

least one producing Indian lease that is in Wasatch County in the Uintah and Ouray Reservation, which is outside of both of the designated areas listed in the Uintah and Ouray Reservation. ONRR also identified two other counties—Carbon and Emery Counties—in the Uintah and Ouray Reservation that were not in the listed designated areas that do not currently have Indian leases but could in the future.

To address these issues, ONRR held two technical conferences. ONRR published notice of the technical conferences in the **Federal Register** on October 29, 2015. 80 FR 66417. The first technical conference was held in person on November 20, 2015, at 9:00 a.m., Mountain Time in Denver, Colorado, at the Office of Natural Resources Revenue, Denver Federal Center, 6th Avenue and Kipling Street, Building 85, Auditoriums A–D, Denver, Colorado 80226. The second technical conference was a teleconference on November 20, 2015, at 2:00 p.m. Mountain Time. Fifteen people attended the technical conferences, of which seven were from ONRR, three from Tribes, and five from industry.

ONRR also solicited comments on the proposed changes through November 30, 2015. On February 17, 2016, ONRR consulted with the Ute Indian Tribe on adding the Wasatch, Carbon, and Emery Counties to the two Uintah and Ouray Designated Areas. Also, on March 4, 2016, ONRR consulted with representatives of the Three Affiliated Tribes on changing the boundary line between the North Fort Berthold and South Fort Berthold Designated Areas.

Public Comments: Generally, the parties attending the technical conference and consultations agreed with ONRR's proposal to modify the definition of the (1) Uintah and Ouray Designated Areas to include Wasatch, Carbon, and Emery Counties; and (2) North Fort Berthold and South Fort Berthold Designated Areas to use the Missouri River as the boundary line between the two designated areas rather than the Little Missouri River. ONRR received three additional comments: One from industry, one from an individual Indian mineral owner, and one from a Tribe.

Public Comment: The individual Indian mineral owner sent a comment stating he did not support dividing the Fort Berthold Reservation into two designated areas for five reasons: (1) The idea of selling price by field is an anachronism; (2) the price must be the highest in the world wherever that may be because industry uses the tax code, hedging, swaps, etc. in order to obtain the highest price; (3) this attempt to

reduce price is a taking under Hodel because this regulation denies the beneficiary the difference between the market rate and major portion; (4) there is no basis for allowing a transportation deduction because typical carriers charge consumers for transportation rather than the mineral owner; and (5) North Dakota recovered millions because deductions were not in their leases and, likewise, Indian leases do not authorize this illegality.

ONRR Response: The technical conference was simply to discuss amending the Fort Berthold designated areas to use the Missouri River rather than the Little Missouri River to divide the two designated areas. These comments apply to the Indian Oil Valuation Amendments as a whole and do not directly relate to the appropriate boundary for the two Fort Berthold designated areas. ONRR addressed comments similar to the one above in the preamble of the final rule, which can be found at 80 FR 24,794 (May 1, 2015).

Public Comment: The industry commenter suggested that ONRR take this opportunity to divide the Fort Berthold Reservation into three designated areas: The first designated area would include lands north of the Missouri River, the second would include the lands south of the Missouri River and north of the Little Missouri River, and the third would include the lands south of the Little Missouri River. The commenter believes the available transportation infrastructures support dividing the Fort Berthold Reservation into three designated areas because the lands north of the Little Missouri River have evolving pipeline facilities that can transport production from the lease, whereas leases south of the Little Missouri River do not have the same available infrastructure.

ONRR Response: Dividing the Fort Berthold into two designated areas was a compromise negotiated by the Indian Oil Negotiated Rulemaking Committee (Committee). Generally, industry advocated using specific fields as designated areas. Alternatively, Tribes and individual Indian mineral owners promoted a broader area. Ultimately, the Committee agreed to divide Fort Berthold into two designated areas as a compromise. To date, ONRR has found no reason to ignore the conclusions of the Committee.

The final rule and the preamble of the proposed rule specifically allow lessees/operators, Tribes, and Indian mineral owners to petition ONRR to convene a technical conference to review, modify, or add designated areas where there is a significant change that affects the

location and quality differentials. The rule has not yet been in effect for a period of time sufficient to demonstrate that there has been a significant change in the market on the Fort Berthold Reservation. Should the markets change in the future, the lessees/operators, Tribes, or individual Indian mineral owners can petition ONRR to change the designated areas in the future. The purpose of this technical conference was to change the boundary between the two Fort Berthold designated areas, not to add another designated area. Therefore, adding a designated area was outside the scope of this technical conference.

Public Comment: The Ute Indian Tribe indicated it would prefer to have Wasatch and Carbon Counties added to the Uintah & Ouray–Duchesne County Designated Area and Emery County added to the Uintah & Ouray–Grand and Uintah Counties Designated Area. The Tribe indicated the infrastructure on the Uintah & Ouray Reservation supported this configuration.

