DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Foreign-Trade Zones Board
[S–159–2016]
Foreign-Trade Zone 61—San Juan, Puerto Rico; Application for Subzone; Aceros de América, Inc.; San Juan, Puerto Rico

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Puerto Rico Trade & Export Company, grantee of FTZ 61, requesting subzone status for the facility of Aceros de América, Inc., located in San Juan, Puerto Rico. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on November 10, 2016.

The proposed subzone (4.49 acres) is located at State Road #1, km 25.0, Quebrada Arenas Ward, San Juan. The proposed subzone would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 61. No authorization for production activity has been requested at this time.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is December 27, 2016. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to January 10, 2017.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Camille Evans at Camille.Evans@trade.gov or (202) 482–2350.

Dated: November 10, 2016.

Elizabeth Whiteman,
Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2016–27574 Filed 11–15–16; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Foreign-Trade Zones Board
[S–117–2016]
Approval of Subzone Status; ASICS America Corporation; Byhalia, Mississippi

On August 16, 2016, the Executive Secretary of the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board docketed an application submitted by the Northern Mississippi FTZ, Inc., grantee of FTZ 262, requesting subzone status subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 262, on behalf of ASICS America Corporation in Byhalia, Mississippi.

The application was processed in accordance with the FTZ Act and Regulations, including notice in the Federal Register inviting public comment (81 FR 56582, August 22, 2016). The FTZ staff examiner reviewed the application and determined that it meets the criteria for approval.

Pursuant to the authority delegated to the FTZ Board’s Executive Secretary (15 CFR Sec. 400.36(f)), the application to establish Subzone 262C is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.13, and further subject to FTZ 262’s 680-acre activation limit.

Dated: November 8, 2016.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2016–27570 Filed 11–15–16; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–588–850]

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: On July 12, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe (over 4½ inches) from Japan. The period of review (POR) is June 1, 2014, through May 31, 2015. The review covers five producers or exporters of
subject merchandise. We invited parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. None were received. Accordingly, for the final results, we continue to find that that NKK Tubes (NKK) had no shipments during the POR. Further, we continue to find that subject merchandise has been sold in the United States at less than normal value by JFE Steel Corporation (JFE), Nippon Steel & Sumitomo Metal Corporation (NSSMC), Nippon Steel Corporation (NSC), and Sumitomo Metal Industries, Ltd. (SMI).

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the order is certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe (over 4 1/2 inches) from Japan, which is currently classified under subheading 7304.10.10, 7304.10.45, 7304.10.50, 7304.10.60, 7304.19.10, 7304.19.45, 7304.19.50, 7304.19.60, 7304.31.60, 7304.31.60.10, 7304.31.60.50, 7304.31.60.10, 7304.39.00.04, 7304.39.00.08, 7304.39.00.00.36, 7304.39.00.40, 7304.39.00.44, 7304.39.00.48, 7304.39.00.52, 7304.39.00.56, 7304.39.00.62, 7304.39.00.68, 7304.39.00.72, 7304.51.50, 7304.51.50.15, 7304.51.50.45, 7304.51.50.60, 7304.59.20, 7304.59.20.55, 7304.59.20.70, 7304.59.20.60, 7304.59.20.70, 7304.59.20.80, 7304.59.20.45, 7304.59.20.50, 7304.59.20.55, 7304.59.20.60, 7304.59.20.65, and 7304.59.20.70 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.2

**Final Determination of No Shipments**

As noted in the Preliminary Results, the Department received a claim of no shipments from NKK. In the Preliminary Results, the Department preliminarily found that NKK did not have reviewable entries during the POR. Additionally, the Department stated in the Preliminary Results that it was not appropriate to rescind the review with respect to NKK at that time, but rather complete the review with respect to NKK and issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) based on the final results.

After issuing the Preliminary Results, the Department received no comments from interested parties, and has not received any information that would cause it to alter its preliminary determination. Therefore, for these final results, the Department continues to find that NKK did not have any reviewable entries during the POR.

**Final Results of Review**

Because the Department received no comments after the Preliminary Results for consideration for these final results, we have made no changes to the Preliminary Results. As a result of this review, we determine that dumping margins on certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe (over 4 1/2 inches) from Japan exist for the period June 1, 2014, through May 31, 2015, at the following rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer and/or exporter</th>
<th>Margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JFE Steel Corporation</td>
<td>107.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nippon Steel &amp; Sumitomo Metal Corporation</td>
<td>107.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nippon Steel Corporation</td>
<td>107.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumitomo Metals Industries</td>
<td>107.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment**

The Department has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.3 The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. We will instruct CBP to apply an ad valorem assessment rate of 107.80 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by NSSMC, and an ad valorem assessment rate of 107.80 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by NSSMC, and an ad valorem assessment rate of 107.80 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by the companies that were not selected for individual examination: JFE, NSC, and SMI.4 Additionally, because the Department determined that NKK had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR, any suspended entries that entered under NKK’s AD case number (i.e., at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the all-others rate effective during the period of review if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.5

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe (over 4 1/2 inches) from Japan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer has its own rate, the cash deposit rate will continue to be

---

1 See Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe (Over 4 1/2 Inches) from Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2014–2015, 81 FR 45126 (July 12, 2016) (Preliminary Results).
2 For a full description of the scope of the order, see the “Preliminary Decision Memorandum for the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe (Over 4 1/2 Inches) from Japan: 2014–2015 Administrative Review” from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated July 5, 2016, which can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).
3 See 19 CFR 351.212(b).
4 See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at section VI.b. “Rate for Non-Examined Companies” (for an explanation of how we preliminarily determined the rate for non-selected companies).
68.88 percent, the all-others rate established in the order. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Administrative Protective Orders**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**[Application No. 03–2A008]**

**Export Trade Certificate of Review**

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance of an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to California Pistachio Export Council (“CPEC”), Application No. 03–2A008.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to CPEC on November 1, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Joseph E. Flynn, Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis (“OTEA”), International Trade Administration, by telephone at (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at etea@trade.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. Sections 4001–21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325 (2016). OTEA is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Secretary of Commerce to publish a summary of the certification in the Federal Register. Under Section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary’s determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

**Description of Amended Certificate**

CPEC’s Export Trade Certificate of Review has been amended to:


Dated: November 9, 2016.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016–27519 Filed 11–15–16; 8:45 am]
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**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for December 2016

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in December 2016 and will appear in that month’s Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Reviews (“Sunset Reviews”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</th>
<th>Department contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>