investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:
- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml);
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–BatsEDGX–2016–55 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BatsEDGX–2016–55. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments received on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BatsEDGX–2016–55, and should be submitted on or before November 9, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–25237 Filed 10–18–16; 8:45 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of No Objection to Advance Notice Filing Concerning The Options Clearing Corporation’s Escrow Deposit Program

October 13, 2016


I. Description of the Advance Notice

OCC is the sole clearing agency for the U.S. listed options markets and a systemically important financial market utility. OCC seeks to manage risks that could cause a financial loss or settlement disruption and, therefore, threaten the stability of the U.S. financial system. One way OCC manages such risks is by collecting collateral to protect against potential losses stemming from the default of a clearing member or its customers. OCC obtains this collateral by collecting margin from its clearing members, or from deposits in lieu of margin of clearing members’ customers through OCC’s escrow deposit program. OCC states that the users of its escrow deposit program are customers of clearing members who, through the escrow deposit program, are permitted to collateralize eligible positions directly with OCC (instead of with the relevant clearing member who would, in turn, deposit margin at OCC). OCC states that when a customer of a clearing member makes a deposit in lieu of margin through OCC’s escrow deposit program, the relevant positions are excluded from the clearing member’s margin requirement at OCC. OCC believes that the escrow deposit program therefore provides users of OCC’s services with a means to more efficiently use cash or securities they may have available.

As described by OCC in the Advance Notice, the purpose of the proposed change is to improve the resiliency of OCC’s escrow deposit program (“EDP”). First, OCC states that the changes would increase OCC’s visibility into and control over collateral deposits made under the escrow deposit program. As described in the Advance Notice, currently, securities deposits in the EDP (“specific deposits”) are held at either the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) or custodian banks, and cash deposits in the EDP (“escrow deposits”) are held at custodian banks. While OCC currently can verify the value of the securities deposited at DTC through DTC’s systems, it lacks similar visibility into cash and securities held in custodian bank accounts, relying instead on the custodian banks to verify the value of such collateral. The proposed changes would require securities in the EDP to be held at DTC, providing OCC with increased visibility into the collateral, as OCC will be able to view, validate, and value the collateral in real time and perform the controls currently performed by custodian banks. As stated in the Advance Notice, a bank participating in the escrow deposit program (“Tri-Party Custodian Bank”) would also provide OCC with online view access to each customer’s cash account designated for the escrow deposit program, allowing visibility into


transactional activity and account balances without having to rely upon a third party to value or warrant the existence of the collateral.

Second, OCC states that the proposed changes provide more specificity concerning the manner in which OCC would take possession of collateral in OCC’s escrow deposit program in the event of a clearing member or custodian bank default. As described in the Advance Notice, proposed Rules 610A(b), 610B(f), 610C(q), and 610C(r) would provide that in the event of a clearing member or custodian bank default, OCC would have the right to direct DTC to deliver the securities included in a member specific deposit, third-party specific deposit or escrow deposit to OCC’s DTC participant account for the purpose of satisfying the obligations of the clearing member or reimbursing itself for losses incurred as a result of the failure. Similarly, pursuant to proposed Rules 610C(q) and 610C(r), OCC would have the right in the event of a Tri-Party Custodian Bank default to take possession of cash included within an escrow deposit for the same purposes. Further, Rule 1106(b)(2) would be amended to provide that OCC may close out a short position of a suspended clearing member covered by a member specific, third-party specific or escrow deposit, subject to the ability of the suspended clearing member or its representative to transfer the short position to another clearing member under certain circumstances.

Third, OCC states the proposed changes would clarify clearing members’ rights to collateral in the EDP in the event of a customer default to the clearing member. According to the Advance Notice, Proposed Rules 610B(c) and 610C(f) would provide for the grant of a security interest by the customer to the clearing member with respect to any given third-party specific deposit and escrow deposit, as applicable, with the clearing member’s right subordinate to OCC’s interest. Proposed Rules 610C(d), 610C(e), 610C(p) and 610C(s), relating to escrow deposits, and proposed Rules 610B(d) and 610B(e), relating to third-party specific deposits, would provide that, in the event of a customer default to a clearing member, the clearing member would have the right to request a “hold” on a deposit, which would prevent the withdrawal of deposited securities or cash by a custodian bank or the release of a deposit that would otherwise occur in the ordinary course. OCC states that placing the “hold” instruction gives a clearing member the right to request that OCC direct delivery of the deposit to the clearing member through DTC’s systems, in the case of securities, or an instruction to the Tri-Party Custodian Bank in the case of cash. OCC believes that providing clearing members with transparent instructions regarding how to place a hold instruction on and direct delivery of a deposit in the escrow deposit program would be a significant enhancement to the current escrow deposit program.

