public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Use of the www.regulations.gov Website to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA’s preferred method for receiving comments. The electronic public docket system is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity, email address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. In contrast to EPA’s electronic public docket, EPA’s electronic mail (email) system is not an “anonymous access” system. If you send an email comment directly to the Docket without going through www.regulations.gov, your email address is automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA’s electronic public docket.

Dated: August 10, 2016.

Lorie J. Schmidt,
Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2016–19639 Filed 8–16–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY


Plant-Incorporated Protectants: Proposed Modifications of Registration Procedures for Plant-Incorporated Protectants in Breeding Line Intermediates; Notice of Availability; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA issued a notice in the Federal Register of June 30, 2016, concerning the availability for public comment of a White Paper describing how the Agency is proposing to modify its current approach to plant-incorporated protectants in breeding line intermediates under section 3 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act. This document extends the public comment period established in the Federal Register document of June 30, 2016. If you have questions, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Dated: August 11, 2016.

Robert C. McNally,
Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2016–19646 Filed 8–16–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 16–16]

MAVL Capital, Inc., IAM & AL Group Inc., and Maxim Ostrovskiy V. Marine Transport Logistics, Inc. and Dmitry Alper: Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a Complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) by MAVL Capital, Inc. ("MAVL"), IAM & AL GROUP INC. ("IAM"), and Maxim Ostrovskiy, hereinafter "Complainants," against Marine Transport Logistics, Inc. ("MTL") and Dmitry Alper, hereinafter "Respondents." Complainants allege that Respondents are a non-vessel-operating common carrier ("NVOCC") licensed by the Commission and its director of operations, “engaged in the business of exporting used cars, motorcycles, and other cargo . . . from the United States to ports abroad.” Complainants allege that they had a business relationship with Respondents, having hired Respondents to ship Complainants’ vehicles.

Complainants allege that Respondents violated provisions of the Shipping Act of 1984, including 46 U.S.C. 41102, 41101 and 46 CFR part 515, by:

"i. Failing to establish, observe, and enforce just and reasonable regulations and practices relating to or connected with receiving, handling, storing, or delivering property;
ii. Unreasonably refusing to deal or negotiate;
iii. Retaliating against Complainants because the Complainants had patronized another carrier;
iv. Knowingly misdelivering Complainants’ cargo; and
v. Converting Complainants’ cargo under the false premise of having exercised a maritime lien.”

Complainants allege damages “in excess of $180,000” and request the following relief:

“(1) Respondents be required to answer the charges herein; (2) that after due hearing, an order be made commanding said respondent to pay to Complainants by way of reparations for the unlawful conduct hereinabove described, the sums described herein, with interest and attorney’s fees, costs and expenses, or such other sum as the Commission may determine to be proper as an award of reparation; (3) that the Commission issue an Order holding that the respondents Dimitry Alper individually, and Marine Transport Logistics, Inc. violated the Shipping Act of 1984; and (4) that the Commission issue such other and further order or orders as the Commission determines to be just and proper.”

The full text of the complaint can be found in the Commission’s Electronic Reading Room at www.fmc.gov/16–16.

This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges. The initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by August 11, 2017 and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by February 26, 2018.

Rachel E. Dickon,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–19653 Filed 8–16–16; 8:45 am]
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