

percent cap on administrative expenses will be applied. Specifically, Treasury understands that the Council anticipated its annual administrative expenses would remain relatively constant, while the amount of funds received and transferred by the Council for projects undertaken by its members may vary considerably from one year to the next, depending on the Council's funded priorities list. The Council also anticipated that its members would seek to fund large-scale projects under the Comprehensive Plan Component, but anticipated that such large-scale projects would occur in later years after sufficient civil penalties had been deposited into the trust fund. Treasury supports the Council's goal of restoring and protecting the Gulf Coast region under the Comprehensive Plan Component, and is amending section 34.204(b), with a 45 day comment period.

## II. This Interim Rule

For the reasons described above, Treasury is amending when the statutory three percent cap on administrative expenses is applied to the Council under 31 CFR 34.204(b). This Interim Final Rule provides that the Council's three percent limit applies to the total amount the Council will receive under the Comprehensive Plan Component and ensures that the Council will not exceed the statutory three percent cap before the termination of the trust fund. Specifically, the Interim Final Rule provides that amounts used by the Council for administrative expenses may not at any time exceed three percent of the total of the amounts received by the Council from the trust fund and the amounts in the trust fund that are allocated to, but not yet received by, the Council. Treasury believes that this Interim Final Rule balances the Council's need for greater flexibility with compliance with the statutory limitation. The Interim Final Rule amends only section 34.204(b) pertaining to when the three percent cap on administrative expenses is measured. It does not amend the definition of "administrative expenses" found at section 34.2. Nor does it amend section 34.204(a) regarding limitations on administrative costs associated with grants from the Council under the Comprehensive Plan Component.<sup>3</sup>

Treasury requests public comment on the amendment to section 34.204(b).

<sup>3</sup> The final regulations define the term "administrative expenses." 31 CFR 34.2. Note that the final regulations distinguish "administrative expenses" from "administrative costs," also defined in 31 CFR 34.2.

## III. Procedural Requirements

### A. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Because no notice of proposed rulemaking is required, the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) do not apply.

### B. Regulatory Planning and Review (Executive Orders 12866 and 13563)

The amendment to the regulation is a significant regulatory action as defined in Executive Order 12866, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563. Accordingly, it has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

### C. Administrative Procedure Act

The Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.*) (APA) provides that agencies issue regulations with prior notice and an opportunity for public comment and that rules should become effective 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. See 5 U.S.C. 553. The APA, however, allows agencies to dispense with these procedures when the agency finds that good cause exists. In this case, Treasury finds that good cause exists to dispense with prior notice and comment procedures and make this rule immediately effective. As discussed earlier in the preamble, the revision in this Interim Final Rule amends when the Council calculates the statutorily required three percent administrative expense limitation, and does not impose any new obligations on the Act's eligible recipients. While Treasury had previously indicated it would issue a proposed rule, Treasury has determined that the revision will have minimal, and more than likely no, effect on the Act's eligible recipients. Nor does the Interim Final Rule impact the receipt and deposit into the trust fund of the civil penalties which are generally fixed by consent decree. Finally, the revision will help ensure that the Council can continue to function effectively by supporting predictable, long term financial planning and operations. As a result, Treasury has determined that prior notice and comment and a delayed effective date are unnecessary and that good cause exists to make this Interim Final Rule effective immediately.

### List of Subjects in 31 CFR Part 34

Coastal zone, Fisheries, Grant programs, Grants administration, Intergovernmental relations, Marine resources, Natural resources, Oil pollution, Research, Science and technology, Trusts, Wildlife.

For the reasons set forth herein, the Department of the Treasury amends 31 CFR part 34 to read as follows:

## PART 34—RESOURCES AND ECOSYSTEMS SUSTAINABILITY, TOURIST OPPORTUNITIES, AND REVIVED ECONOMIES OF THE GULF COAST STATES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 34 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 31 U.S.C. 301; 31 U.S.C. 321; 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*

■ 2. Revise paragraph (b) of § 34.204 to read as follows:

### § 34.204 Limitations on administrative costs and administrative expenses.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Of the amounts received by the Council under the Comprehensive Plan Component, not more than three percent may be used for administrative expenses. The three percent limit is applied to the amounts it receives under the Comprehensive Plan Component before termination of the Trust Fund. Amounts used for administrative expenses may not at any time exceed three percent of the total of the amounts received by the Council and the amounts in the Trust Fund that are allocated to, but not yet received by, the Council under § 34.103.

David A. Lebryk,

*Fiscal Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-23348 Filed 9-27-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2016-0883]

RIN 1625-AA00

### Safety Zone; Main Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, IL

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone on the Main Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, IL. This action is necessary and intended to ensure safety of life on the navigable waters of the United States immediately prior to, during, and after the filming of a motion picture from a low flying helicopter. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan.

