Marine Corps Base
Camp Smith HI
Landholding Agency: Navy
Property Number: 77201630011
Status: Excess
Comments: Public access denied and no alternative method to gain access without compromising national security.
Reasons: Secured Area
Iowa
L80004, RTHBUN–29396
Shower Building in South Fork area
Rathbun Project; 13736 Shrike Pl.
Mystic IA 52574
Landholding Agency: COE
Property Number: 31201630003
Status: Excess
Comments: Documented deficiencies: Doors and walls rotten; clear threat to physical safety.
Reasons: Extensive deterioration
15015, RTHBUN–29330
Shower Building in Buck Creek area
21837 Marina Pl.
Moravia IA 52571
Landholding Agency: COE
Property Number: 31201630004
Status: Excess
Comments: Documented deficiencies: Doors and walls rotten; clear threat to physical safety.
Reasons: Extensive deterioration
L15001, RTHBUN–293920
Vault Toilet in Buck Creek
21750 Marina Pl.
Moravia IA 52571
Landholding Agency: COE
Property Number: 31201630005
Status: Excess
Comments: Documented deficiencies: Doors and walls rotten; clear threat to physical safety.
Reasons: Extensive deterioration
L15002, RTHBUN–293822
Vault Toilet in Buck Creek
21646 Marina Pl.
Moravia IA 52571
Landholding Agency: COE
Property Number: 31201630006
Status: Excess
Comments: Documented deficiencies: Doors and walls rotten; clear threat to physical safety.
Reasons: Extensive deterioration
Michigan
Elmwood Warehouse, ID #1311
Latitude 46.22691000, Longitude: 088.91930000
Iron River MI 49935
Landholding Agency: Agriculture
Property Number: 15201630010
Status: Untreated
Comments: Documented deficiencies:
Extremely dilapidated; large hole in roof; SE corner of building is collapsing; crumbling sidewalls.
Reasons: Extensive deterioration
Nebraska
6 Buildings
Sandia National Laboratories
Tonopah NV 89049
Landholding Agency: Energy
Property Number: 41201630003
Status: Excess
Directions: 03–71; 09–06; 03–87; 09–62; 18–50; 18–51
Comments: Public access denied and no alternative method to gain access without compromising national security.
Reasons: Secured Area
New York
Building 354 (SWAT Office)
Corner of NY Ave. & Battery Rd.
Pt. Wadsworth
Staten Island NY 10305
Landholding Agency: Interior
Property Number: 61201630013
Status: Excess
Comments: Documented deficiencies: Roof damage; structurally unsound; water damage due to Sandy.
Reasons: Extensive deterioration
Texas
Texoma-60606
351 Corps Rd.
Denison TX 75020
Landholding Agency: COE
Property Number: 31201630009
Status: Untreated
Comments: Documented deficiencies:
Damaged due to indented by high water; integrity of structure compromised; clear threat to physical safety.
Reasons: Extensive deterioration
Washington
Kachess Flush
Bakers Lane
Installation No. 07672 00
Cle Elum WA 98922
Landholding Agency: Agriculture
Property Number: 15201630011
Status: Underutilized
Comments: Floodway (Property located within floodway which has not been correct or contained).
Reasons: Floodway
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BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Land Management
[16X LLC910000 L16100000.DS0000 LXSISGPW0000]
AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.
ACTION: Notice.
SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA), and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a Gunnison Sage-Grouse (GUSG) Rangewide Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP) Amendment and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the BLM field offices in southwest Colorado and southeast Utah, and by this notice is announcing the opening of the comment period.
DATES: To ensure that comments will be considered, the BLM must receive written comments on the Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS within 90 days of the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes notice of the Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS in the Federal Register. The BLM will announce future meetings or hearings and any other public participation activities at least 15 days in advance through public notices, media releases and/or mailings.
ADDRESSES: You may submit comments related to the GUSG Rangewide Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS by any of the following methods:

- Website: http://1.usa.gov/1Uusw8C.
- Email: gusg_amend@blm.gov.
- Fax: 303–239–3699.
- Mail: Gunnison Sage-Grouse EIS, BLM Colorado State Office, 2850 Youngfield St., Lakewood, CO 80215.

