International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on September 24, 2015, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Pathway Innovations & Technologies, Inc. of San Diego, California (“Complainant”). 80 FR 57642 (September 24, 2015). The complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the sale for importation, importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain document cameras and software for use therewith by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Design Patent No. D647,906; U.S. Design Patent No. D674,389; U.S. Design Patent No. D715,300; and U.S. Patent No. 8,508,751 (“the ‘751 patent”). The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following respondents: Recordex USA, Inc., of Long Island City, New York (“Recordex”); QOMO of Wixom, Michigan; and Adesso, Inc. of Walnut, California (“Adesso”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a party but has subsequently withdrawn from the investigation. Adesso was terminated based on a consent order stipulation and consent order. Order No. 5 (unreviewed) (Nov. 23, 2015). QOMO was found to be in default. Order No. 10 (unreviewed) (Dec. 7, 2015). Recordex was terminated based on settlement. Order No. 19 (unreviewed) (May 13, 2016).

On December 7, 2015, the Commission determined not to review an initial determination finding QOMO in default and later requested briefing from the parties and the public on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainant filed a submission requesting a limited exclusion order (“LEO”) and a cease and desist order (“CDO”) against QOMO, and arguing that none of the public interest factors weighs against granting the LEO and CDO. Complainant requested that QOMO not be afforded the opportunity to import during the period of Presidential review, or in the alternative, that the bond be set at 100 percent of entered value in accordance with the Commission practice for defaulting respondents.

The Commission finds that the statutory requirements for relief under section 337(g)(1), (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)) are met with respect to QOMO. In addition the Commission finds that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(g)(1) do not preclude issuance of the statutory relief.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate remedy in this investigation is (1) an LEO prohibiting the unlicensed entry of certain document cameras and software for use therewith that are manufactured abroad by or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of, QOMO that infringe one or more of claims 1–10, 12–18, and 20 of the ‘751 patent; and (2) a CDO prohibiting QOMO from importing, selling, marketing, advertising, distributing, transferring (except for exportation), and soliciting United States agents or distributors for certain document cameras and software for use therewith that infringe one or more of claims 1–10, 12–18, and 20 of the ‘751 patent.

Finally, the Commission has determined that the bond during the period of Presidential review pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j) shall be in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the imported articles that are subject to the LEO or CDO. The Commission’s orders were delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.


By order of the Commission.
Issued: August 5, 2016.
Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P
certain industrial control system software, systems using same, and components thereof. The complaint names as respondents 3S-Smart Software Solutions, GmbH of Germany; Advantech Corporation of Milpitas, CA; and Advantech Co., Ltd. of Taiwan. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order, a cease and desist order, and impose a bond upon respondents’ alleged infringing articles during the 60-day Presidential review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or section 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;
(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;
(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;
(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant’s licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and
(v) explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number (“Docket No. 3165”) in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, Electronic Filing Procedures 4). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS. This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

5 All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140–0055]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Identification of Explosive Materials

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register 81 FR 36584, on June 7, 2016, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until September 12, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Anita Scheddel, Program Analyst, Explosives Industry Programs Branch, 99 New York Ave. NE., Washington, DC 20226 at email: eipb-informationcollection@atf.gov. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points: