DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Notice Is Given of the Names of Members of the Performance Review Board for the Department of the Air Force

AGENCY: United States Air Force, DOD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the names of members of the Performance Review Board for the Department of the Air Force.

DATES: Effective on November 1, 2016.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 4314(c) (1–5), the Department of the Air Force (AF) announces the appointment of members to the AF’s Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board (PRB). Appointments are made by the authorizing official. Each board member shall review and evaluate performance scores provided by the SES’ immediate supervisor. Performance standards must be applied consistently across the AF. The board will make final recommendations to the authorizing official relative to the performance of the executive.

The members of the 2016 Performance Review Board for the U.S. Air Force are:

1. Board President—Gen Pawlikowski, Commander, Air Force Material Command
2. Honorable Disbrow, Under Secretary of the Air Force
3. Honorable Ballentine, Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Installations, Environment, and Logistics
4. Lt Gen McLaughlin, Deputy Commander at United States Cyber Command
5. Lt Gen Bunch, Deputy, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Acquisition
6. Lt Gen Grosso, Deputy Chief of Staff for Manpower, Personnel and Services
7. Ms. Young, Executive Director, Air Force Materiel Command
8. Ms. Thomas, Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Air Force
9. Mr. Mcadle, Principal Deputy General Counsel of the Air Force
10. Mr. Shelton, Deputy Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Air Force
11. Ms. Costello, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Acquisition)
12. Mr. Salvatori, Director, Capabilities Management Office
13. Mr. Bridges, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics, Engineering and Force Protection
14. Ms. Miller, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Installations
15. Mr. Geurts, Acquisition Executive, U.S. Special Operations Command
16. Ms. Nolta, Deputy Director, Air Force Staff

Additionally, all career status Air Force Tier 3 SES members not included in the above list are eligible to serve on the 2016 Performance Review Board and are hereby nominated for inclusion on an ad hoc basis in the event of absence(s).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Please direct any written comments or requests for information to Ms. Dawn Rayner, Deputy Director, Senior Executive Management, AF/DFS, 1040 Air Force Pentagon, Washington DC; 20330–1040 (PH: 703–695–7677; or via email at dawn.m.rayner.civ@mail.mil.)

Bao-Anh Trinh,
Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–21056 Filed 9–2–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–10–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
United States Air Force

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Housing Program at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (AFB), OH

AGENCY: United States Air Force, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The United States Air Force (Air Force) is issuing this notice to notify the public of its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the housing program at Wright-Patterson AFB. The EIS is being prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969; 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Parts 1500–1508, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations for implementing NEPA; and the Air Force Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP) [32 CFR part 989]. This notice also serves to invite early public and agency participation in determining the scope of environmental issues and alternatives to be analyzed in the EIS and to identify and eliminate from detailed study the issues which are not significant. To effectively define the full range of issues and concerns to be evaluated in the EIS, the Air Force is soliciting scoping comments from interested local, state and federal agencies, interested American Indian tribes, and interested members of the public. This NOI also serves to invite stakeholder participation and comment as part of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and in support and furtherance of the NHPA Section 106 consultation process.

Scoping comments may be submitted to the Air Force at the planned public scoping meetings and/or in writing.

DATES: The Air Force plans to hold two public scoping meetings from 5:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., on the dates and at the locations listed below.

• Monday, September 26, 2016: Fairborn High School Auditorium, 306 E. Whittier Avenue, Fairborn, OH 45324
• Tuesday, September 27, 2016: Fairborn High School Auditorium, 306 E. Whittier Avenue, Fairborn, OH 45324

The agenda for each scoping meeting is as follows:

• 5:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.—Open House and comment submission
• 6:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.—Air Force Presentation
• 7:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.—Open House and comment submission resumes

Local notices announcing scheduled dates, locations, and addresses for each meeting will be published in the Dayton Daily News, Fairborn Daily Herald, Beavercreek News Current, Xenia Daily Gazette and other publications a minimum of fifteen (15) days prior to each meeting.

Comments will be accepted at any time during the Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP). However, to ensure the Air Force has sufficient time to consider public input in the preparation of the Draft EIS, scoping comments must be submitted no later than October 9, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Information on the Wright-Patterson AFB housing project can be accessed at the project Web site at http://wpafbhousingeis.versar.com. The project Web site can be used to submit scoping comments to the Air Force, or comments and inquiries may also be submitted by mail or email to the 88th Air Base Wing Public Affairs Office, 5135 Pearson Road, Bldg. 10, Room 253A, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 or by email at 88abw.pa.us.af.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Currently, 100 homes remain in the USAF inventory at WPABF. These homes include ten homes on Yount Drive built in 1975, 89 homes built in 1934–1937 within a planned thematic landscape.
now managed as the Brick Quarters Historic District (BQHD), and the NRHP-eligible Foulois House constructed in 1874. WPAFB presently operates and maintains the BQHD and Foulois House under provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Sections 106 and 110. Environmental studies and impact analysis will evaluate options for new construction, conveyance to a private developer, lease, and demolition of these last remaining government-owned homes. Public scoping meetings will be held to assist in identifying reasonable alternatives, potential impacts, and the relative significance of impacts to be analyzed in the EIS.

