merchandise, any suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR from these companies will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.\textsuperscript{17}

\textbf{Cash Deposit Requirements}

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 159.64 percent; and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

\textbf{Notifications to Importers}

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.420(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: October 14, 2016.

Paul Piquado, 
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

\textbf{DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE}

\textbf{National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration}

\textbf{Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey}

\textbf{AGENCY:} National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

\textbf{ACTION:} Notice.

\textbf{SUMMARY:} The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

\textbf{DATES:} Written comments must be submitted on or before December 20, 2016.

\textbf{ADDRESS:} Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at f Jessup@doc.gov).

\textbf{FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:} Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Sabrina Lovell, (301) 427–8153 or sabrina.lovell@noaa.gov.

\textbf{SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:}

\textbf{I. Abstract}

This request is for revision and extension of an existing data collection. The objective of the survey is to collect information on both trip expenditures and annual durable goods expenditures made by marine recreational anglers. The survey will be conducted in two parts. One part will ask anglers about the expenses incurred on their most recent marine recreational fishing trip. The other part of the survey will ask anglers about their purchases of durable goods such as fishing gear, boats, vehicles, and second homes. As specified in the Magnuson-Stevenson Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1996 (and reauthorized in 2007), NMFS is required to enumerate the economic impacts of the policies it implements on fishing participants and coastal communities. The expenditure data collected in this survey will be used to estimate the economic contributions and impacts of marine recreational fishing to each coastal state and nationwide. Slight revisions will be made to the existing trip expenditure questions to clarify certain types of expenditures, and two questions on the trip expenditure instrument will be dropped.

\textbf{II. Method of Collection}

The survey will be conducted using a combination of four modes: Phone, in-person interviews, mail, and electronic (Internet and/or mobile app).

\textbf{III. Data}

\textbf{OMB Control Number:} 0648–0693.

\textbf{Form Number(s):} None.

\textbf{Type of Review:} Regular submission (revision and extension of a currently approved information collection).

\textbf{Affected Public:} Individual anglers or households.

\textbf{Estimated Number of Respondents:} 100,200: 14,200 for durable goods and 86,000 for trip expenditure surveys.

\textbf{Estimated Time per Response:} Durable goods survey, 15 minutes; trip expenditures survey, 5–8 minutes.

\textbf{Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:} 3,816.

\textbf{Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:} $0 in recordkeeping/reporting costs.

\textbf{IV. Request for Comments}

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 17, 2016.

Sarah Brabson, 
NOAA PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–25454 Filed 10–20–16; 8:45 am]

\textbf{BILLING CODE 3510–22–P}

\textsuperscript{17}For a full discussion of this practice, see Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).