ONRR Response: ONRR agrees with this comment and has modified the definition of the two designated areas in the Uintah and Ouray Reservation by adding Wasatch and Carbon Counties to the Uintah & Ouray–Duchesne County Designated Area and Emery County the Uintah & Ouray–Grand and Uintah Counties Designated Area.

Dated: June 28, 2016.

Gregory J. Gould,

Director, Office of Natural Resources Revenue.

[FR Doc. 2016–17599 Filed 7–28–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4335–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG–2016–0668]

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; James River, Hopewell, VA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of deviation from drawbridge regulations.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard has issued a temporary deviation from the operating schedule that governs the SR 156/Benjamin Harrison Memorial Bridge across the James River, mile 65.0, at Hopewell, VA. The deviation is necessary to facilitate bridge maintenance and repairs. This deviation

allows the bridge to remain in the closed-to-navigation position.

DATES: This deviation is effective without actual notice from July 29, 2016 through 6 a.m. on Friday, September 30, 2016. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from 8 p.m. on Monday, July 25, 2016, until July 29, 2016.

ADDRESSES: The docket for this deviation, [USCG–2016–0668] is available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH”. Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this deviation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary deviation, call or email Mr. Michael Thorogood, Bridge Administration Branch Fifth District, Coast Guard, telephone 757–398–6557, email Michael.R.Thorogood@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Virginia Department of Transportation, who owns and operates the SR 156/ Benjamin Harrison Memorial Bridge across the James River, mile 65.0, at Hopewell, VA, has requested a temporary deviation from the current operating regulations set out in 33 CFR 117.5, to facilitate replacement of the service elevators for both lift towers, install new electrical wiring, bird screens, and structural steel of the bridge. Under this temporary deviation, the bridge will be in the closed-to-navigation position from 8 p.m. to 6 a.m.; Monday through Thursday; July 25, 2016 to July 29, 2016; August 1, 2016 to August 5, 2016; September 5, 2016 to September 9, 2016; September 12, 2016 to September 16, 2016; and alternative dates from September 19, 2016 to September 23, 2016; and September 26, 2016 to September 30, 2016. The bridge will open for vessels on signal during scheduled closure periods, if at least 24 hours notice is given. The bridge is a vertical lift bridge has a vertical clearance of 50 feet in the closed-to-navigation position above mean water.

The James River is used by a variety of vessels including deep-draft vessels, tug and barge traffic, and recreational vessels. The Coast Guard has carefully coordinated the restrictions with waterway users in publishing this temporary deviation.

Vessels able to pass through the bridge in the closed-to-navigation position may do so at anytime. The bridge will be able to open for emergencies during scheduled closure periods, if at least 30 minutes notice is given. The Coast Guard will also inform the users of the waterway through our

Local Notice and Broadcast Notices to Mariners of the change in operating schedule for the bridge so that vessel operators can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: July 25, 2016.

Hal R. Pitts,

Bridge Program Manager, Fifth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2016–17976 Filed 7–28–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R04–OAR–2016–0129; FRL–9949–65–Region 4]

Air Plan Approval; Alabama: Volatile Organic Compounds

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a revision to the Alabama State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) on October 26, 2015. The revision modifies the definition of “volatile organic compounds” (VOC). Specifically, the revision adds three compounds to the list of those excluded from the VOC definition on the basis that these compounds make a negligible contribution to tropospheric ozone formation. This action is being taken pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act).

DATES: This direct final rule is effective September 27, 2016 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by August 29, 2016. If EPA receives such comments, it will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R04–OAR–2016–0129 at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*.

EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Wong, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–8726. Mr. Wong can also be reached via electronic mail at wong.richard@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Tropospheric ozone, commonly known as smog, occurs when VOC and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) react in the atmosphere in the presence of sunlight. Because of the harmful health effects of ozone, EPA and state governments limit the amount of VOCs and NO_x that can be released into the atmosphere. VOC are those compounds of carbon (excluding carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, metallic carbides or carbonates, and ammonium carbonate) that form ozone through atmospheric photochemical reactions. Compounds of carbon (or organic compounds) have different levels of reactivity; they do not react at the same speed or do not form ozone to the same extent.

Section 302(s) of the CAA specifies that EPA has the authority to define the meaning of “VOC,” and hence what compounds shall be treated as VOC for regulatory purposes. It has been EPA’s policy that compounds of carbon with negligible reactivity need not be regulated to reduce ozone and should be excluded from the regulatory definition of VOC. *See* 42 FR 35314 (July 8, 1977), 70 FR 54046 (September 13, 2005). EPA