projects. The FHWA is publishing this fourth notice to announce an additional round of funding and request grant applications for Fiscal Year 2016.

#### Table of Contents

- A. Program Description
- B. Federal Award Information
- C. Eligibility Information
  1. Eligible Applicants
  2. Cost Sharing or Matching
- D. Application and Submission Information
  1. Address To Request Application Package
  2. Content and Form of Application Submission
  3. Unique Entity Identifier and System for Award Management (SAM)
  4. Submission Dates and Time
  5. Intergovernmental Review
  6. Funding Restrictions
  7. Other Submission Requirements
- E. Application Review Information

1. Criteria
  - i. Safety Plans and Safety Planning Activities (Funding Goal 40 Percent of TTPSF)
  - ii. Other Eligible Activities as Listed in 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4) (Funding Goal 60 Percent of TTPSF)
2. Review and Selection Process
  - i. Safety Plans and Safety Planning Activities
  - ii. Other Eligible Activities as Listed in 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4)
- F. Federal Award Administration Information
  1. Federal Award Notice
  2. Administrative and National Policy
  3. Reporting
- G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)
- H. Other Information
  1. Protection of Confidential Business Information

### A. Program Description

Since the TTPSF was created under Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21), FHWA has awarded \$17.1 million to 336 Indian tribes for 377 projects, including development of safety plans, to address safety issues in Indian country over three rounds of competitive grants. The intent of the TTPSF is to prevent and reduce deaths or serious injuries in transportation-related crashes on tribal lands where statistics are consistently higher than the rest of the Nation as a whole.

The TTPSF emphasizes the development of strategic Transportation Safety Plans using a data-driven process as a means for tribes to determine how transportation safety needs will be addressed in tribal communities. Tribal Transportation Safety Plans are a tool used to identify risk factors that lead to serious injury or death and organize various entities to strategically reduce risk; projects submitted must be data-driven, must be consistent with a comprehensive safety strategy, and must correct or improve a hazardous road location or feature or address a highway safety problem.

Throughout the past three grant cycles, TTPSF awards have supported safety planning, engineering, enforcement and emergency services, and education (the 4Es) projects. Successful TTPSF projects leverage resources, encourage partnership, and have the data to support the applicants' approach in addressing the prevention and reduction of death or serious injuries in transportation-related crashes. A listing of the safety projects/activities that tribes previously submitted and were awarded TTP safety funds, as well as additional safety-related information can be found on the TTP Safety Web site at <http://flh.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/ttp/safety/ttpsf.htm>. However, the FAST Act made

some changes to the types of projects and activities that will be eligible for TTPSF grants in Fiscal Year 2016 and future years.

Under MAP-21, the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) included a range of eligible HSIP projects. The list of eligible projects was non-exhaustive, and a State could use HSIP funds on any safety project (infrastructure-related or non-infrastructure) that met the overarching requirements that the project be consistent with the State's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) and correct or improve a hazardous road location or feature or address a highway safety problem. Although the FAST Act continued these overarching requirements under HSIP, it limited eligibility to the projects and activities listed in section 148(a)(4) of title 23, United States Code, most of which are infrastructure-safety related.

As a result of the FAST Act amendments, in Fiscal Year (FY) 2016, the TTPSF will only fund highway safety improvement projects eligible under the HSIP as listed in 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4). For purposes of awarding funds under this program in FY 2016, FHWA has identified two eligibility categories and intends to focus approximately 40 percent of the funding on safety plans and safety planning activities, and the remaining 60 percent on other eligible activities as listed in 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4).

### B. Federal Award Information

The FAST Act authorized TTPSF as a set aside of not more than 2 percent of the funds made available under the TTP for FY 2016. This notice of funding opportunity solicits proposals under the TTPSF for FY 2016. Section 202(e) of title 23, United States Code, provides that the Secretary shall allocate funds based on an identification and analysis of highway safety issues and opportunities on tribal lands, as determined by the Secretary, on application of the Indian tribal governments for HSIP eligible projects described in 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4). Eligible projects described in section 148(a)(4) include strategies, activities, and projects on a public road that are consistent with a State strategic highway safety plan and correct or improve a hazardous road location or feature, or address a highway safety problem.

