Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer or exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jindal Poly Films Limited (^6) ...</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRF Limited ..........</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ester Industries Limited ..........</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garware Polyester Ltd .............</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyprex Corporation Limited ..............</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacmet .........................</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of PET Film from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the company under review will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is de minimis, i.e., less than 0.5 percent, then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period for that company; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any other completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be all others for this proceeding, 5.71 percent. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notifications to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 8, 2016.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

I. Summary
II. Background
Scope of the Order
III. Discussion of the Issues
Comment 1: Whether To Exclude Certain Sales From the Margin Calculation
Comment 2: Whether To Grant a Quantity Discount Adjustment to Jindal
Comment 3: G&A Expense and Interest Expense Ratio
Comment 4: Differential Pricing

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–001]

Potassium Permanganate From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the 2014 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by Pacific Accelerator Limited (“PAL”), the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty (“AD”) order on potassium permanganate from the People’s Republic of China (the “PRC”) for the period of review (“POR”) January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014.1 The Department preliminarily determines that PAL sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value (“NV”) during the POR. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective February 16, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Walker or Javier Barrientos, AD/CVD


\(^6\) The Initiation Notice lists the company as Jindal Poly Films Limited of India.

\(^7\) See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

\(^8\) See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 2, 2015, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping order on potassium permanganate from the PRC.3 Between April and September 2015, the Department sent AD questionnaires and supplemental questionnaires to PAL, to which it responded in a timely manner. On October 5, 2015, the Department partially extended the deadline for issuing the preliminary results until November 2, 2015.3 On October 27, 2015, the Department fully extended the deadline for issuing the preliminary results until February 1, 2016.4 As explained in the memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the preliminary results of this administrative review is now February 5, 2016.5

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of potassium permanganate, an inorganic chemical produced in free-flowing, technical, and pharmaceutical grades. Potassium permanganate is currently classifiable under item 2841.61.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise remains dispositive.

Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”). Export prices have been calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a non-market economy (“NME”) within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, NV has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement aCnd Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

PRC-Wide Entity

The Department’s change in policy regarding conditional review of the PRC-wide entity applies to this administrative review. Under this policy, the PRC-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or the Department self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity in this review, the entity is not under review and the entity’s rate (i.e., 128.94 percent) is not subject to change.

Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin (USD/kilogram)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Accelerator Limited ………….</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure, Public Comment and Opportunity To Request a Hearing

The Department will disclose the calculations used in our analysis to parties in this review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review in the Federal Register.7 Rebuttal to case briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.8 Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (a) a statement of the issue, (b) a brief summary of the argument, and (c) a table of authorities.9 Parties submitting briefs should do so pursuant to the Department’s electronic filing system, ACCESS.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a date and time to be determined. See 19 CFR 351.310(d). Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of all issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the Federal Register, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP

---


7 See 19 CFR 351.305(c)(3)(ii).

8 See 19 CFR 351.305(d)(1)–(2).

9 See 19 CFR 351.305(c)(2), (d)(2).
shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review. For any individually examined respondent whose weighted average dumping margin is above de minimis (i.e., 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, the Department will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer- (or customer-) specific ad valorem rate is greater than de minimis, the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation. Where either a respondent’s weighted average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer- (or customer-) specific ad valorem is zero or de minimis, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These preliminary results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 8, 2016.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Case History
3. Scope of the Order
4. Discussion of the Methodology
   a. Non-Market Economy Country Status
   b. Separate Rates
   c. Surrogate Country
   d. PRC-Wide Entity
5. Determination of Comparison Method
6. Results of Differential Pricing Analysis
7. Comparisons to Normal Value
8. Date of Sale
9. Export Price
10. Value Added Tax
11. Normal Value
12. Factor Valuations
13. Currency Conversion
14. Recommendation
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 6, 2015, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from India. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013. Based on an analysis of the comments received, the Department has made changes to the subsidy rate determined for Jindal Poly Films Limited (Jindal). The final subsidy rates are listed in the “Final Results of Administrative Review” section below.

DATES: Effective date: February 16, 2016.


Scope of the Order

The purposes of the order, the products covered are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET film are classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

The issues raised by Petitioners and Jindal in their case briefs, and Petitioners’ rebuttal brief, are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The issues are

2 As explained in the memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement & Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the final results of this review is now February 8, 2016. See Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting A/S for Enforcement & Compliance, regarding “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines as a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm Jonas,” dated January 27, 2016.
3 DuPont Teijin Films, Inc., Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc. and SKC, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioners”).

See Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: 2013,” dated concurrently with this notice and herein

Continued