The purpose of the action is to provide housing that meets Air Force standards for key and essential (K&E) personnel and their dependents stationed at WPAFB. The need for this action stems from mission requirements, Department of Defense (DOD) policy, and the USAF’s obligations under the NHPA and Executive Order (EO) 13287, Preserve America. At a minimum, this action must support the mission by providing residences for 30 K&E personnel and their dependents who are required to live on WPAFB. The current privatized housing inventory does not support these needs, as the homes are not of adequate square footage and are not proximate enough to K&E work locations to support the base’s housing requirements for the identified K&E personnel.

The EIS will address concerns associated with modification, conveyance, lease, and/or demolition of historic homes within the BQHD. The EIS will analyze alternatives that could include military construction and continued government ownership, housing privatization of all or a portion of the homes, NHPA Section 111 Lease, reuse as temporary lodging facilities, demolition, and the No Action Alternative. Within the framework of these alternatives, the EIS will support Air Force decisions by identifying and evaluating potential impacts to land use, safety, noise, hazardous materials and solid waste, earth resources, water resources, air quality, transportation, cultural resources, biological resources, socioeconomics, and environmental justice.

The USAF intends to use the EIS process and documentation to aid in fulfilling its NHPA, Section 106 consultation requirements (36 CFR 800.8) particularly regarding public participation in the planning process associated with this EIS. In addition, extensive consultation under NHPA Sec. 106 is ongoing with the OHPO, ACHP and other interested parties. That consultation is expected to produce a Sec. 106 project Programmatic Agreement which will be executed prior to issuance of this EIS’s Record of Decision (ROD).

Henry Williams, Acting Air Force Federal Register Officer.

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Office of the Secretary**

[Docket ID: DOD–2016–OS–0056]

**National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures**

**AGENCY:** Defense Threat Reduction Agency/USSTRATCOM Center for Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction, Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Final guidance.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Threat Reduction Agency/USSTRATCOM Center for Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction (DTRA/SCC–WMD or the Agency) is issuing procedures to implement the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Executive Order (E.O.) 11514, and Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA.

**DATES:** This final guidance is effective on September 6, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Sherry Davis, Director, Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health Department, at (703) 767–7122 or by email at sherry.j.davis3.civ@mail.mil.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On Thursday, May 5, 2016 (81 FR 27107–27122), the Department of Defense published proposed guidance titled “National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures” for a 30-day public comment period. The public-comment period ended on June 6, 2016. No public comments were received.

Further administrative edits were made to the final guidance. References to “J4/8C” were changed to “J4/8”, and references to the Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health (ESOH) Team Web site were removed throughout the final guidance.

DTRA/SCC–WMD is a combat support agency that counters weapons of mass destruction (WMD). DTRA/SCC–WMD keeps WMD out of the hands of terrorists and other enemies by locking down, monitoring, and destroying weapons and weapons-related material, assists with plans and responses to WMD events, and develops and delivers cutting-edge technologies to assist with these endeavors.

As a Department of Defense (DoD) agency, the DTRA/SCC–WMD does not own real property. Most agency actions typically occur on host military service installations or ranges, or other Federal agency properties. DTRA/SCC–WMD formerly relied upon host installation NEPA implementing procedures, including categorical exclusions to address potential environmental impacts of agency actions. With the issuance of CEQ guidance “Establishing, Applying, and Revising Categorical Exclusions under the National Environmental Policy Act” (Nov. 23, 2010) and after consulting with CEQ and other similar DoD components, DTRA/SCC–WMD determined the need to establish NEPA implementing procedures and categorical exclusions specific to DTRA/SCC–WMD projects and actions. The information assembled while developing categorical exclusions is described in the “DTRA/SCC–WMD Administrative Record for Supporting Categorical Exclusions” and is available on the DTRA/SCC–WMD Web site at: http://www.dtra.mil/Home/NEPA.aspx.

The categorical exclusions describe the categories of actions that DTRA/SCC–WMD determined to normally not individually or cumulatively have significant impact on the environment. These and the other implementing procedures will serve as the agency’s guide for complying with the requirements of NEPA for DTRA/SCC–WMD actions.


Dated: August 31, 2016.

Aaron Siegel, Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.
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