A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Uniform Physical Standards & Physical Inspection Requirements.

OMB Approval Number: 2502–0369.

Type of Request: Extension of currently approved collection.

Form Number: N/A.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: All multifamily properties owned by HUD or with HUD-insured mortgages must be inspected regularly to ensure that they are maintained in a condition that is decent, safe, sanitary, and in good repairs.

Respondents: Affected public.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 12,125.

Estimated Number of Responses: 12,125.

Frequency of Response: Annual.

Average Hours per Response: 6.

Total Estimated Burden: 26,706.

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.


Dated: August 9, 2016.

Janet M. Golrick,

Associate General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing—Associate Deputy Federal Housing Commissioner.
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BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–567 (Advisory Opinion Proceeding)]

Certain Foam Footwear; Institution of an Advisory Opinion Proceeding


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute an advisory opinion proceeding in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.


On July 25, 2008, the Commission issued its final determination finding no violation of section 337 based on non-infringement and non-satisfaction of the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement with respect to the ’789 patent, and invalidity of the ’858 patent as obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103. 73 FR 45073–74 (Aug. 1, 2008). On July 15, 2011, after an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and subsequent remand vacating the Commission’s previous finding of no violation, the Commission found a violation of section 337 based on infringement of the asserted claims of the patents and issued a general exclusion order and, inter alia, a cease and desist order directed against Double Diamond. 76 FR 43723–24 (July 21, 2011).

On July 12, 2016, Double Diamond and U.S.A. Dawgs, Inc. (‘‘USA Dawgs’’) of Las Vegas, Nevada (collectively, the ‘‘requesters’’) petitioned for institution of an advisory opinion proceeding as to whether their Fleece Dawgs footwear is covered by the general exclusion order or cease and desist order directed against Double Diamond. No responses were filed.

The Commission has determined that requesters’ petition complies with the requirements for institution of an advisory opinion proceeding under Commission Rule 210.79 to determine whether their Fleece Dawgs footwear infringes the ’789 patent or claims 1 or 2 of the ’858 patent. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to institute an advisory opinion proceeding and refer requesters’ petition to the Office of Unfair Import Investigations (‘‘OUII’’). The parties will furnish OUII with information as requested, and OUII shall investigate and issue a report to the Commission within ninety (90) days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. The Commission will issue an advisory opinion within forty-five days of receipt of OUII’s written report. The following entities are named as parties to the proceeding: (1) Crocs; (2) Double Diamond; and (3) USA Dawgs.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in sections 335 and 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1335, 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By Order of the Commission.

Issued: August 11, 2016.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.
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