

385.214) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf>. For other information, call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Dated: July 13, 2016.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-17103 Filed 7-19-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0379; FRL-9948-76]

Sulfoxaflor; Receipt of Application for Emergency Exemption, Solicitation of Public Comment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has received a specific exemption request from the New Mexico Department of Agriculture to use the insecticide sulfoxaflor (CAS No. 946578-00-3) on pecans to control the black pecan aphid. The applicant proposes a use of a pesticide, sulfoxaflor, which is now considered to be unregistered under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) because of the vacature of all sulfoxaflor registrations by the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In accordance with 40 CFR 166.24(a)(7), EPA is soliciting public comment before making the decision whether or not to grant the exemption.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 4, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0379, by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- *Mail:* OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- *Hand Delivery:* To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>. Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Lewis, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; main telephone number: (703) 305-7090; email address: RDfRNNotices@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through www.regulations.gov or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html>.

3. *Environmental justice.* EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticides discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

II. What action is the agency taking?

Under section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) (7 U.S.C. 136p), at the discretion of the EPA Administrator, a federal or state agency may be exempted from any provision of FIFRA if the EPA Administrator determines that emergency conditions exist which require the exemption. The New Mexico Department of Agriculture has requested the EPA Administrator to issue a specific exemption for the use of sulfoxaflor to be applied to pecan orchards to control black pecan aphid. Information in accordance with 40 CFR part 166 was submitted as part of this request. The applicant's submission, which provides an explanation of the emergency situation as well as the proposed use pattern, can be found at <http://www.regulations.gov> in the following document "2016 FIFRA Section 18 Emergency Exemption for Use of Closer® SC Insecticide on Pecans in New Mexico."

This notice does not constitute a decision by EPA on the application itself. The regulations governing FIFRA section 18 require publication of a notice of receipt of an application for a specific exemption proposing a use of a pesticide that has been subject to a judicial vacature. Further, this notice provides an opportunity for public comment on the application. The Agency, will review and consider all comments received during the comment period in determining whether to issue the specific emergency exemption requested by the New Mexico Department of Agriculture.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*

Dated: July 12, 2016.

Daniel J. Rosenblatt,

Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2016-17162 Filed 7-19-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Deletion of Items From Sunshine Act Meeting

July 14, 2016.

The following consent agenda has been deleted from the list of items scheduled for consideration at the Thursday, July 14, 2016, Open Meeting and previously listed in the Commission's Notice of July 7, 2016. The consent agenda has been adopted by the Commission.

* * * * *

Consent Agenda

The Commission will consider the following subjects listed below as a consent agenda and these items will not be presented individually:

1. *General Counsel:* Title: William J. Kirsch Request for Inspection of Records (FOIA Control No. 2015-368).

Summary: The Commission will consider a Memorandum Opinion and Order concerning the application for review filed by William J. Kirsch regarding a decision of the International Bureau's fee estimate for processing his Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-17181 Filed 7-18-16; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreements Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreements under the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties may submit comments on the agreements to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within twelve days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**. Copies of the agreements are available through the Commission's Web site (www.fmc.gov) or by contacting the Office of Agreements at (202)-523-5793 or tradeanalysis@fmc.gov.

Agreement No.: 011275-039.

Title: Australia and New Zealand-United States Discussion Agreement.

Parties: CMA CGM, S.A. and ANL Singapore Pte Ltd. (acting as a single party); Hamburg-Süd KG; and MSC Mediterranean Shipping Company S.A.

Filing Party: Wayne R. Rohde, Esq.; Cozen O'Connor LLP; 1200 Nineteenth St. NW.; Washington, DC 20036.

Synopsis: The amendment would delete Hapag-Lloyd AG as a party to the Agreement, remove an outdated reference to a former member from Appendix A, and revise Appendix B to the Agreement.

Agreement No.: 012067-016.

Title: U.S. Supplemental Agreement to HLC Agreement.

Parties: BBC Chartering Carriers GmbH & Co. KG and BBC Chartering & Logistic GmbH & Co. KG, as a single member; Chipolbrok (Chinese-Polish Joint Stock Shipping Company); Hanssy Shipping Pte. Ltd.; Hyundai Merchant Marine Co., Ltd.; Industrial Maritime Carriers, L.L.C.; Nordana Line A/S; and Rickmers-Linie GmbH & Cie. KG.

Filing Party: Wade S. Hooker, Esq.; 211 Central Park W.; New York, NY 10024.

Synopsis: The amendment would delete Hyundai Merchant Marine and Nordana Line A/S as parties to the U.S. Agreement and the worldwide HLC Agreement, and change the name of Rickmers-Linie GmbH & Co. KG to NPC Projects AS/Rickmers-Linie GmbH & Co. KG as a party to both Agreements.

Agreement No.: 012425.

Title: APL/ANL Space Charter Agreement.

Parties: ANL Singapore Pte Ltd.; APL Co. Pte Ltd; and American President Lines, Ltd.

Filing Party: Draughn B. Arbona, Esq; CMA CGM (America) LLC; 5701 Lake Wright Drive, Norfolk, VA 23502.

Synopsis: The agreement authorizes APL to charter space to ANL in the trade between China and Korea on the one hand, and the U.S. East Coast on the other hand.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: July 15, 2016.

Karen V. Gregory,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-17079 Filed 7-19-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6731-AA-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 16-15]

World Imports, Ltd., World Imports Chicago, LLC, and World Imports South, LLC v. OEC Group New York; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) by World Imports, Ltd., World Imports Chicago, LLC, and World Imports South, LLC (collectively "World Imports"), hereinafter "Complainants," against OEC Group New York ("OEC"), hereinafter "Respondent." Complainants state that they are corporations "formerly engaged in the business of buying furniture wholesale and selling it to retail distributors." Complainant alleges that Respondent is a New York corporation and a "freight forwarder/logistics provider" providing non-vessel-operating common carrier services.

Complainants allege that Respondent "was in possession of multiple landed shipments of merchandise for delivery" to Complainants but failed to release those goods on the basis of freight charges owed to Respondent for goods Respondent had "previously delivered and unconditionally released." Further Complainant alleges that Respondent has "transmogrified what would have been an unsecured claim in World Imports' bankruptcy proceedings into a secured maritime lien." Complainant alleges that Respondent has violated section 10(d)(1) of the Shipping Act, 46 U.S.C. 41102(c), which provides that a common carrier "may not fail to establish, observe, and enforce just and reasonable regulations and practices relating to or connected with receiving, handling, storing, or delivering property."

Complainant requests the following relief: "an order be made commanding OEC to: (1) Cease and desist from the aforesaid violations; (2) establish and put in force such practices as the Commission determines to be lawful and reasonable; (3) to pay to World Imports by way of reparations for the unlawful conduct herein described the sum of \$172,075.50, with interest and attorney's fees or such other sum as the Commission may determine to be proper as an award of reparation; (4) to reimburse World Imports any sum it may be ordered to pay to OEC as a secured creditor in World Imports' bankruptcy case, insofar as such sums reflect charges, fees, or the like demanded in violation of Section (10)(d)(1); and (5) that such other and