Under 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4), eligible projects are limited to the following:

- (i) An intersection safety improvement.
- (ii) Pavement and shoulder widening (including addition of a passing lane to remedy an unsafe condition).

(iii) Installation of rumble strips or another warning device, if the rumble strips or other warning devices do not adversely affect the safety or mobility of bicyclists and pedestrians, including persons with disabilities.

(iv) Installation of a skid-resistant surface at an intersection or other location with a high frequency of crashes.

(v) An improvement for pedestrian or bicyclist safety or safety of persons with disabilities.

(vi) Construction and improvement of a railway-highway grade crossing safety feature, including installation of protective devices.

(vii) The conduct of a model traffic enforcement activity at a railway-highway crossing.

(viii) Construction of a traffic calming feature.

(ix) Elimination of a roadside hazard.

(x) Installation, replacement, and other improvement of highway signage and pavement markings, or a project to maintain minimum levels of retroreflectivity, that addresses a highway safety problem consistent with an SHSP.

(xi) Installation of a priority control system for emergency vehicles at signalized intersections.

(xii) Installation of a traffic control or other warning device at a location with high crash potential.

(xiii) Transportation safety planning.

(xiv) Collection, analysis, and improvement of safety data.

(xv) Planning integrated interoperable emergency communications equipment, operational activities, or traffic enforcement activities (including police assistance) relating to work zone safety.

(xvi) Installation of guardrails, barriers (including barriers between construction work zones and traffic lanes for the safety of road users and workers), and crash attenuators.

(xvii) The addition or retrofitting of structures or other measures to eliminate or reduce crashes involving vehicles and wildlife.

(xviii) Installation of yellow-green signs and signals at pedestrian and bicycle crossings and in school zones.

(xix) Construction and operational improvements on high risk rural roads.

(xx) Geometric improvements to a road for safety purposes that improve safety.

(xxi) A road safety audit.

(xxii) Roadway safety infrastructure improvements consistent with the recommendations included in the publication of the Federal Highway Administration entitled "Highway Design Handbook for Older Drivers and Pedestrians" (FHWA-RD-01-103),

dated May 2001 or as subsequently revised and updated.

(xxiii) Truck parking facilities eligible for funding under section 1401 of the MAP-21.

(xxiv) Systemic safety improvements.

(xxv) Installation of vehicle-to-infrastructure communication equipment.

(xxvi) Pedestrian hybrid beacons.

(xxvii) Roadway improvements that provide separation between pedestrians and motor vehicles, including medians and pedestrian crossing islands.

(xxviii) A physical infrastructure safety project not described in clauses (i) through (xxvii).

For more information regarding eligible activities under HSIP, please see FHWA guidance at:

<http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/legislationandpolicy/fast/guidance.cfm>

[http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/rulemaking/docs/hsip\\_ig42216\\_final.pdf](http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/rulemaking/docs/hsip_ig42216_final.pdf)

Section 202(e) further specifies that in applying for TTPSF, an Indian tribal government, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Interior and, as appropriate, with a State, local government, or metropolitan planning organization, shall select projects from the transportation improvement program (TIP), subject to the approval of the Secretary of Transportation and the Secretary of the Interior.

Upon award, successful applicants will receive the TTPSF funds through their existing TTP contracting methodology with either the FHWA or Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA). Upon completion of a TTPSF project, funds that are not expended are to be recovered and returned to the FHWA to be made available for the following year's TTPSF grant cycle.

### C. Eligibility Information

To be selected for a TTPSF award, an applicant must be a federally recognized Indian tribe and the project must be an eligible project.

#### 1. Eligible Applicants

Eligible applicants for TTPSF discretionary grants are federally recognized tribes identified on the list of "Indian Entities Recognized and Eligible to Receive Services from the Bureau of Indian Affairs" (published at 81 FR 26826). Other entities may partner with a tribal government to submit an application, but the eligible applicant must be a federally recognized Indian tribe. A tribe may submit more than one application; however, only one project may be included in each application.