Fourth, OCC states the changes would improve the readability of the rules governing OCC’s escrow deposit program by consolidating all such rules into a single location in OCC’s Rulebook. Upon implementation of the proposed change, all securities collateral in OCC’s escrow deposit program would be held at DTC, and custodian banks would only be allowed to hold cash collateral.

Rule Consolidation and Terminology Changes

OCC’s current rules concerning its escrow deposit program are located in OCC Rules 503, 610, 613 and 1801. Additionally, OCC and custodian banks participating in OCC’s escrow deposit program enter into an Escrow Deposit Agreement (“EDA”), which also contains substantive provisions governing the program. OCC proposes to consolidate all of the rules concerning the escrow deposit program, including the provisions of the EDA relevant to the revised escrow deposit program, into proposed Rules 610, 610A, 610B and 610C. OCC states that consolidating the many rules governing the escrow deposit program into a single location would significantly enhance the understandability and transparency of the rules concerning the escrow deposit program for current users of the program as well as any persons that may be interested in using the program in the future.

OCC proposes to rename the types of escrow deposits available within the escrow deposit program, as well as rename the term “approved depository” to “approved custodian.” Specific deposits, which are equity securities deposited by clearing members at DTC at the direction of their customers, would now be called “member specific deposits”; third-party escrow deposits, which are equity securities deposited by custodian banks at DTC at the direction of their customers, would now be called “third-party specific deposits”; and escrow program deposits, which are either cash deposits held at a custodian bank for the benefit of OCC, or Government securities deposited at DTC by custodian banks at the direction of their customers, would now be called “escrow deposits.” The term “approved depository” would also be changed to “approved custodian” to eliminate any potential confusion with the term “ Depository,” which is defined in the Rules to mean DTC.

New Rule Organization

With respect to the rules governing the escrow deposit program, OCC states that proposed Rule 610 would set forth general terms and conditions common to all types of deposits permitted under the escrow deposit program. Specifically, proposed Rule 610: (1) Sets forth the different types of eligible positions for which a deposit in lieu of margin may be used, (2) sets forth operational aspects of the escrow deposit program such as the days and the times during which a deposit in lieu of margin may be made and where the different types of deposits in lieu of margin must be maintained (either DTC or a custodian bank), (3) provides the conditions under which OCC may take possession of a deposit in lieu of margin (from DTC or a custodian bank), and (4) describes OCC’s security interest in deposits in lieu of margin. Proposed Rule 610 is supplemented by: (1) Proposed Rule 610A for member specific deposits, (2) proposed Rule 610B for third-party specific deposits, and (3) proposed Rule 610C for escrow deposits.

Agreements Concerning the Escrow Deposit Program

In addition to the above-described Rule changes, many provisions of the EDA would be moved in to the Rules. OCC proposes to eliminate the EDA and replace it with a streamlined agreement entitled the “Participating Escrow Bank Agreement.” OCC states that the Participating Escrow Bank Agreement would provide that custodian banks are subject to all terms of the Rules governing the revised escrow deposit program, as they may be amended from time to time. OCC states that the...
Participating Escrow Bank Agreement would contain eligibility requirements for custodian banks, including representations regarding the custodian bank’s Tier 1 Capital, and provide OCC with express representations concerning the bank’s authority to enter into the Participating Escrow Bank Agreement.

OCC is also proposing, under Proposed Rule 610C(b), to require customers wishing to deposit cash collateral and custodian banks holding escrow deposits comprised of cash to enter into a tri-party agreement involving OCC, the customer and the applicable custodian bank. While cash collateral pledged in the escrow deposit program will continue to be facilitated through existing interfaces, OCC states that pledges would be required to be made in the customer’s account at the Tri-Party Custodian Bank. OCC states that the Tri-Party Agreement would govern the customer’s use of cash in the program, confirm the grant of a security interest in the customer’s account to OCC and the relevant clearing member (as set forth in proposed Rule 610C(f)), and cause customers of clearing members to be subject to all terms of the Rules governing the revised escrow deposit program. Each custodian bank entering into the Tri-Party Agreement would also agree to follow the directions of OCC with respect to cash escrow deposits without further consent by the customer.