**DATES:** This rule will be effective from 6 p.m. on October 1, 2016 to 11 p.m. on October 2, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2016–0883 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this rule, call or email LT Lindsay Cook, Marine Safety Unit Chicago, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone (630) 986–2155, email [Lindsay.N.Cook@uscg.mil](mailto:Lindsay.N.Cook@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Table of Abbreviations**

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
 DHS Department of Homeland Security  
 FR Federal Register  
 NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
 § Section  
 U.S.C. United States Code

**II. Background Information and Regulatory History**

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because doing so would be impracticable. The final details for this event were not known to the Coast Guard until there was insufficient time remaining before the event to publish a NPRM. Thus, delaying the effective date of this rule to wait for a comment period to run would be impracticable because it would inhibit the Coast Guard’s ability to protect the public and vessels from the hazards associated with the filming from a low flying helicopter on October 1, 2016, or an alternate date of October 2, 2016.

We are issuing this rule, and under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this temporary rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. For the same reasons discussed in the preceding paragraph, waiting for a 30 day notice period to run would be impracticable.

**III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule**

The legal basis for the rule is the Coast Guard’s authority to establish safety zones: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

On October 1, 2016 or an alternate date of October 2, 2016, filming from a low flying helicopter will take place on the Main Branch of the Chicago River between the Franklin-Orleans Street Highway Bridge and the Michigan Avenue Highway Bridge in Chicago, IL. The Captain of the Port Lake Michigan has determined that the filming from a low flying helicopter will pose a significant risk to public safety and property. Such hazards include rotor turbulence, strong gusts of air, and close proximity of any vessel on the Chicago River.

**IV. Discussion of the Rule**

With the aforementioned hazards in mind, the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan has determined that this temporary safety zone is necessary to ensure the safety of the public during the filming from a low flying helicopter on the Main Branch of the Chicago River. This safety zone will be enforced intermittently from 6 p.m. to 11 p.m. on October 1, 2016, or an alternate date of October 2, 2016. This zone will encompass all waters of the Main Branch of the Chicago River between the Franklin-Orleans Street Highway Bridge and the Michigan Avenue Highway Bridge in Chicago, IL.

Entry into, transiting, or anchoring within the safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan, or a designated on-scene representative. The Captain of the Port or a designated on-scene representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.

**V. Regulatory Analyses**

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

**A. Regulatory Planning and Review**

Executive orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This rule has not been

designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive order 12866. Accordingly, it has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

We conclude that this rule is not a significant regulatory action because we anticipate that it will have minimal impact on the economy, will not interfere with other agencies, will not adversely alter the budget of any grant or loan recipients, and will not raise any novel legal or policy issues. The safety zone created by this rule will be relatively small and enforced intermittently on October 1, 2016, or an alternate date of October 2, 2016 from 6 p.m. to 11 p.m. Under certain conditions, moreover, vessels may still transit through the safety zone when permitted by the Captain of the Port.

**B. Impact on Small Entities**

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered the impact of this temporary rule on small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to transit on a portion of the Main Branch of the Chicago River on October 1, 2016, or an alternate date of October 2, 2016 from 6 p.m. to 11 p.m.

This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the reasons cited in the *Regulatory Planning and Review* section. Additionally, before the enforcement of the zone, we will issue local Broadcast Notice to Mariners and Public Notice of Safety Zone so vessel owners and operators can plan accordingly.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person

listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

#### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section above.

#### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or

more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023-01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves the establishment of a safety zone for filming from a low flying helicopter on the Main Branch of the Chicago River in Chicago, IL. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2-1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

#### G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05-1, 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.T09-0883 to read as follows:

#### § 165.T09-0883 Safety Zone; Main Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, IL.

(a) *Location.* All waters of the Main Branch of the Chicago River between the Franklin-Orleans Street Highway Bridge and the Michigan Avenue Highway Bridge.

(b) *Enforcement Period.* This rule will be enforced intermittently on October 1, 2016 from 6 p.m. to 11 p.m. or an alternate date of October 2, 2016 from 6 p.m. to 11 p.m.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into, transiting, or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or a designated on-scene representative.

(2) This safety zone is closed to all vessel traffic, except as may be permitted by the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or a designated on-scene representative.

(3) The "on-scene representative" of the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan is any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer who has been designated by the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan to act on his or her behalf.

(4) Vessel operators desiring to enter or operate within the safety zone shall contact the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or an on-scene representative to obtain permission to do so. The Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or an on-scene representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16. Vessel operators given permission to enter or operate in the safety zone must comply with all directions given to them by the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan, or an on-scene representative.

Dated: September 21, 2016.

**A.B. Cocanour,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan.*

[FR Doc. 2016-23318 Filed 9-27-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R06-OAR-2014-0221; FRL-9951-54-Region 6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oklahoma; Revisions to Major New Source Review Permitting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.