Recipients of prior TTPSF funds may submit applications during this current round according to the selection criteria. However, to be competitive, the applicant should demonstrate the extent to which the previously funded project or projects has been able to meet estimated project schedules and budget, as well as the ability to realize the outcomes for previous awards.

#### 2. Cost Sharing or Matching

There is no matching requirement for the TTPSF. However, if the total amount of funding requested for applications rated "highly qualified" or "qualified" exceeds the amount of available funding, FHWA will give priority consideration to those projects that show a commitment of other funding sources to complement the TTPSF funding request. Therefore, leveraging a TTPSF request with other funding sources identified in Section E is encouraged.

### D. Application and Submission Information

#### 1. Address To Request Application Package

Application package can be downloaded from the TTPSF Web site: <http://flh.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/ttp/safety/ttpsf.htm>. Applicants may also request a paper copy of this application package by contacting Russell Garcia at 202-366-9815. For a Telephone Device for the Deaf (TDD) please call 202-366-3993. The applications must be submitted electronically through the following Web site: <http://flh.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/ttp/safety/ttpsf.htm>. Applicants are encouraged to submit applications in advance of the application deadline; however, applications will not be evaluated, and awards will not be made until after the application deadline.

#### 2. Content and Form of Application Submission

The FHWA may request additional information, including additional data, to clarify an application, but FHWA encourages applicants to submit the most relevant and complete information they can provide. The FHWA also encourages applicants, to the extent practicable, to provide data and evidence of project merits in a form that is publicly available or verifiable.

The applicants should include the following information in their applications:

- i. Fill out an online form similar to SF-424 at: <http://flh.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/ttp/safety/ttpsf.htm>
- ii. Narrative

Applicants must attach a supplemental narrative to their submission to successfully complete the application process. Applicants must include the supplemental narrative in the attachments section of the form.

Applicants must identify the eligibility category for which they are seeking funds in the project narrative. In addition, applicants should address each question or statement in their applications. It is recommended that applicants use standard formatting (e.g., a single-spaced document, using a standard 12-point font, such as Times New Roman, with 1-inch margins) to prepare their application narratives. An application must include any information needed to verify that the project meets the statutory eligibility criteria in order for the FHWA to evaluate the application against TTPSF rating criteria.

Applicants should demonstrate the responsiveness of their proposals to any pertinent selection criteria with the most relevant information that applicants can provide, and substantiated by data, regardless of whether such information is specifically requested, or identified, in the final notice. Applicants should provide evidence of the feasibility of achieving certain project milestones, financial capacity, and commitment in order to support project readiness.

Consistent with the requirements for an eligible highway safety improvement project under 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4), applicants must describe clearly how their project would correct or improve a hazardous road location or feature, or would address a highway safety problem. The application must include supporting data.

For ease of review, FHWA recommends that the project narrative generally adhere to the following basic outline, and include a table of contents, project abstract, maps, and graphics:

a. *Project Abstract*: Describe project work that would be completed under the project, the hazardous road location or feature or the highway safety problem that the project would address, and whether the project is a complete project or part of a larger project with prior investment (maximum five sentences). The project abstract must succinctly describe how this specific request for TTPSF would be used to complete the project.

b. *Project Description*: Include information on the expected users of the project, a description of the hazardous road location or feature or the highway safety problem that the project would address, and how the project would address these challenges;

c. *Applicant information and coordination with other entities:* Identify the Indian tribal government applying for TTPSF, a description of cooperation with other entities in selecting projects from the TIP as required under 23 U.S.C. 202(e)(2), and information regarding any other entities involved in the project;

d. *Grant Funds and Sources/Uses of Project Funds:* Include information about the amount of grant funding requested for the project, availability/commitment of funds sources and uses of all project funds, total project costs, percentage of project costs that would be paid for with the TTPSF, and the identity and percentage shares of all parties providing funds for the project (including Federal funds provided under other programs);

e. Include a description of how the proposal meets the Selection Criteria identified in Section E, Subsection 1 Criteria.