Documents pertinent to this proposal may also be viewed at BLM offices in Colorado and Utah. For a list of the offices and their addresses, please see the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roger Sayre, Project Manager, via telephone: 303–239–3709; at the BLM Colorado Southwest District Office (see address above); or via email: rsayre@blm.gov. You may contact Mr. Sayre to have your name added to our mailing list. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The BLM prepared the GUSG Rangewide Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS to address a range of alternatives focused on specific conservation measures across the range of the GUSG in southwest Colorado and southeast Utah. The Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS proposes to amend the current management decisions for resources as described in the following RMPs:
• Colorado
  • San Luis RMP (1991)
  • Gunnison RMP (1993)
  • San Juan/San Miguel RMP (1985)
  (currently under revision in the Uncompahgre RMP)
  • Uncompahgre Basin RMP (1989)
  (currently under revision in the
Domínguez- Escalante National Conservation Area [NCA] RMP and
Uncompahgre RMP)
  • Grand Junction RMP (1987)
  (Currently under revision in the
Domínguez-Escalante National
Conservation Area [NCA] RMP)
  • Grand Junction RMP (2015)
  • Gunnison Gorge NCA RMP (2004)
  • Canyons of the Ancients National
Monument RMP (2010)
  • Tres Ríos RMP (2015)
• Utah
  • Moab RMP (2008)
  • Monticello RMP (2008)

The planning area includes approximately 2.1 million acres of BLM, National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, State, local and private lands located in southwestern Colorado and southeastern Utah within 12 counties (Chaffee, Delta, Dolores, Gunnison, Hinsdale, Mesa, Montrose, Ouray, Saguache and San Miguel counties in Colorado; and Grand and San Juan counties in Utah). Within the decision area, the BLM administers approximately 740,000 surface acres and approximately 1.3 million acres of Federal sub-surface mineral estate. Within the decision area, the BLM manages 623,000 acres of GUSG habitat, representing 37 percent of the habitat across the species range. Surface and sub-surface management decisions made as a result of this Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS will apply only to the BLM-administered lands and minerals in the decision area.

The Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS analyzes management actions applicable to three categories of BLM-administered lands and Federal subsurface: Occupied Habitat, Unoccupied Habitat, and Non-Habitat.

Occupied Habitat:
• Occupied critical habitat as
designated by the Fish and Wildlife
Service (FWS);
• Vacant/unknown habitat
delineated by Colorado Parks and Wildlife that
FWS did not designate as occupied
critical habitat;
• Habitat within the Poncha Pass
area; and
• Specific areas the FWS excluded from the critical habitat designation coinciding with Federal subsurface estate.

Unoccupied Habitat: Unoccupied critical habitat as designated by the FWS.

Non-Habitat: Non-GUSG habitat adjacent to Occupied or Unoccupied Habitat within 4 miles of a lek, where certain activities might disrupt GUSG within the adjacent habitat areas.

The formal public scoping process for the RMP Amendment/EIS began on July 18, 2014, with the publication of a Notice of Intent in the Federal Register (79 FR 42033), and ended on August 22, 2014. The BLM held four public scoping meetings in August 2014. The BLM used public scoping comments to help identify planning issues that directed the formulation of alternatives and framed the scope of analysis in the Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS. The BLM also used the scoping process to introduce the public to preliminary planning criteria, which set limits on the scope of the Draft RMP Amendment/ Draft EIS.

Major issues the Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS considers include special status species management (specifically for the GUSG), energy and mineral development and related travel and transportation, recreation, fire management and range management.

The Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS evaluates four alternatives in detail, including the No Action Alternative (Alternative A) and three action alternatives (Alternatives B, C and D). All action alternatives require compliance with the mitigation hierarchy of first, avoiding impacts to the maximum extent compatible with the goals of the alternative; second, minimizing any impacts that are not avoided; and third, providing compensatory mitigation to offset unavoidable impacts. All mitigation requires a net conservation gain. Alternative A, the No Action Alternative, would continue management of public lands and resources under current BLM RMPs, as previously amended. Alternative B primarily focuses on habitat protection and avoiding impacts to GUSG and GUSG habitat whenever and wherever possible. Alternative C focuses on minimizing and mitigating impacts to GUSG habitat. Alternative D, the Preferred Alternative, includes two sub-alternatives. Sub-alternative D1 adapts and expands on the BLM Gunnison Basin Candidate Conservation Agreement (2013) to manage the Gunnison Basin GUSG population. Sub-alternative D2 includes management actions developed and tailored for the satellite (non-Gunnison Basin) populations. Identification of a Preferred Alternative does not represent final agency decision, and the Proposed and Approved RMP Amendments may reflect changes or adjustments based on public comments, new information, or changes in BLM policies or priorities. The Proposed and Approved RMP Amendments may include objectives and actions described in the other analyzed alternatives or otherwise within the spectrum of alternatives analyzed. Pursuant to 43 CFR 1610.7–2(b), this notice announces a concurrent public comment period on proposed Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs). BLM determined that four potential ACECs met the criteria for relevance and importance, some of which overlapped. The Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS includes a range of alternatives for ACECs from no designations to designation of a single proposed ACEC that encompasses all four potential ACECs. In particular, Alternative B analyzes an ACEC for all Occupied and Unoccupied Habitat (the Sage-Grouse Habitat ACEC), which encompasses all four potential ACECs that were evaluated. This proposed ACEC covers approximately 623,000 acres and meets the relevance and importance criteria because it includes more than locally significant qualities for GUSG, which are threatened and warrant protection. If the Sage-Grouse Habitat ACEC is formally designated, all resource management actions in Alternative B would be applied. The following are the overarching use allocations: closed to fluid mineral leasing; designated as a right-of-way exclusion area; limited to travel on existing or designated roads and trails; and recommended for withdrawal from mineral entry.

Please note that public comments and information submitted including names, street addresses and email addresses of persons who submit comments will be available for public review and disclosure at the above addresses during regular business hours (8 a.m. to 4 p.m.). Monday through Friday, except holidays.

In addition to the Web site listed above, documents pertinent to this proposal may be examined at:

• BLM Colorado State Office (see ADDRESSES above)
• BLM Colorado Southwest District Office, 2465 South Townsend Ave., Montrose, CO 81401
• BLM Colorado Grand Junction Field Office, 2815 H Road, Grand Junction, CO 81506
• BLM Colorado Gunnison Field Office, 210 West Spencer Ave., Gunnison, CO 81230
• BLM Colorado San Luis Valley Field Office, 1313 E. Highway 160, Monte Vista, CO 81144
INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–975]

Certain Computer Cables, Chargers, Adapters, Peripheral Devices and Packaging Containing the Same; Notice of To Review an Initial Determination Finding All Respondents in Default; Request for Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) [Order No. 7] finding all respondents in default. The Commission requests written submissions, under the schedule set forth below, on remedy, public interest, and bonding.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amanda Pitcher Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 17, 2015, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Belkin International, Inc. of Playa Vista, California (“Complainant”). 80 Fed. Reg. 78763–64 (December 17, 2015). The complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the sale for importation, importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain computer cables, chargers, adapters, peripheral devices and packaging containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,339,459; U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,339,460; U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,168,379; and U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,538,212. The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following respondents: Dongguan Pinte Electronic Co., Ltd., of Dongguan City, China; Dongguan Shijie Fresh Electronic Products Factory, of Dongguan City, China, collectively “Respondents.”

On June 6, 2016, Complainant moved to find Respondents in default. The Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of Complainant’s motion. On June 21, 2016, the ALJ issued Order No. 6 ordering Respondents to show cause why they should not be found in default for failing to file a response to the complaint and notice of investigation. On July 12, 2016, the ALJ issued the subject ID finding Respondents in default. See Order No. 7. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

Section 337(g)(1) and Commission Rule 210.16(c) authorize the Commission to order relief against a respondent found in default, unless, after considering the public interest, it finds that such relief should not issue. Complainant seeks a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may: (1) Issue an order that could result in the exclusion of articles manufactured or imported by the defaulting respondent; and/or (2) issue a cease and desist order that could result in the defaulting respondent being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see Certain Devices for