(k) Requirements for waiver or reduction of fees. (1) Requesters may seek a waiver of fees by submitting a written application demonstrating how disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.

(2) The NMB will furnish records responsive to a request without charge or at a reduced rate when it determines, based on all available information, that the factors described in paragraphs (k)(2)(i) through (iii) of this section are satisfied:

(i) Disclosure of the requested information would shed light on the operations or activities of the government. The subject of the request must concern identifiable operations or activities of the Federal Government with a connection that is direct and clear, not remote or attenuated.

(ii) Disclosure of the requested information is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of those operations or activities. This factor is satisfied when the following criteria are met:

(A) Disclosure of the requested records must be meaningfully informative about government operations or activities. The disclosure of information that already is in the public domain, in either the same or a substantially identical form, would not be meaningfully informative if nothing new would be added to the public's understanding.

(B) The disclosure must contribute to the understanding of a reasonably broad audience of persons interested in the subject, as opposed to the individual understanding of the requester. A requester's expertise in the subject area as well as the requester's ability and intention to effectively convey information to the public must be considered. Agencies will presume that a representative of the news media will satisfy this consideration.

(iii) The disclosure must not be primarily in the commercial interest of the requester. To determine whether disclosure of the requested information is primarily in the commercial interest of the requester, agencies will consider the following criteria:

(A) The NMB will identify whether the requester has any commercial interest that would be furthered by the requested disclosure. A commercial interest includes any commercial, trade, or profit interest. Requesters must be given an opportunity to provide explanatory information regarding this consideration.

(B) If there is an identified commercial interest, the NMB must determine whether that is the primary interest furthered by the request. A waiver or reduction of fees is justified when the requirements of paragraphs (k)(2)(i) and (ii) of this section are satisfied and any commercial interest is not the primary interest furthered by the request. The NMB will presume that when a news media requester has satisfied the factors in paragraphs (k)(2)(i) and (ii) of this section, the request is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester. Disclosure to data brokers or others who merely compile and market government information for direct economic return will not be presumed to primarily serve the public interest.

(3) Where only some of the records to be released satisfy the requirements for a waiver of fees, a waiver will be granted for those records.

(4) Requests for a waiver or reduction of fees should be made when the request is first submitted and should address the criteria referenced above. A requester may submit a fee waiver request at a later time so long as the underlying record request is pending or on administrative appeal. When a requester who has committed to pay fees subsequently asks for a waiver of those fees and that waiver is denied, the requester must pay any costs incurred up to the date the fee waiver request was received.

§ 1208.7 Subpoenas and other requests for testimony and production of documents in legal proceedings where the NMB is not a party.

(a) In legal proceedings between private litigants, a subpoena or other demand for the production of records held by the Agency or for oral or written testimony of a current or former NMB employee should be addressed to the General Counsel, National Mediation Board, 1301 K Street NW., Suite 250E, Washington, DC 20005. No other official or employee of the NMB is authorized to accept service of a demand or subpoena on behalf of the Agency.

(b) No current or former employee may produce official records or information or provide testimony in response to a demand or subpoena unless authorized by the General Counsel.

(c) The General Counsel may grant an employee permission to testify or produce official records or information in response to a demand or subpoena. In making this determination, the General Counsel shall consider whether: (1) Release of the requested records or testimony is prohibited under § 1208.5;

(2) The disclosure is appropriate under the rules of procedure governing the case or matter;

(3) The requested testimony or records are privileged under the relevant substantive law concerning privilege;

(4) Disclosure would violate a statute or regulation;

(5) Disclosure would reveal trade secrets without the owner's consent; and

(6) Allowing testimony or production of records would be in the best interest of the NMB or the United States.

Dated: November 3, 2016.