### 3. Unique Entity Identifier and System for Award Management (SAM)

The TTPSF requires applicants to provide their Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number with their application.

### 4. Submission Dates and Time

i. *Deadline*—Applications must be submitted electronically no later than 11:59 p.m., e.t. on September 16, 2016 (the “application deadline”).

ii. Applicants are encouraged to submit applications in advance of the application deadline; however, applications will not be evaluated, and awards will not be made until after the application deadline.

iii. Upon submission of the applications electronically through the following Web site: <http://flh.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/ttp/safety/ttpsf.htm>, the applicants will receive automatic reply confirming transmittal of the application to the FHWA. Please contact Russell Garcia at 202–366–9815, should you not receive any confirmation from the FHWA.

iv. *Late Applications*—Applications received after the deadline will not be considered except in the case of unforeseen technical difficulties that are beyond the applicant’s control. The FHWA will consider late applications on a case-by-case basis. Applicants are encouraged to submit additional information documenting the technical difficulties experienced, including a screen capture of any error messages received.

### 5. Intergovernmental Review

The TTPSF is not subject to the Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

### 6. Funding Restrictions

There are no funding restrictions on any applications. However, FHWA anticipates high demand for this limited amount of funding and encourages applications with scalable requests that allow more tribes to receive funding and for requests that identify a commitment of other funding sources to complement the TTPSF funding request. Applicants should demonstrate the capacity to successfully implement the proposed request in a timely manner, and ensure that cost estimates and timelines to complete deliverables are included in their applications.

### 7. Other Submission Requirements

Applications must be submitted electronically through the following Web site: <http://flh.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/ttp/safety/ttpsf.htm>.

## E. Application Review Information

### 1. Criteria

The FHWA will award TTPSF funds based on the selection criteria and policy considerations as outlined below. However, to be competitive, the applicant should demonstrate the extent to which a previously funded project or projects has been able to meet estimated project schedules and budget, as well as the ability to realize the outcomes for previous awards.

The FHWA intends to allocate the TTPSF between two categories as follows: (1) Safety plans and safety planning activities (40 percent); and (2) other eligible activities as listed in 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4) (60 percent). These proposed allocation amounts provide substantial funding for tribal safety plans and planning activities to reflect the strong need that has been identified in this area and to ensure that all tribes have an opportunity to assess their safety needs and prioritize safety projects. These percentages are only funding goals and may be adjusted to reflect the amounts requested in the applications received in response to this notice.

#### i. Safety Plans and Safety Planning Activities (Funding Goal 40 Percent of TTPSF)

The development of a tribal safety plan that is data-driven, identifies transportation safety issues, prioritizes activities, is coordinated with the State SHSP (all State SHSPs can be found at: <http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/shsp/>

[state\\_links.cfm](http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/shsp/)), and promotes a comprehensive approach to addressing safety needs by including all 4Es, is a critical step in improving highway safety. Additional information on developing a tribal safety plan can be found at: <http://flh.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/ttp/safety/>. Accordingly, FHWA will award TTPSF for developing and updating tribal safety plans, and other safety planning activities. The FHWA will use the following criteria in the evaluation of TTPSF funding requests for tribal safety plans: (1) Development of a tribal safety plan where none currently exists, and (2) age or status of an existing tribal safety plan.

The FHWA will use the following criteria in the evaluation of TTPSF funding requests for safety planning activities: (1) Inclusion of the activity in a completed State SHSP or tribal transportation safety plan that is no more than 5 years old; (2) submission of supporting data that demonstrates the need for the activity; (3) leveraging of private or other public funding; or (4) the project is part of a comprehensive approach to safety which includes other safety efforts.

Examples of eligible safety planning activities include:

- Development or Updating of Tribal Safety Plans;
- Collection, analysis, and improvement of safety data; and
- Road safety audits/assessments.

