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SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Securities Investor Protection Corporation: Order Approving a Proposed Bylaw Change Relating to SIPC Fund Assessments on SIPC Members

August 30, 2016.

On May 2, 2016, the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed bylaw change pursuant to section 3(e)(1) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970 ("SIPA") \(^1\) relating to assessments on member broker-dealers. \(^2\) On May 27, 2016, SIPC consented to a 60-day extension of time before the proposed bylaw change takes effect pursuant to section 3(e)(1) of SIPA. \(^3\) Pursuant to section 3(e)(1)(B) of SIPA, the Commission found that the proposed bylaw change involved a matter of such significant public interest that public comment should be obtained. \(^4\) This meant that the Commission could require the proposed bylaw change to be treated under the procedures in section 3(e)(2) of SIPA applicable to a proposed SIPC rule change. \(^5\) Consequently, pursuant to section 3(e)(2)(A) of SIPA, \(^6\) notice requesting comment on the proposed bylaw change was published in the Federal Register on June 20, 2016. \(^7\) The Commission received one comment regarding the proposal. \(^8\) This order approves the proposed bylaw change under section 3(e)(2) of SIPA. \(^9\)

I. Description of the Proposed Bylaw Change

A. Background

SIPA requires SIPC, by bylaw, to impose assessments upon its member broker-dealers as, after consultation with self-regulatory organizations, SIPC may deem necessary and appropriate to establish and maintain a broker-dealer liquidation fund administered by SIPC (the "SIPC Fund") from which all expenditures by SIPC are to be made, including funds used to facilitate the liquidation of broker-dealers. \(^10\) Pursuant to this authority, SIPC collects annual assessments from its members. \(^11\) The amount of the annual assessment is prescribed by SIPA and the SIPC bylaws and is a percentage of the member broker-dealer’s net operating revenues from its securities business. \(^12\)

Commission finds that such proposed bylaw change involves a matter of such significant public interest that public comment should be obtained, in which case it may, after notifying SIPC in writing of such finding, require that the procedures set forth in section 3(e)(2) of SIPA be followed with respect to such proposed bylaw change, in the same manner as if such proposed bylaw change were a proposed SIPC rule change. \(^9\)


\(^{11}\) Former SIPC rule change.


\(^{13}\) See, e.g., SIPC, 2015 Annual Report at 20, available at http://www.sipc.org/Content/media/annual-reports/2015-annual-report.pdf [audited financial statements].
lengthen the time period with respect to when a change in assessments becomes effective after notice of the change is published.

1. Imposition of an Intermediary Assessment Rate

When large SIPA liquidation proceedings are pending that require sizeable advances by SIPC, the SIPC Fund could remain at or above the $2.5 billion target level for six months, but then fall significantly below that amount as additional advances are made. Under Article 6, once the Fund reaches the $2.5 billion target level and is projected to remain at or above that amount for six months or more, SIPC could change the assessment rate from 1⁄4 of one percent to 0.02 percent. On the other hand, because projected expenditures in pending proceedings could reasonably cause the balance of the SIPC Fund to be less than $2.5 billion for six months or more, SIPC alternatively could require that the assessment rate remain at 1⁄4 of one percent. SIPC proposed to amend Article 6 to provide clarity as to what actions it might take when the Fund reaches the $2.5 billion target level, to maintain the SIPC Fund at or above the target balance of $2.5 billion, and to offer some relief in the amount of the assessment that member broker-dealers must pay while reducing the likelihood of sudden changes in the rates.

Under the proposed bylaw change, when the SIPC Fund reaches $2.5 billion and is projected to be at $2.5 billion for six months or more, SIPC would consider the balance of its unrestricted net assets, as reflected in its most recent audited Statement of Financial Position. Specifically, SIPC could impose an annual assessment rate of 0.15 percent of a member’s net operating revenues from the securities business if: (1) The amount of the SIPC Fund were at $2.5 billion or more; (2) SIPC determined that the Fund will remain at or above $2.5 billion for at least six months; but (3) SIPC’s unrestricted net assets were less than $2.5 billion, as reflected in its most recent audited Statement of Financial Condition.

