

13. Henry Weinhard's
 - A. Henry Weinhard's Blonde Lager
 - B. Henry Weinhard's Blue Boar
 - C. Henry Weinhard's Classic Dark Lager
 - D. Henry Weinhard's Hefeweizen
 - E. Henry Weinhard's Private Reserve
 - F. Henry Weinhard's Belgian Style Wheat
 - G. Henry Weinhard's Root Beer
 - H. Henry Weinhard's Black Cherry
 - I. Henry Weinhard's Vanilla Cream
 - J. Henry Weinhard's Orange Cream
14. Leinenkugel's
 - A. Leinenkugel's Apple Spice
 - B. Leinenkugel's Berry Weiss
 - C. Leinenkugel's BIG BUTT
 - D. Leinenkugel's Creamy Dark
 - E. Leinenkugel's Honey Weiss
 - F. Leinenkugel's Light
 - G. Leinenkugel's Oktoberfest
 - H. Leinenkugel's Original Lager
 - I. Leinenkugel's Red Lager
 - J. Leinenkugel's Sunset Wheat
15. Sparks
 - A. Sparks
 - B. Sparks Light
 - C. Sparks Plus 6%
 - D. Sparks Plus 7%

Attachment B—Import Brands

1. Pilsner Urquell
2. Peroni
3. Grolsch
4. Tyskie
5. Lech
6. Cerveza Aguila
7. Cristal
8. Cusquena
9. Sheaf Stout
10. Castle Lager
11. Victoria Bitter
12. Crown Lager
13. Pure Blonde
14. Carlton Draught and Carlton Dry
15. Matilda Bay Brewing Company products described in the Exploitation of Rights Agreement between MBBC Pty Ltd (ACN 009 077 703) and MillerCoors LLC dated as of March 31, 2013
16. Cascade Brewery Company products described in the Exploitation of Rights Agreement between Cascade Brewery Company Pty Ltd (ACN 058 152 195) and MillerCoors LLC dated as of March 31, 2013
17. Caguama
18. Cantina
19. Pilsener
20. Regia
21. Suprema
22. Taurino
23. Barena
24. Port Royal
25. Salva Vida
26. Santiago
27. Haywards 5000
28. Arriba
29. Caballo
30. Cabana
31. Del Mar
32. San Lucas
33. Tocayo
34. Rialto
35. to the extent not otherwise listed herein, La Constancia S.A. de C.V. products described in the Supplier-Importer Agreement, dated as of July 11, 2005

between La Constancia S. S.A. de C.V. and Winery Exchange, Inc.

Attachment C—Defendant ABI's Calculation Beer Volume Sold Through ABI-Owned Distributors

For purposes of Section V.B., the percentage of Defendant ABI's Beer sold by ABI-Owned Distributors in the Territory will be calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Percentage} = \frac{X}{Y} \times 100$$

Where X and Y are defined as:

X = volume of Defendant ABI's Beer that was sold by ABI-Owned Distributors to retailers in the Territory, as indicated by the most comprehensive data then used by ABI (currently, ABI's BudNet system), during the Relevant Period. The Relevant Period, for purposes of this Attachment C, shall be the 12 month period ending at the month-end immediately prior to the execution of the acquisition agreement governing the acquisition by ABI of the assets or equity interest, as applicable, of a Distributor. For the avoidance of doubt, X will include the volume of Defendants' Beer that was sold during the Relevant Period to retailers in the territory by the Distributor whose assets or equity interests are the subject of the acquisition agreement.

Y = volume of Defendant ABI's Beer that was sold to retailers in the Territory during the Relevant Period, as indicated by the most comprehensive data then used by ABI (currently, ABI's BudNet system).

[FR Doc. 2016-18504 Filed 8-3-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Filing of Notice of Settlement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

On July 28, 2016, a Notice of Settlement Among EFH Properties Company and the United States on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and the U.S. Department of the Interior ("DOI") was filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware in the bankruptcy proceeding entitled *In re Energy Future Holdings Corp., et al.*, Case No. 14-10979 (CSS). The proposed Settlement Agreement is attached to the Notice of Settlement as Exhibit A.

The Settlement Agreement resolves a claim against EFH Properties Company ("EFH Properties"), as the alleged corporate successor to former mine operators, asserted by the United States on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency under the

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601-9675 ("CERCLA"). The claim sought to recover costs incurred and expected to be incurred in the future by the United States in response to releases and threats of releases of hazardous substances at or in connection with the Faith, Hope, Doris, and Isabella Uranium Mine Sites, located in McKinley County, New Mexico ("New Mexico Sites").