#### ii. Other Eligible Activities as Listed in 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4) (Funding Goal 60 Percent of TTPSF)

The FHWA will use the following criteria in the evaluation of funding requests under this category: (1) Inclusion of the project or activity in a completed State SHSP or tribal transportation safety plan that is no more than 5 years old, or inclusion of the activity in a completed road safety audit, engineering study, impact assessment or other engineering document; (2) submission of supporting data that demonstrates the need for the project; (3) ownership of the facility, if applicable; (4) leveraging of private or other public funding; (5) years since the tribe has last received funding for a TTPSF engineering improvement project, if applicable; or (6) the project is part of a comprehensive approach to safety which includes other safety efforts.

Examples of other eligible activities as identified in 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4) include:

- An intersection safety improvement;

- Pavement and shoulder widening (including addition of a passing lane to remedy an unsafe condition);
- Installation of rumble strips or another warning device, if the rumble strips or other warning devices do not adversely affect the safety or mobility of bicyclists and pedestrians, including persons with disabilities;
- Installation of a skid-resistant surface at an intersection or other location with a high frequency of crashes;
- An improvement for pedestrian or bicyclist safety or safety of persons with disabilities;
- Construction and improvement of a railway-highway grade crossing safety feature, including installation of protective devices;
- The conduct of a model traffic enforcement activity at a railway-highway crossing;
- Construction of a traffic calming feature;
- Elimination of a roadside hazard;
- Installation, replacement, and other improvement of highway signage and pavement markings, or a project to maintain minimum levels of retroreflectivity that addresses a highway safety problem consistent with a Tribal or State strategic highway safety plan;
- Installation of a priority control system for emergency vehicles at signalized intersections;
- Installation of a traffic control or other warning device at a location with high crash potential;
- Planning integrated interoperable emergency communications equipment, operational activities, or traffic enforcement activities (including police assistance) relating to work zone safety;
- Installation of guardrails, barriers (including barriers between construction work zones and traffic lanes for the safety of road users and workers), and crash attenuators;
- The addition or retrofitting of structures or other measures to eliminate or reduce crashes involving vehicles and wildlife;
- Installation of yellow-green signs and signals at pedestrian and bicycle crossings and in school zones;
- Construction and operational improvements on high risk rural roads;
- Geometric improvements to a road for safety purposes that improve safety;
- Roadway safety infrastructure improvements consistent with the recommendations included in the FHWA publication entitled "Highway Design Handbook for Older Drivers and Pedestrians" (FHWA-RD-01-103, dated May 2001 or as subsequently revised and updated;

- Truck parking facilities eligible for funding under section 1401 of MAP-21;
- Systemic safety improvements;
- Installation of a vehicle to infrastructure communication equipment;
- Pedestrian hybrid beacons;
- Roadway improvements that provide separation between pedestrians and motor vehicles, including medians and pedestrian crossing islands; and
- Other physical infrastructure safety projects.

## 2. Review and Selection Process

The TTPSF grant applications will be evaluated in accordance with evaluation process discussed below. The FHWA will establish an evaluation team to review each application received by FHWA prior to the application deadline. The FHWA will lead the evaluation team, which will include members from the BIA. The evaluation team will include technical and professional staff with relevant experience and expertise in tribal transportation safety issues. The evaluation team will be responsible for evaluating and rating all eligible projects. The evaluation team will review each application against the evaluation criteria in each of the categories and assign a rating of "Highly Qualified," "Qualified," or "Not Qualified" to each application for the FHWA Administrator's review. The FHWA Administrator will forward funding recommendations to the Office of the Secretary. The final funding decisions will be made by the Secretary of Transportation.

