

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0607–XXXX.

Form Number(s): Paper and electronic questionnaires; numbers to be determined.
Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Households/Individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents:

Operation or category	Estimated number of respondents	Estimated time per response (minutes)	Total burden hours (hours)
Geographic Area Focused on Self-Response			
Internet/Telephone/Paper	95,000	10	15,834
Nonresponse Follow-up	70,000	10	11,667
Nonresponse Follow-up Quality Control RI	7,000	10	1,167
Self-Response Subtotal	172,000	28,668
Geographic Area Focused on Update Enumerate			
Update Enumerate Response	14,000	12	2,800
Update Enumerate Follow-up Response	14,000	10	2,334
Update Enumerate Reinterview	2,800	10	467
Update Enumerate Subtotal	30,800	5,601
Non-ID Processing Phone Follow-up	200	5	17
Totals	203,000	34,286

Area Focused on Self-Response

Estimate for Self-Response [Internet/Telephone/Paper]: 95,000 respondents.
Corresponding Nonresponse Follow-up Cases: 70,000 respondents.

Corresponding Nonresponse Follow-up Quality Control Re-Interview Cases: 7,000 respondents.

Area focused on Update Enumerate: 28,000 respondents..

Corresponding Update Enumerate Cases: 14,000.

Corresponding Update Enumerate Followup Cases: 14,000.

Corresponding Update Enumerate Reinterview Cases: 2,800.

Non-ID Processing Cases requiring a phone call to the respondent to derive a match to a census address or to assign to a census block: 200.

Total: 203,000 Contacts

Estimated Time per Response:

Paper/Internet Responders: 10 minutes per response.

Nonresponse Follow-up Cases: 10 minutes per response.

Nonresponse Follow-up Quality Control Reinterview Cases: 10 minutes per response.

Update Enumerate Cases: 12 minutes per response.

Update Enumerate Follow-up Cases: 10 minutes per response.

Update Enumerate Reinterview Cases: 10 minutes per response.

Non-ID Processing Cases requiring a telephone follow-up to match/geocode: 5 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 34,286 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: There are no costs to respondents other than their time to participate in this data collection.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S.C. Sections 141, 191 and 193.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: July 13, 2016.

Glenna Mickelson,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–16966 Filed 7–18–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

In the Matter of: Fang Liwu, Nan Hu Xi Yuan 50505, Chai Yang District, Wang Ging, Beijing, China; Order Denying Export Privileges

On July 20, 2015, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Fang Liwu (“Fang”) was convicted of violating the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. § 1701, *et seq.* (2012)) (“IEEPA”). Specifically, Fang knowingly and willfully violated the IEEPA, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and aided and abetted the violation, that is, without obtaining the required Office of Foreign Assets Control approval, Fang engaged in transactions to export, attempted to export, and aided and abetted the export of three CC–10 vacuum gauges to Iran from the United States. Fang was sentenced to 24 months in prison, with credit for time served, three years of supervised release, and a special assessment of \$400.00.

Section 766.25 of the Export Administration Regulations (“EAR” or “Regulations”)¹ provides, in pertinent part, that “[t]he Director of the Office of Exporter Services, in consultation with

¹ 50 U.S.C. §§ 4601–4623 (Supp. III 2015) (available at <http://uscode.house.gov>). Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 CFR, 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent being that of August 7, 2015 (80 FR 48,233 (Aug. 11, 2015)), has continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701, *et seq.* (2012)).

the Director of the Office of Export Enforcement, may deny the export privileges of any person who has been convicted of a violation of the Export Administration Act (“EAA”), the EAR, or any order, license or authorization issued thereunder; any regulation, license, or order issued under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. § 1701–1706); 18 U.S.C. §§ 793, 794 or 798; section 4(b) of the Internal Security Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. § 783(b)), or section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778).” 15 CFR 766.25(a); *see also* Section 11(h) of the EAA, 50 U.S.C. 4610(h). The denial of export privileges under this provision may be for a period of up to 10 years from the date of the conviction. 15 CFR 766.25(d); *see also* 50 U.S.C. 4610(h). In addition, Section 750.8 of the Regulations states that the Bureau of Industry and Security’s Office of Exporter Services may revoke any Bureau of Industry and Security (“BIS”) licenses previously issued in which the person had an interest in at the time of his conviction.

BIS has received notice of Fang’s conviction for violating IEEPA, and in accordance with Section 766.25 of the Regulations, BIS has provided notice and an opportunity for Fang to make a written submission to BIS. BIS has not received a submission from Fang.

Based upon my review and consultations with BIS’s Office of Export Enforcement, including its Director, and the facts available to BIS, I have decided to deny Fang’s export privileges under the Regulations for a period of 10 years from the date of Fang’s conviction. I have also decided to revoke all licenses issued pursuant to the Act or Regulations in which Fang had an interest at the time of his conviction.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

First, from the date of this Order until July 20, 2025, Fang Liwu, with a last known address of Nan Hu Xi Yuan 50505, Chai Yang District, Wang Ging, Beijing, China, and when acting for or on his behalf, his successors, assigns, employees, agents or representatives (the “Denied Person”), may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as “item”) exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying,

receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Fang by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

Fourth, in accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Fang may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

Fifth, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Fang. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Sixth, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until July 20, 2025.

Issued this 12th day of July, 2016.

Karen H. Nies-Vogel,

Director, Office of Exporter Services.

[FR Doc. 2016–17033 Filed 7–18–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–970]

Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 8, 2016, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the preliminary results of the third administrative review (“AR”) of the antidumping duty (“AD”) order on multilayered wood flooring (“MLWF”) from the People’s Republic of China (“the PRC”), in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).¹ The period of review (“POR”) for the AR is December 1, 2013 through November 30, 2014. The AR covers 107 companies. The mandatory respondents in this review are: (1) Dalian Penghong Floor Products Co., Ltd. (“Penghong”); and (2) Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited (“Fine Furniture”). We received comments from interested parties on our *Preliminary Results*. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to the margin calculations for the final results of this administrative review. The final dumping margins are listed below in the “Final Results” section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 19, 2016.

¹ *See Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014*, 81 FR 903 (January 8, 2016) (“*Preliminary Results*”) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.