Under the Settlement Agreement, EPA will receive \$4,000,000.00. The Settlement Agreement contains covenants not to sue by the United States on behalf of EPA in favor of EFH Properties and its predecessors, Chaco Energy Company, TXU Industries Company LLC, and EFH Properties Company LLC (the "Covenant Beneficiaries"), under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606, 9607 and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 6973, with respect to the EPA claim or the New Mexico Sites. The Settlement Agreement also contains a covenant not to sue by the United States on behalf of DOI in favor of the Covenant Beneficiaries, for natural resources damages claims under Sections 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607, with respect to the EPA claim or the New Mexico Sites.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *In re Energy Future Holdings Corp., et al.*, Case No. 14-10979 (CSS), D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-09894/2. All comments must be submitted no later than fifteen (15) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By e-mail	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail	Assistant Attorney General U.S. DOJ—ENRD P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Under section 7003(d) of RCRA, a commenter may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area.

During the public comment period, the Settlement Agreement may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Settlement Agreement upon written

request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$13.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Thomas P. Carroll,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2016–18479 Filed 8–3–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121–NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection Comments Requested; New collection: Arrest-Related Deaths Program

AGENCY: Bureau of Justice Statistics, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until October 3, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Michael Planty, Deputy Director, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh Street NW., Washington, DC 20531 (email: Michael.Planty@usdoj.gov; telephone: 202–514–9746).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

- proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* New collection.

(2) *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Arrest-Related Deaths Program

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:*

This collection includes the following forms:

- Form CJ–11: Arrest-Related Deaths Quarterly Summary. This form is distributed to all law enforcement agencies (LEAs). This summary form requests that LEA respondents confirm deaths identified through open-source review, correct decedent name and date of death as appropriate, and identify any other arrest-related deaths that were not found through open-source review. It requests any LEAs without any deaths to provide an affirmative zero.

- Form CJ–11A: Arrest-Related Death Incident Report. This form is distributed to all LEAs with an arrest-related death. This incident report form requests that LEA respondents provide characteristics of the decedent and the circumstances surrounding the death.

- Form CJ–12: Arrest-Related Deaths Quarterly Summary. This form is distributed to all medical examiner's or coroner's (ME/C) offices with jurisdiction concurrent with that of the LEAs with a potential arrest-related death. This summary form requests that ME/C respondents confirm deaths identified, correct decedent name and date of death as appropriate, and identify any other arrest-related deaths.

- Form CJ–12A: Arrest-Related Death Incident Report. This form is distributed to all ME/Cs with an arrest-related death. This incident report form requests that ME/C respondents provide characteristics of the decedent and the cause and manner of death.

The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Bureau of Justice Statistics, in the Office of Justice Programs.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* The affected public that will be asked to respond on an annual basis include 19,450 state and local law enforcement agencies (LEAs) and approximately 685 medical examiner's or coroner's (ME/C) offices.

Abstract: The Arrest-Related Deaths (ARD) program was implemented from 2003 as part of BJS's Deaths in Custody Reporting Program (DCRP). The DCRP was developed in response to the Death in Custody Reporting Act of 2000 (P.L. 106–247), which required state and local prisons, jails, and law enforcement agencies (LEAs) to report information about in-custody deaths and deaths occurring in the process of arrest to the Department of Justice. DICRA of 2000 expired in 2006 and was reauthorized as DICRA of 2013 (P.L. 113–242) in December 2014. The DCRP also includes collections that measure deaths occurring in jails and state prisons that are conducted through another data collection.

The BJS designed the ARD program to be a census of all deaths that occur during the process of arrest or during an attempt to obtain custody by a state or local LEA in the United States. BJS defined the manner of arrest-related death to include law enforcement homicides, other homicides, accidents, suicides, or deaths due to natural causes. Law enforcement homicides included all deaths attributed to weapons or restraint tactics used by state or local law enforcement officers, including deaths due to officer-involved shootings; complications related to the use of conducted energy devices, such as Tasers and stun guns; accidents caused by the use of spike strips or other tire deflation devices; injuries due to the use of impact devices, such as batons and soft projectiles; complications due to the use of chemical agents such as pepper spray and tear gas; and other injuries or complications related to the use of restraint tactics.

The ARD program was the only national data collection that attempted to enumerate all arrest-related deaths in the United States, including accidental and natural deaths that occurred during the process of arrest in addition to law enforcement homicides. Because of concerns about variations in data collection methodology and coverage, BJS recently conducted an assessment of its ARD program. Because accurate and comprehensive accounting of deaths that occur during the process of arrest is critical for LEAs to demonstrate responsiveness to the citizens and communities they serve, transparency