All applications will be evaluated and assigned a rating of "Highly Qualified," "Qualified," or "Not Qualified." The ratings, as defined below, are proposed within each priority funding category as follows:

### i. Safety Plans and Safety Planning Activities<sup>1</sup>

#### I. Development of Tribal Safety Plans

a. *Highly Qualified*: Requests (up to a maximum of \$12,500) for development of new tribal safety plans or to update incomplete tribal safety plans; and requests (up to a maximum of \$7,500) to

<sup>1</sup>The development of a tribal safety plan is the cornerstone for all future tribal safety activities. Because of the importance of developing, completing, or updating a tribal safety plan and for this one category only, applications will be deemed either "highly qualified" or "not qualified." All applications to develop a new tribal safety plan, update an incomplete safety plan, or update an existing tribal safety plan more than 3 years old are deemed to be highly qualified. Applications not directed to developing, updating or completing existing a tribal safety plan or which address a plan not older than 3 years are deemed "Not Qualified."

update existing tribal safety plans that are more than 3 years old.

b. *Not Qualified*: Projects that do not meet the eligibility requirements; any request to update an existing tribal safety plan that is less than 3 years old.

#### II. Other Safety Planning Activities

a. *Highly Qualified*: Requests for other safety planning activities that are in a current State SHSP or tribal safety plan that is not more than 5 years old; submission of data that demonstrates the need for the activities; and significant leveraging of TTPSF fund with private or public funding or are part of a comprehensive approach to safety which includes other safety efforts. If the total amount of funding requested for applications rated as "highly qualified" exceeds the amount of available funding, FHWA will give priority funding consideration to funding one or more independent components of a highly qualified project. To be eligible, a component must meet eligibility criteria and must be a transportation safety project that has independent utility (*i.e.*, is usable and a reasonable expenditure of Federal funds even if no other improvements are made in the area). In other words, FHWA may fund an independent component of a project, instead of the full project described in the application, only if that component provides transportation benefits and will be ready for its intended use upon completion of that component.

Applicants should be aware that while it is anticipated that most of these projects will be categorical exclusions because they do not lead to construction or have potentially significant traffic or other impacts, depending on the relationship between the overall project and the independent component, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review for the independent component may have to include evaluation of all project components as connected, similar, or cumulative actions, as detailed at 40 CFR 1508.25. Priority consideration will also be given to funding requests that include a commitment of other funding sources to complement the TTPSF, and those requests where the applicants demonstrate the capacity to successfully implement the proposed project in a timely manner.

b. *Qualified*: Requests for other safety planning activities that are in a current State SHSP or tribal safety plan that is more than 5 years old; submission of some data that demonstrates the need for the activity; and some leveraging of TTPSF funds with private or public funding or is part of a comprehensive

approach to safety which includes other safety efforts.

If the total amount of funding requested for applications rated as “qualified” exceeds the amount of available funding, FHWA will give priority funding consideration to funding one or more independent components of a qualified project. To be eligible, a component must meet eligibility criteria and must be a transportation safety project that has independent utility (*i.e.*, is usable and a reasonable expenditure of Federal funds even if no other improvements are made in the area). In other words, FHWA may fund an independent component of a project, instead of the full project described in the application, only if that component provides transportation benefits and will be ready for its intended use upon completion of that component. Applicants should be aware that while it is anticipated that most of these projects will be categorical exclusions because they do not lead to construction or have potentially significant traffic or other impacts, depending on the relationship between the overall project and the independent component, the NEPA review for the independent component may have to include evaluation of all project components as connected, similar, or cumulative actions, as detailed at 40 CFR 1508.25. Priority consideration will also be given to funding requests that include a commitment of other funding sources to complement the TTPSF, and those requests where the applicants demonstrate the capacity to successfully implement the proposed project in a timely manner.

c. *Not Qualified*: Projects that do not meet the eligibility requirements; or projects that are not included in a State SHSP or tribal safety plan.

ii. Other Eligible Activities as Listed in 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(4)

a. *Highly Qualified*: Efforts that are in a current State SHSP or tribal safety plan that is less than 5 years old, or the project is in a current road safety audit, or impact assessment, or other safety engineering study; data included in the application that directly supports the project; projects located on a BIA or tribal facility; and significant leveraging of TTPSF funds with other funding; and the tribe has not received funding for a TTPSF transportation safety construction project in more than 10 years or the project is part of a comprehensive approach to safety which includes three or more other safety efforts.