II. Discussion and Commission Findings

Although the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act does not specify a standard of review for an advance notice, the Commission believes that the stated purpose of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act is instructive.6 The stated purpose of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act is to mitigate systemic risk in the financial system and promote financial stability by, among other things, promoting uniform risk management standards for systematically important financial market utilities and strengthening the liquidity of systematically important financial market utilities.7

Section 805(a)(2) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act8 authorizes the Commission to prescribe risk management standards for the payment, clearing, and settlement activities of designated clearing entities and financial institutions engaged in designated activities for which it is the supervisory agency or the appropriate financial regulator. Section 805(b) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act9 states that the objectives and principles for the risk management standards prescribed under Section 805(a) shall be to:

- promote robust risk management;
- promote safety and soundness;
- reduce systemic risks; and
- support the stability of the broader financial system.

The Commission has adopted risk management standards under Section 805(a)(2) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act (“Clearing Agency Standards”) and the Exchange Act.10 The Clearing Agency Standards became effective on January 2, 2013, and require registered clearing agencies to establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to meet certain minimum requirements for their operations and risk management practices on an ongoing basis. As such, it is appropriate for the Commission to review advance notices against these Clearing Agency Standards, and the objectives and principles of these risk management standards as described in Section 805(b) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act.11

The Commission believes the proposed change is consistent with the objectives and principles described in Section 805(b) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act,12 and the Clearing Agency Standards, in particular, Rule 17Ad–22(d)(1),13 Rule 17Ad–22(d)(3),14 and Rule 17Ad–22(d)(11)15 under the Exchange Act, as described in detail below.

A. Consistency With Section 805(b)(1) of the Act

The objectives and principles of Section 805(b) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act are to promote robust risk management, promote safety and soundness, reduce systemic risks, and support the stability of the broader financial system.16 The proposed change is consistent with the objectives and principles described in Section 805(b)(1) of the Act, including consistency with promoting robust risk management.17 OCC collects margin and deposits in lieu of margin to protect OCC and market participants from risks resulting from the default of a clearing member. The proposed change will enhance OCC’s ability to validate and value EDP deposits in real time and enhance its ability to expeditiously take possession of such deposits in the event of a default. These enhancements will enable OCC to better ensure that it monitors and maintains adequate financial resources in the event of a clearing member default and thereby promote robust risk management. As such, the Commission believes that the proposed change is consistent with the promotion of robust risk management.

B. Consistency With Exchange Act Rule 17Ad–22(d)

Rule 17Ad–22(d)(1) under the Exchange Act requires OCC to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to provide a well-founded, transparent, and enforceable legal framework for each aspect of its activities in all relevant jurisdictions.18 Through the proposed change, OCC will provide clarity to clearing members, their customers, and potential users of OCC’s escrow deposit program regarding the operations of the escrow deposit program and the manner in which OCC would risk manage a clearing member or customer default using the escrow deposit program. For example, the proposed change would better codify OCC’s and clearing members’ rights to EDP collateral in the event of a clearing member or customer default and provide greater transparency regarding the operational steps involved in taking possession of such collateral. Moreover, consolidating the rules governing the EDP and terms previously located in the EDA into a single location will enhance the transparency of the applicable EDP rules. As such, the Commission believes the proposed change is consistent with Exchange Act Rule 17Ad–22(d)(1).

In addition, the Commission believes that the proposed change is consistent with Exchange Act Rule 17Ad–22(d)(3).19 Rule 17Ad–22(d)(3) requires OCC to, among other things, establish,
implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to hold assets in a manner that minimizes risk of loss or delay or in its access to them.\textsuperscript{21} Under the proposed change, all non-cash collateral in the EDP would be held at DTC, which will allow OCC to validate and value collateral in real time and quickly obtain possession of deposited securities in an event of default without involving custodian banks by issuing a transfer instruction through DTC’s systems. With respect to cash collateral, the proposed change would codify OCC’s right to take possession of cash within an escrow account upon a clearing member or custodian bank default and provide OCC with online view access to each customer’s cash account at the custodian bank. Together, these changes would allow OCC monitor the adequacy of collateral in the EDP and be able to more quickly take possession of collateral in the EDP in the event of a clearing member default, which would, thereby, reduce potential losses to OCC, other clearing members and market participants.

