Estimated Total Burden: 14,640 hours.

ADDRESSES: All written comments must refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document and be submitted to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725–17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: FTA Desk Officer.

Comments are Invited On: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department’s estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

William Hyre, Deputy Associate Administrator for Administration. [FR Doc. 2016–18226 Filed 8–1–16; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2016–0059; Notice 1]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming Model Year 2014 Bentley Flying Spur Saloon/Continental Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Receipt of petition.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that model year (MY) 2014 Bentley Flying Spur 4-door (Saloon) and 2-door (Continental) passenger cars (PC’s) that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS), are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S.-certified version of the 2014 Bentley Flying Spur Saloon/Continental PC’s) and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is September 1, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket and notice numbers above and be submitted by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

• Mail: Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001

• Hand Delivery or Courier: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

• Fax: 202–493–2251

Instructions: Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that your comments were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

How to Read Comments submitted to the Docket: You may read the comments received by Docket Management at the address and times given above. You may also view the documents from the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets. The docket ID number and title of this notice are shown at the heading of this document notice. Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically search the Docket for new material.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

J. K. Technologies, LLC (JK), Inc. of Baltimore, Maryland (Registered Importer R–90–006) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming MY 2014 Bentley Flying Spur PC’s are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which JK believes are substantially similar are MY 2014 Bentley Flying Spur PC’s sold in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable FMVSS.

The petitioner claims that it compared the subject non-U.S. certified MY 2014 Bentley Flying Spur PC’s to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most FMVSS.

JK submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that the subject non-U.S. certified MY 2014 Bentley Flying Spur PC’s, as originally manufactured, conform to many applicable FMVSS in the same manner as their U.S.-certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that the subject non-U.S. certified MY 2014 Bentley Flying Spur PC’s, as originally manufactured, conform to: Standard
SUMMARY: In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, the United States Department of Transportation proposes to issue a Department of Transportation system of records titled, “Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration; DOT/FAA854 Requests for Waivers and Authorizations Under 14 CFR part 107.” On June 28, 2016 the FAA issued a Final Rule setting forth standards for operation and certification of small unmanned aircraft systems (hereinafter, “small UAS”). RIN 2120–AJ60.

Small UAS operators may request waivers of operational rules applicable to small UAS, requirements such as the requirement to maintain visual line of sight and yield right of way to manned aircraft, as well as prohibitions on operations over people and in certain airspace. Small UAS operators who determine to seek a waiver or authorization must request such by electronically completing a form on the FAA Web site or by mailing a completed paper form to the FAA. The forms will contain: aircraft operator name; aircraft owner name; name of person requesting a waiver or authorization; contact information for person applying for waiver or authorization: mailing address, telephone number, and email address of person submitting application for waiver or authorization; responses to inquiries concerning the applicant’s previous and current waivers; remote pilot in command name; contact information for remote pilot in command: address and telephone number; remote pilot in command certificate number; aircraft manufacturer name and model; aircraft registration number; regulations subject to waiver or authorization; requested date and time operations will commence and conclude under waiver or authorization; requested altitude applicable to the waiver or authorization; description of proposed operations. In addition to the entries on the completed form, the applicant may provide additional information, such as maps, illustrations, specifications, or other items the applicant would like the FAA to consider. After reviewing the information the applicant provides, the FAA will determine whether it can assure safety in the national airspace when granting the waiver; often, such grants will include provisions to which the requester must adhere, to mitigate the risk associated with the waiver.

The final rule prohibits operation of small UAS in Class B, Class C, or Class D airspace, as well as operation within the lateral boundaries of the surface area of Class E airspace designated for an airport unless the person has prior