3. Plenary Meeting (2 p.m.–4 p.m.)
   a. Presentation of WG–95 SG progress report to WG–95
   b. Q&A sessions

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. All visitors to KGN Aerospace Luton must complete an ITAR visitor’s form. A scanned copy of the signed form must be returned to Tom Richards prior to your visit. Also, all visitors from outside the UK must bring their passport as a suitable photo ID. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Plenary information will be provided upon request. Persons who wish to present statements or obtain information should contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on February 8, 2016.

Latasha Robinson,
Management & Program Analyst, NextGen, Enterprise Support Services Division, Federal Aviation Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Cherokee and Forsyth Counties, Georgia.

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) United States Department of Transportation (USDOT).

ACTION: Rescind Notice of Intent To Prepare an EIS.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that the Notice of Intent (NOI) for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to study the proposed transportation project (State Route 20) located in Cherokee and Forsyth Counties, Georgia, is being rescinded.

The NOI was published in the Federal Register on April 11, 2013. A Draft EIS was not released. The rescission is based on federal aid funding has been eliminated from the on the SR 20 project. The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) will fund the project using state transportation funding.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Chethna P. Dixon, Environmental Coordinator, Federal Highway Administration Georgia Division, 61 Forsyth Street, Suite 17T100, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. Phone 404–562–3630 or Nicole Law, Project Manager, Georgia Department of Transportation, 600 West Peachtree Street, 25th Floor, Atlanta, Georgia 30308, Telephone: (404) 631–1723, Email: nlaw@dot.ga.gov.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA–2015–0347]

Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Vision

Correction

In notice document 2016–00472 beginning on page 1474 in the issue of Tuesday, January 12, 2016, make the following correction:

1. On page 1474, in the third column, in the DATES section, “[Insert date 30 days after date of publication in the Federal Register],” should read “February 11, 2016.”

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket Number FRA–2015–0126]

Petition for Waiver of Compliance

In accordance with part 211 of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this document provides the public notice that by a document dated February 27, 2015, Union Pacific Railroad (UP) has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) for a waiver of compliance from certain provisions of the Federal railroad safety regulations contained at 49 CFR part 232, Brake System Safety Standards for Freight and Other Non-Passenger Trains and Equipment; End-of-Train Devices. Specifically, UP requests a waiver of compliance from the requirements of 49 CFR 232.205, Class I brake test–initial terminal inspection, to permit a train to be split en route using the same initial terminal inspection. FRA assigned the petition Docket Number FRA–2015–0126.

In its petition, UP states that it is launching trains with multiple locomotive consists within the train so that the train can be split at an appropriate point en route, creating two separate trains bound for different destinations. A combined train of this type undergoes a Class 1 air brake test at departure pursuant to 49 CFR 232.205. At a location less than 1,000 miles from the departure point, the combined train is cut at the distributed power units. No new locomotive units are added to the resulting second train. The second train is equipped with an end-of-train device or equivalent device, linked to what is now the lead locomotive in the consist. No cars are added to the second train. The cars on the second train were all part of the original train and have not been off air for more than 4 hours. These cars undergo a Class 3 air brake test pursuant to 49 CFR 232.211, Class III brake test–trainline continuity inspection, before continuing to their destination.

A copy of the petition, as well as any written communications concerning the petition, is available for review online at www.regulations.gov and in person at the U.S. Department of Transportation’s (DOT) Docket Operations Facility, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., W12–140, Washington, DC 20590. The Docket Operations Facility is open from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number and may be submitted by any of the following methods:
• Web site: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
• Fax: 202–493–2251.
• Mail: Docket Operations Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200
New Jersey Avenue SE., W12–140, Washington, DC 20500.

- Hand Delivery: 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20500, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

Communications received by March 14, 2016 will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. Anyone is able to search the electronic form of any written communications and comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the document, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its processes. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL–14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at www.dot.gov/privacy. See also http://www.regulations.gov/#/privacyNotice for the privacy notice of regulations.gov.

Robert C. Lauby,
Associate Administrator for Railroad Safety, Chief Safety Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–02776 Filed 2–10–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
[Docket No. NHTSA–2014–0096; Notice 2]

Tesla Motors, Inc. (Tesla), Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Grant of petition.