Finally, the Commission believes that the proposed change is consistent with Exchange Act Rule 17Ad–22(d)(11), which requires OCC to, among other things, establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to make key aspects of their default procedures publicly available.\textsuperscript{22} The Commission believes that the proposed change is consistent with Rule 17Ad–22(d)(11) because it would incorporate the substantive terms of the EDP, and specifically the rules concerning default management, into OCC’s Rules, which are publicly available on OCC’s Web site, rather than in private agreements.

\begin{center}
\textbf{III. Conclusion}
\end{center}

\textit{It is therefore noticed, pursuant to Section 806(e)(1)(I) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act,\textsuperscript{23} that the Commission does not object to Advance Notice (SR–OCC–2016–802) and that OCC is authorized to implement the proposed change.}

By the Commission.

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2016–25235 Filed 10–18–16; 8:45 am]
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\textsuperscript{21} Id.
\textsuperscript{22} 17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(d)(11).
\textsuperscript{23} 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1)(I).
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\textbf{SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION}
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\textbf{Self-Regulatory Organizations; Bats BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Designation of a Longer Period for Commission Action on Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1 Thereto, to List and Trade Shares of the iShares iBonds Dec 2023 Term Muni Bond ETF and iShares iBonds Dec 2024 Term Muni Bond ETF of the iShares U.S. ETF Trust}

October 13, 2016.

On August 9, 2016, Bats BZX Exchange, Inc. (“Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)\textsuperscript{5} and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,\textsuperscript{6} a proposed rule change to list and trade Shares of the iShares iBonds Dec 2023 Term Muni Bond ETF and iShares iBonds Dec 2024 Term Muni Bond ETF (collectively, “Funds”) pursuant to Exchange Rule 14.11(c)(4). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the \textit{Federal Register} on August 30, 2016.\textsuperscript{7} On October 6, 2016, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change, which amended and replaced in its entirety the proposal as originally submitted.\textsuperscript{8} The Commission has not received any comments on the proposal.\textsuperscript{9} Section 19(b)(2) of the Act\textsuperscript{10} provides that within 45 days of the publication of notice of the filing of a proposed rule change, or within such longer period up to 90 days as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding, or as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The 45th day after publication of the notice for this proposed rule change is October 14, 2016. The Commission is extending this 45-day time period.

The Commission finds it appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider this proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1. Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,\textsuperscript{6} designates November 28, 2016, as the date by which the Commission shall either approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the proposed rule change (File No. SR–BATS–2016–50) as modified by Amendment No. 1.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\textsuperscript{12}

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2016–25235 Filed 10–18–16; 8:45 am]
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\begin{center}
\textbf{DEPARTMENT OF STATE}
\end{center}

\textbf{Overseas Security Advisory Council (OSAC) Renewal}

The Department of State has renewed the Charter of the Overseas Security Advisory Council. This federal advisory committee will continue to interact on overseas security matters of mutual interest between the U.S. Government and the American private sector. The Council’s initiatives and security publications provide a unique contribution to protecting American private sector interests abroad. The Under Secretary for Management determined that renewal of the Charter is necessary and in the public interest.

The Council consists of representatives from three (3) U.S. Government agencies and thirty-one (31) American private sector companies and organizations. The Council follows the procedures prescribed by the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (Pub. L. 92–463). Meetings will be open to the public unless a determination is made in accordance with Section 10(d) of the FACA and 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(c)(4), that a meeting or a portion of the meeting should be closed to the public. Notice of each meeting

\textsuperscript{8} In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange: (1) Clarified the amounts of certain municipal securities that the Funds would hold; (2) represented that at least 90% of the Funds’ net assets that are invested in listed derivatives would be invested in instruments that trade in markets that are members or affiliates of members of the Intermarket Surveillance Group or are parties to a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement with the Exchange; (3) provided greater detail regarding the types of short-term instruments in which the Funds may invest; and (4) supplemented the information provided regarding the availability of price information for the Funds’ permitted investments.
\textsuperscript{10} Id.
\textsuperscript{11} Id.