2. Amendment of the Effective Date of a Change in the Assessment Rate

SIPC also proposed to amend Article 6 with respect to when a change in assessments becomes effective. Currently, Article 6 provides that a change in assessments is to occur on the first day of the month following the date on which SIPC announces a change in the assessment and continue until SIPC provides otherwise (“Notice Provision”). Under current practice, the SIPC Board of Directors in the ordinary course determines the rate of assessment at its September meeting. The Board’s determination is announced shortly thereafter, and is made effective the first day of the following month. SIPC proposed to amend the Notice Provision in order to give its member broker-dealers earlier notice of the assessment rate for the following year. Under the proposal, an assessment rate would be effective on the first day of the year following the date on which SIPC announces its determination.

Consequently, under the current practice where the assessment is determined at a September meeting of the Board, an assessment rate would be effective on January 1 of the new year. However, the proposal recognizes that there may be emergency situations when the need for an assessment rate to become effective is more immediate. In that case, the assessment rate would be effective on the date announced by SIPC provided that the exigency of the circumstances so warrants.

II. Comments Received

The Commission received one comment regarding the proposal. The commenter stated that the SIPC assessment rate “should be lowered as soon as the SIPC fund reaches its target balance, rather than waiting potentially a full year.” The commenter also stated that the proposed reductions in the assessment rate should be further reduced and that unless there is “another major crisis” the flat fee assessment should be reinstated. The commenter further stated that since under the proposal SIPC can immediately raise assessments when warranted and SIPC can borrow from the Treasury if necessary, extracting “unnecessary” proceeds poses a financial burden to customers of firms that pass the assessments to their customers.

On July 22, 2016, SIPC filed with the Commission a response to the comment. With regard to the comment that the assessment rate should be lowered as soon as the SIPC Fund reaches its target balance, SIPC stated that it believes that lowering the assessment rate gradually “balances the financial interests of its members with the need for robust reserves that are vital to SIPC’s mission.” In addition, SIPC stated that “with a gradual reduction in rates, the Fund is more likely to stay above the current target balance.” With regard to the comment that assessments should be further reduced and that SIPC extracts “unnecessary fees,” SIPC stated that “in 20 of its 45 years of operation, most recently from 1996 to March 2009, assessments were the minimum allowed by statute, ranging from $25 to $150 annually.” SIPC further stated that “even since the financial crisis of 2008, SIPC has assessed its members at only a fraction of the maximum percentage legally permissible.” SIPC also stated that “relating its assessment needs to its net assets instead of to the balance of the SIPC Fund, offers a more realistic and accurate starting point for measuring potential future needs.” Accordingly, SIPC stated that it “believes it prudent to consider booked liabilities in addition to the size of the Fund in determining the appropriate assessment rate.” With regard to the comment that SIPC should reinstate a flat fee assessment, SIPC stated that “absent legislative change, SIPC may no longer assess a ‘flat fee’ minimum as suggested by the comment” because “SIPA section 78ddd(d)(1)(C) was amended in 2010 to provide for a minimum assessment no greater than 0.02 percent of the gross revenues from the securities business of SIPC members.”

III. Commission Findings

Section 3(e)(2)(D) of SIPA provides that the Commission shall approve a proposed rule change if it finds that the proposed rule change is in the public interest and is consistent with the purposes of SIPA. The Commission finds, pursuant to section 3(e)(2)(D) of SIPA, that the proposed bylaw change is in the public interest and consistent with the purposes of SIPA.

The SIPC Fund, which is built from assessments on its members and the interest earned on the Fund, is used for the protection of customers of members liquidated under SIPA to maintain investor confidence in the securities markets. In order to reduce the
likelihood that the SIPC Fund does not fall below the $2.5 billion target, the Commission believes that, in setting the assessment rate, it is appropriate to consider not only the size of the Fund over a six-month period, but SIPC’s actual expenditures and its projected expenditures from the Fund over a longer term. In addition, the Commission believes that the size of the Fund is more likely to remain at or above the target level if there is a more gradual reduction in rates before the minimum assessment rate is imposed. Finally, the Commission believes that the proposed bylaw change would give SIPC members appropriate relief in the amount of assessment that they owe while maintaining the assessment rate at a level that is designed to keep the fund at the target level. Further, the Commission notes that the Fund plays a critical role in protecting customers of failed broker-dealer.