If the total amount of funding requested for applications rated as

“highly qualified” exceeds the amount of available funding, FHWA will give priority funding consideration to funding one or more independent components of a highly qualified project. To be eligible, a component must meet eligibility criteria and must be a transportation improvement that has independent utility (*i.e.*, is usable and a reasonable expenditure of Federal funds even if no other improvements are made in the area). In other words, FHWA may fund an independent component of a project, instead of the full project described in the application, only if that component provides transportation benefits and will be ready for its intended use upon completion of that component’s construction. Applicants should be aware that, depending on the relationship between the overall project and the independent component, the NEPA review for the independent component may have to include evaluation of all project components as connected, similar, or cumulative actions, as detailed at 40 CFR 1508.25. Priority consideration will also be given to funding requests that include a commitment of other funding sources to complement the TTPSF, and those requests where the applicants demonstrate the capacity to successfully implement the proposed project in a timely manner.

b. *Qualified*: Efforts that are in a current State SHSP or tribal safety plan, but the plan is more than 5 years old, or the project is in a road safety audit, or impact assessment, or other safety engineering study that is more than 5 years old; some data included in the application that supports the project; project is located on a transportation facility not owned by a tribe or BIA; and some leveraging of TTPSF funds with other funding; or is part of a coordinated approach with one or two other safety efforts; the tribe has not received funding for a TTPSF transportation safety construction project in the last 2 to 10 years.

If the total amount of funding requested for applications rated as “qualified” exceeds the amount of available funding, FHWA will give priority funding consideration to funding one or more independent components of a qualified project. To be eligible, a component must meet eligibility criteria and must be a transportation improvement that has independent utility (*i.e.*, is usable and a reasonable expenditure of Federal funds even if no other improvements are made in the area). In other words, FHWA may fund an independent component of a project, instead of the full project described in the application, only if that

component provides transportation benefits and will be ready for its intended use upon completion of that component’s construction. Applicants should be aware that, depending on the relationship between the overall project and the independent component, the NEPA review for the independent component may have to include evaluation of all project components as connected, similar, or cumulative actions, as detailed at 40 CFR 1508.25. Priority consideration will also be given to funding requests that include a commitment of other funding sources to complement the TTPSF, and those requests where the applicants demonstrate the capacity to successfully implement the proposed project in a timely manner.

c. *Not Qualified*: Projects that do not meet the eligibility requirements; are not included in a State SHSP or tribal safety plan; no data provided in the application to support the request; are not included in a road safety audit, impact assessment, or other safety engineering study; have received funding for a TTPSF transportation safety construction project within the last 2 years; or do not have a comprehensive approach to safety with other partners.

## F. Federal Award Administration Information

### 1. Federal Award Notice

The FHWA will announce the awarded projects by posting a list of selected projects at <http://flh.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/ttp/safety/>. Following the announcement, successful applicants and unsuccessful applicants will be notified separately.

### 2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

All awards will be administered pursuant to the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards found in 2 CFR part 200. Applicable Federal laws, rules, and regulations set forth in title 23, U.S.C., and title 23 of the CFR apply.

The TTPSF will be administered the same way as all TTP funds: FHWA Agreement tribes will receive funds in accordance with their Program Agreement through a Referenced Funding Agreement (RFA); BIA Agreement tribes will receive their funds through their BIA Regional Office; and Compact tribes will receive their funds through the Department of the Interior’s Office of Self Governance.

### 3. Reporting

Required reporting follows the requirements for regular TTP funds.