Mary Johnson,

General Counsel, National Mediation Board. [FR Doc. 2016–26986 Filed 11–10–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7550–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 16-1229; MB Docket No. 16-362; RM-11776]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Mullin, Texas

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to amend the FM Table of Allotments, by substituting Channel 277A for vacant Channel 224A at Mullin, Texas, to accommodate the hybrid application requesting modification of the license for Station KNUZ(FM), San Saba, Texas to specify operation on Channel 224A rather than Channel 291A at San Saba, Texas. A staff engineering analysis indicates that Channel 277A can be allotted to Mullin consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of the Commission's rules with site restriction 3.1 km (1.9 miles) north of the city. The reference coordinates are 31-35-00 NL and 98-40-31 WL.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before December 19, 2016, and reply comments on or before January 3, 2017. ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the rule making petitioner and the counter proponent as follows: John C. Trent, Esq., Putbrese, Hunsaker & Trust, 200 S. Church Street, Woodstock, VA 22664. 79408

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Adrienne Y. Denvsvk, Media Bureau, (202) 418–2700.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket No. 16-362, adopted October 27, 2016. The FM Table of Allotment does not contain vacant Channel 224A at Mullins, Texas because the channel was removed from the FM Table because it was auctioned in Auction 93, and considered an authorized station. See 79 FR 64125, published October 28, 2014. Channel 224A at Mullins, Texas is no longer considered an authorized station but instead a vacant allotment because the construction permit for Station DKFON was cancelled on January 8, 2016. See File No. BNPH-20120523ABP. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center at Portals II, CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The full text is also available online at http:// apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/. This document does not contain proposed information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any proposed information collection burden "for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees," pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107-198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all ex parte contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission. Nazifa Sawez,

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336 and 339

§ 73.202 [Amended]

*

■ 2. In § 73.202(b) amend the table under Texas by adding Mullin, Channel 277A to read as follows:

§73.202 Table of Allotments.

*

* (b) Table of FM Allotments.

		Texa	S		
Mul	* Ilin	*	*	*	* 277A
	*	*	*	*	*
*	*	*	* >	k	
[FR	Doc. 20	16-272	21 Filed 1	1–10–16; 8:4	5 am]
BILL	ING CO	DE 6712-	-01-P		

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Parts 28 and 29

[Docket No. FWS-HQ-NWRS-2012-0086; FXRS1261090000-167-FF09R24000]

RIN 1018-AX36

Management of Non-Federal Oil and Gas Rights

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; availability of record of decision.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), make available the final record of decision (ROD) on revising regulations governing non-Federal oil and gas activities on National Wildlife Refuge System lands in order to improve our ability to protect refuge resources, visitors, and the general public's health and safety from potential impacts associated with non-Federal oil and gas operations located within refuges. The Service has selected Alternative B, implementation of the final rule, Management of Non-Federal Oil and Gas Rights, which revises current Service regulations, as its final decision. This decision is described and analyzed in the final environmental impact statement and summarized in the ROD.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the ROD are available for public review at *http://*

www.fws.gov/refuges/oil-and-gas/ rulemaking.html and at http:// www.regulations.gov under Docket No. FWS-HQ-NWRS-2012-0086.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott Covington, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Natural Resources and Planning, MS: NWRS, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, Virginia 22041; telephone 703-358-2427. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 800-877-8339. Further contact information can be found on the Refuge's Energy Program Web site at *http://www.fws.gov/refuges/* oil-and-gas/rulemaking.html.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

With this document, we announce the availability of the record of decision (ROD) for the final environmental impact statement (EIS) analyzing revisions to the Service's regulations governing non-Federal oil and gas development on lands of the National Wildlife Refuge System (NWRS). Non-Federal oil and gas development refers to oil and gas activities associated with any private, State, or tribally owned mineral interest where the surface estate is administered by the Service as part of the Refuge System.

On February 24, 2014, we issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (79 FR 10080) to assist us in developing a proposed rule and announced our intent to prepare an EIS; the comment period for this document closed April 25, 2014. In response to requests we received, on June 9, 2014, we reopened the comment period until July 9, 2014 (79 FR 32903). During the two comment periods, we received almost 80,000 responses, mostly form letters, of which greater than 99 percent were in support of revising the existing regulations. We reviewed and considered substantive comments as we drafted the proposed rule. On December 11, 2015, we published a proposed rule and draft EIS (80 FR 77200). In response to the proposed rule and draft EIS, we received almost 40,000 responses, mostly form letters. All comments we received were carefully considered and, where appropriate, incorporated into the final rule and EIS. On August 22, 2016, we announced the availability of a final EIS, which evaluated the impacts of three alternatives (81 FR 56575):

The FEIS evaluates the impacts of the following three alternatives:

Alternative A, the no-action alternative, retains the current level of regulation and oversight of oil and gas activities by the Service.