In addition, the Commission believes that the proposed amendment to the Notice Provision will provide SIPC member broker-dealers with earlier notice of the assessment rate for the following year but also allow for more prompt changes to the assessment level when merited in certain emergency situations.

IV. Conclusion

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, pursuant to section 3(e)(2) of SIPA, that the proposed bylaw change is approved.21

By the Commission.

Dated: August 30, 2016.
Brent J. Fields, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–21269 Filed 9–2–16; 8:45 am]
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Voya ETF Trust, et al., Notice of Application

August 30, 2016.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice of an application for an order under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") for an exemption from sections 2(a)(32), 5(a)(1), 22(d), and 22(e) of the Act and rule 22c–1 under the Act, under sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act for an exemption from sections 17(a)(1) and 17(a)(2) of the Act, and under section 12(d)(1)(I) for an exemption from sections 12(d)(1)(A) and 12(d)(1)(B) of the Act. The requested order would permit (a) actively-managed series of certain open-end management investment companies ("Funds") to issue shares redeemable in large aggregations only ("Creation Units"); (b) secondary market transactions in Fund shares to occur at negotiated market prices rather than at net asset value ("NAV"); (c) certain Funds to pay redemption proceeds, under certain circumstances, more than seven days after the tender of shares for redemption; (d) certain affiliated persons of a Fund to deposit securities into, and receive securities from, the Fund in connection with the purchase and redemption of Creation Units; (e) certain registered management investment companies and unit investment trusts outside of the same group of investment companies as the Funds ("Funds of Funds") to acquire shares of the Funds; and (f) certain Funds ("Feeder Funds") to create and redeem Creation Units in-kind in a master-feeder structure.

APPLICANTS: Voya ETF Trust (the "Trust"). a Delaware statutory trust that will be registered under the Act as an open-end management investment company with multiple series, Voya Investments, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company, and Directed Services, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (each of Voya Investments, LLC and Directed Services, LLC, an "Initial Adviser"), each registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Voya Investments Distributor, LLC ("the "Distributor"), an Arizona limited liability company and broker-dealer registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act").

FILING DATES: The application was filed on October 27, 2015 and amended on April 1, 2016.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on September 26, 2016, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to rule 6–5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090; Applicants: Voya Investment Management, 7337 East Doubletree Ranch Road, Suite 100, Scottsdale, Arizona 85258.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura J. Riegel, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551–3038, or Mary Kay Frech, Branch Chief, at (202) 551–6821 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel's Office).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission's Web site by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Summary of the Application

1. Applicants request an order that would allow Funds to operate as actively-managed exchange traded funds ("ETFs"). Fund shares will be purchased and redeemed at their NAV in Creation Units only. All orders to purchase Creation Units and all redemption requests will be placed by or through an "Authorized Participant", which will have signed a participant agreement with the Distributor. Shares will be listed and traded individually on a national securities exchange, where share prices will be based on the current bid/offer market. Certain Funds may operate as Feeder Funds in a master-feeder structure. Any order granting the requested relief would be subject to the terms and conditions stated in the application.

2. Each Fund will consist of a portfolio of securities and other assets and investment positions ("Portfolio Positions"). Each Fund will disclose on its Web site the identities and quantities of the Portfolio Positions that will form the basis for the Fund's calculation of NAV at the end of the day.

1 Applicants request that the order apply to the initial Fund, as well as future series of the Trust and other open-end management investment companies or series thereof that currently exist or that may be created in the future (each, included in the term "Fund"). Each of which will operate as an actively-managed ETF. Any Fund will [a] be advised by an Initial Adviser or an entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with such Initial Adviser (each, an "Adviser") and [b] comply with the terms and conditions of the application.