### G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For further information concerning this notice please contact Russell Garcia, TTPSF Program Manager, via email at [russell.garcia@dot.gov](mailto:russell.garcia@dot.gov); by telephone at 202-366-9815; or by mail at Federal Highway Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. For legal questions, please contact Ms. Vivian Philbin, Office of the Chief Counsel, by telephone at (720) 963-3445; by email at [vivian.philbin@dot.gov](mailto:vivian.philbin@dot.gov); or by mail at Federal Highway Administration, Central Federal Lands Highway Division, 12300 West Dakota Avenue, Lakewood, CO 80228. Office hours are from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. m.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

### H. Other Information

#### 1. Protection of Confidential Business Information

All information submitted as part of or in support of any application shall use publicly available data or data that can be made public and methodologies that are accepted by industry practice and standards, to the extent possible. If the application includes information you consider to be a trade secret or confidential commercial or financial information, the applicant should do the following: (1) Note on the front cover that the submission "Contains Confidential Business Information (CBI)," (2) mark each affected page "CBI," and (3) highlight or otherwise denote the CBI portions.

**Authority:** Section 1118 of Pub. L. 114-94; 23 U.S.C. 202(e).

Issued on: July 11, 2016.

**Gregory G. Nadeau,**  
Administrator, Federal Highway Administration.

[FR Doc. 2016-16875 Filed 7-15-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2016-0003; Notice 2]

#### Continental Tire the Americas, LLC, Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Grant of petition.

**SUMMARY:** Continental Tire the Americas, LLC (CTA), has determined that certain CTA tires do not fully comply with paragraph S5.5(f) of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 139 *New Pneumatic Radial Tires for Light Vehicles*. CTA filed a report dated December 11, 2015, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, *Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports*. CTA then petitioned NHTSA under 49 CFR part 556 requesting a decision that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

**ADDRESSES:** For further information on this decision contact Abraham Diaz, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), telephone (202) 366-5310, facsimile (202) 366-5930.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Overview

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) (see implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 556), CTA submitted a petition for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

Notice of receipt of the petition was published, with a 30-day public comment period, on March 25, 2016 in the **Federal Register** (81 FR 16269). No comments were received. To view the petition and all supporting documents log onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) Web site at: <http://www.regulations.gov/>. Then follow the online search instructions to locate docket number "NHTSA-2016-0003."

##### II. Tires Involved

Affected are approximately 1,800 General Tire brand Grabber size LT265/75R16 112/109 Q LRC tires that were

manufactured between December 10, 2010<sup>1</sup> and September 9, 2013.

### III. Noncompliance

CTA explains that due to a mold error, the number of tread plies indicated on the sidewall of the subject tires does not match the actual number of plies in the tire construction. The tires are marked "PLIES: TREAD: 2 POLYESTER + 2 STEEL + 2 POLYAMIDE" whereas the correct marking should be: "PLIES: TREAD: 2 POLYESTER + 2 STEEL + 1 POLYAMIDE." As a consequence, these tires do not meet requirements specified in paragraph S5.5(f) of FMVSS No. 139.

### IV. Rule Text

Paragraph S5.5(f) of FMVSS No. 139 states, in pertinent part:

S5.5 *Tire Markings*. Except as specified in paragraph (a) through (i) of S5.5, each tire must be marked on each sidewall with the information specified in S5.5(a) through (d) and on one sidewall with the information specified in S5.5(e) through (i) according to the phase-in schedule specified in S7 of this standard . . .

(f) The actual number of plies in the sidewall, and the actual number of plies in the tread area, if different.

### V. Summary of CTA's Petition

CTA described the subject noncompliance and stated its belief that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

In support of its petition, CTA submitted the following information pertaining to the subject noncompliance:

(a) CTA stated that the tires covered by this petition are labeled with incorrect information regarding the number of tread plies. The company noted that while the number of polyester and steel plies indicated on the sidewall is accurate, the number of polyamide plies indicated is incorrect. The company contended, however, that this mislabeling has no impact on the operational performance of these tires or on the safety of vehicles on which these tires are mounted. The company asserted that the tires meet or exceed all of the performance requirements of FMVSS No. 139.

(b) CTA noted that NHTSA has concluded in response to numerous other petitions that this type of noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. CTA referenced notices that NHTSA has published in

<sup>1</sup> Subsequent to the original filing, CTA informed NHTSA that the original manufacture start date as stated in their part 573 should in fact be November 7, 2010.