Application Requirements: Show organizers submitting applications for 2018 IBP Select are required to submit: (1) A narrative statement addressing each question in the application, OMB 0625–0143 (found at www.export.gov/ibp); and (2) a signed statement that “The above information provided is correct and the applicant will abide by the terms set forth in this Call for Applications for the International Buyer Program Select (January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018);” on or before the deadline noted above. Applications for IBP Select must be received by Friday, January 6, 2017. There is no fee required to apply. ITA expects to issue the results of this process in April 2017.

Legal Authority: The statutory program authority for ITA to conduct the IBP is 15 U.S.C. 4724. ITA has the legal authority to enter into MOAs with show organizers under the provisions of the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961 (MECEA), as amended (22 U.S.C. 2455(f) and 2458(c)). MECEA allows ITA to accept contributions of funds and services from firms for the purposes of furthering its mission.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements of the application to this program (0625–0143) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) (OMB Control No. 0625–0143). Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

For further information please contact: Vidya Desai, Senior Advisor, Trade Promotion Programs (IBP2018@trade.gov).

Frank Spector,
Trade Promotion Programs.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–533–840]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Avanti Frozen Foods Private Limited (Avanti Frozen) requested a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from India. The Department of Commerce (Department) is initiating this changed circumstances review and preliminarily determining that Avanti Frozen is the successor-in-interest to Avanti Feeds Limited (Avanti Feeds).

DATES: Effective October 31, 2016.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 1, 2005, the Department published in the Federal Register an antidumping duty order on shrimp from India.1 In the tenth administrative review of the Order, Avanti Feeds was assigned a cash deposit rate of 2.20 percent.2

On September 7, 2016, Avanti Frozen requested that, pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.216(b), the Department conduct a changed circumstances review of the Order to confirm that Avanti Frozen is the successor-in-interest to Avanti Feeds.3 In its submission, Avanti Frozen explained that Avanti Feeds undertook a business reorganization and transferred its shrimp business to its subsidiary company, Avanti Frozen.4

The domestic industry did not file any comment for these preliminary results.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain frozen warmwater shrimp.5 The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 0306.17.00.03, 0306.17.00.06, 0306.17.00.09, 0306.17.00.12, 0306.17.00.15, 0306.17.00.18, 0306.17.00.21, 0306.17.00.24, 0306.17.00.27, 0306.17.00.40, 1605.21.10.30, and 1605.29.10.10. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

Initiation and Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Act, the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review upon receipt of information concerning, or a request from, an interested party for a review of an antidumping duty order which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review of the order. As indicated in the “Background” section, we received information indicating that Avanti Feeds has transferred its shrimp business to Avanti Frozen. This constitutes changed circumstances warranting a review of the order.6 Therefore, in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(d) and (e), we are initiating a changed circumstances review based upon the information contained in Avanti Frozen’s submission.

Section 351.221(c)(3)(ii) of the Department’s regulations permits the Department to combine the notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review and the notice of preliminary results if the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted. In this instance, because the record contains information necessary to make a preliminary finding, we find that expedited action is warranted and have combined the notice of initiation and the notice of preliminary results.

In this changed circumstances review, pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act, the Department conducted a successor-in-interest analysis. In making a successor-in-interest determination, the Department examines several factors, including, but not limited to, changes in the following: (1) Management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier

For a complete description of the Scope of the Order, see 10th AR, and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at “Scope.”

See 19 CFR 351.216(d).

1 See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India, 70 FR 5147 (February 1, 2005) (Order).


4 Id. at 2.
relationships; and (4) customer base.7 While no single factor or combination of factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication of a successor-in-interest relationship, generally, the Department will consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if the new company’s resulting operation is not materially dissimilar to that of its predecessor.8 Thus, if the record evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the predecessor company, the Department may assign the new company the cash deposit rate of its predecessor.9

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216, we preliminarily determine that Avanti Frozen is the successor-in-interest to Avanti Feeds. Record evidence, as submitted by Avanti Frozen, indicates that Avanti Frozen operates as essentially the same business entity as Avanti Feeds with respect to the subject merchandise.10 For the complete successor-in-interest analysis, including discussion of business proprietary information, refer to the accompanying successor-in-interest memorandum.11

Record evidence, as submitted by Avanti Frozen, indicates that the shrimp business was transferred fully from Avanti Feeds to its subsidiary, Avanti Frozen. Specifically, Avanti Frozen provided a Business Transfer Agreement which transfers Avanti Feed’s entire shrimp business to Avanti Frozen; approvals from various governing entities approving/confirming the transfer of the business from Avanti Feeds to Avanti Frozen; letters notifying customers, suppliers, and employees of the business transfer; Avanti Frozen’s first annual report; charts demonstrating the board of directors and equity stockholders of both Avanti Feed and Avanti Frozen; and a list of suppliers, customers, and production and business locations before and after the transfer.12 In summary, Avanti Frozen presented evidence to support its claim of successorship and the transfer did not impact any of the criteria that the Department typically looks to when making a changed circumstances determination.

We find that the evidence provided by Avanti Frozen is sufficient to preliminarily determine that the transfer of shrimp operations from Avanti Feeds to its subsidiary Avanti Frozen did not affect the company’s operations in a meaningful way. Therefore, based on the aforementioned reasons, we preliminarily determine that Avanti Frozen is the successor-in-interest to Avanti Feeds and, thus, should receive the same antidumping duty treatment with respect to the subject merchandise as Avanti Feeds.

Public Comment
Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the case briefs, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d). Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. All comments are to be filed electronically using Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building, and must also be served on interested parties.29 An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the day it is due.30

Consistent with 19 CFR 351.216(e), we will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated, or within 45 days if all parties agree to our preliminary finding. This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(b), 351.221(b) and 351.221(c)(3).

Dated: October 24, 2016.
Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–848]
Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective October 31, 2016.

SUMMARY: Based on a request, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a new shipper review (NSR) of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) with respect to Jingzhou Tianhe Aquatic Products Co., Ltd. (Jingzhou Tianhe). We have determined that this request meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background
The antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC published in the Federal Register on September 15, 1997.1 Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department received a timely and properly filed request for a NSR of the order from Jingzhou Tianhe during the anniversary month of the antidumping duty order.2 In its request, Jingzhou

See Notice of Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People’s Republic of China, 62 FR 48218 (September 15, 1997) (Crawfish Order).