SUMMARY: Tesla Motors, Inc. (Tesla) has determined that certain model year (MY) 2008 Tesla Roadster passenger cars do not fully comply with paragraph S4.4(c)(2), of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 138, Tire Pressure Monitoring Systems. Tesla filed a report dated August 1, 2014, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports. Tesla then petitioned NHTSA under 49 CFR part 556 requesting a decision that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

ADDRESSES: For further information on this decision contact Kerrin Bressant, Office of Vehicles Safety Compliance, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), telephone (202) 366–1110, facsimile (202) 366–3081.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Overview

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) and the rule implementing those provisions at 49 CFR part 556, Tesla submitted a petition for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

Notice of receipt of the petition was published, with a 30-day public comment period, on June 24, 2015, in the Federal Register (80 FR 36403). No comments were received. To view the petition and all supporting documents log onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) Web site at: http://www.regulations.gov/. Then follow the online search instructions to locate docket number “NHTSA–2014–0096.”

II. Vehicles Involved

Affected are approximately 542 MY 2008 Roadster model passenger cars manufactured from February 1, 2008 through October 29, 2009.

III. Noncompliance

Tesla explains that if a fault is detected in a sensor, because the sensor is faulty, missing or unapproved, the Tire Pressure Monitoring System (TPMS) malfunction telltale will flash for 60 to 90 seconds and then remain continuously illuminated as required by FMVSS No. 138. However, the TPMS malfunction telltale fails to operate properly when a faulty, missing or unapproved sensor is detected and then the vehicle’s ignition is cycled off and back on. In this situation, the malfunction telltale in the subject vehicles does not re-illuminate immediately as required when the vehicle’s ignition system is re-activated. Instead, the affected vehicles must reach a speed between 20 miles per hour (mph) and 25 mph for a maximum period of at least 90 seconds before the TPMS malfunction telltale re-illuminates.

IV. Rule Text

Paragraph S4.4(c)(2) of FMVSS No. 138 requires in pertinent part:

S4.4 TPMS Malfunction.

(a) Combination low tire pressure/TPMS malfunction telltale. The vehicle meets the requirements of S4.4(a) when equipped with a combined Low Tire Pressure/TPMS malfunction telltale that:

(2) Flashes for a period of at least 60 seconds but no longer than 90 seconds upon detection of any condition specified in S4.4(a) after the ignition locking system is activated to the “On” (“Run”) position. After each period of prescribed flashing, the telltale must remain continuously illuminated as long as a malfunction exists and the ignition locking system is in the “On” (“Run”) position. This flashing and illumination sequence must be repeated each time the ignition locking system is placed in the “On” (“Run”) position until the situation causing the malfunction has been corrected.

V. Summary of Tesla’s Analyses

Tesla stated its belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety for the following reasons:

(A) Tesla stated that although the TPMS malfunction indicator will not illuminate immediately after the vehicle is restarted, it generally will illuminate shortly thereafter and in any event it will illuminate in no more than 90 seconds after the vehicle accelerates between 20–25 mph. Tesla further explained that additional warnings via the “fault” display in the dashboard and the auxiliary display warnings will appear anew. Clearing this “new” warning in the auxiliary screen will require the driver to “actively” (take positive action) to clear the screen. Tesla believes these additional steps required to clear the auxiliary screen warning ensures driver attention to the issue.

(B) Tesla states that they provide warnings and alerts above and beyond what is required by regulations. Checks include wheel sensor fitment (compatibility) and tire pressures. If sensors are “new” (i.e., different from those verified at the previous ignition cycle), the sensors are “learned” and after calibrations performed, a check of all sensors is performed for any low pressure conditions. In addition, the subject vehicles are equipped with an “auxiliary” screen which displays a diagram of the vehicle with respective tire positions and status of those respective tires. Tesla explained that this type of detailed information and multiple alerts ensures the driver is well informed of a potential low tire pressure condition.

(C) Tesla said that the noncompliance is confined to one particular aspect of the functionality of the otherwise compliant TPMS malfunction indicator. All other aspects of the low-pressure monitoring system functionality are fully compliant with the requirements of FMVSS No. 138.

(D) Tesla stated that it is not aware of any customer complaints, field communications, incidents or injuries related to the failure of the TPMS noncompliance.

In summation, Tesla believes that the described noncompliance of the subject vehicles is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety, and that its petition, to