

rights, be included as bases for recognition and enforcement in Article 5(1)(k)?

19. Should judgments on the infringements of plant breeders' rights be included in Article 5(1)(k)?

20. Should judgments on the infringements of service marks, trade dress, and geographical indications rights be expressly included in Article 5(1)(k)?

21. Should judgments on the validity or infringement of unregistered designs and trademarks be included in Article 5(1)(l)?

22. Should judgments on the validity or the misappropriation and/or theft of trade secrets be included in Article 5(1)(l)?

23. Should the bracketed language in Article 5(1)(l) be included?

24. Should judgments on the validity, ownership, subsistence or infringement of copyright or related rights be included in Article 5(1)(l) in cases where the right arose under the law of the State of origin?

25. Should such judgments be included in Article 5(1)(l) where the right did not arise under the law of the State of origin but where another basis for jurisdiction set forth in Article 5 is satisfied?

#### Exclusive Jurisdiction

26. With respect to a judgment on the registration or validity of patents, trademarks, designs, or other similar rights that are required to be deposited, registered, or issued, the Preliminary Draft provides for exclusive jurisdiction of the court in the State of origin where the right issued or registration took place, or is deemed to have taken place under an international or regional instrument (Article 6). Please comment on the appropriateness of this rule.

27. Should a judgment on the registration or validity of mask works or vessel designs that are required to be deposited, registered, or issued be included in Article 6?

#### Preliminary Matters

28. What are your experiences in having U.S. rulings on preliminary questions, or judgments based on such rulings, involving the registration or validity of patents, trademarks, and designs, or other similar rights, by courts other than those with exclusive jurisdiction recognized and enforced by a foreign court?

29. Should a judgment on the registration or validity of mask works or vessel designs that are required to be deposited, registered, or issued be included in Article 8?

30. Does Article 8 provide an appropriate framework for resolving problems, if any, related to recognition and enforcement of rulings on preliminary questions and judgments based on such rulings?

31. How much discretion should a court in the requested State have to refuse or postpone the recognition or enforcement of a ruling on the validity of a patent, trademark, design, and other similar rights raised as preliminary matter in a court in the State of origin?

#### Remedies

32. Article 9 provides that recognition or enforcement of a judgment may be refused if, and to the extent that, the judgment awards damages, including exemplary or punitive damages, that do not compensate a party for actual loss or harm suffered. Should the court in a requested State be allowed to recognize and enforce non-compensatory damages in judgments involving intellectual property matters?

33. Does Article 9 include the types of damages that would provide effective relief for intellectual property right owners? If not, what other types of damages or other remedies ought to be included? Why?

34. How should statutory damages for copyright infringement be treated under this Article, and should Article 9 be amended to address statutory damages expressly?

35. When a judgment for infringement of an intellectual property covered by the convention includes injunctive relief, should a court in the requested State be required to recognize and enforce the award of injunctive relief?

36. If so, should there be any limitation on the circumstances under which such awards should be recognized and enforced (for example, by specifying the limitation in Article 5)? If not, should a judgment for infringement of an intellectual property right covered by the convention that includes injunctive relief be excluded as a basis for recognition and enforcement, or whole or in part, under Article 5?

Event Registration Information: To register to attend or to request to present as a speaker, please send an email message to [judgmentsproject@uspto.gov](mailto:judgmentsproject@uspto.gov) and provide the following information: (1) Your name, title, company or organization (if applicable), address, phone number, and email address; (2) whether you wish to attend in person or via webcast; and (3) whether you wish to make an oral presentation at the meeting and, if so, which question(s) identified in the supplementary information section of this notice will be addressed and the approximate desired length of your presentation.

Each attendee, even if from the same organization, must register separately. In order to give all speakers a meaningful opportunity to speak, the USPTO may not be able to accommodate all persons who wish to make a presentation. However, the USPTO will attempt to accommodate as many persons as possible who wish to make a presentation. After reviewing the speaker requests and the information regarding the presentations provided in the requests, the USPTO will contact each speaker prior to the event with the amount of time available and the approximate time that the speaker's presentation is scheduled to begin. The amount of time available for each speaker presentation and selected speakers without a formal presentation may be limited to ensure that all persons selected to speak will have a meaningful opportunity to do so. Speakers who opt to employ slides as part of their presentation must send final electronic copies of the slides in Microsoft PowerPoint® to [judgmentsproject@uspto.gov](mailto:judgmentsproject@uspto.gov) by January 5, 2017, so that the slides can be displayed at the meeting. Additionally, and only if time allows, the USPTO will provide an opportunity for persons in the audience, who did not register as speakers or were not selected as speakers, to speak at the meeting without a formal presentation. For more information on the meeting, including webcast access instructions, agenda, and a list of speakers, please visit USPTO's Web site at [www.uspto.gov/learning-and-resources/ip-policy/hague-conference-private-international-law](http://www.uspto.gov/learning-and-resources/ip-policy/hague-conference-private-international-law). If special accommodations due to a disability are needed, please inform the contact person(s) identified under the heading FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Dated: November 14, 2016.

**Michelle K. Lee,**

*Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.*

[FR Doc. 2016-27799 Filed 11-17-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

---

#### COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

#### Procurement List; Proposed Deletions

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Proposed Deletions from the Procurement List.

---

**SUMMARY:** The Committee is proposing to delete products previously furnished by a nonprofit agency employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

**DATES:** *Comments must be received on or before:* December 18, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, 1401 S. Clark Street, Suite 715, Arlington, Virginia 22202-4149.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Barry S. Lineback, Telephone: (703) 603-7740, Fax: (703) 603-0655, or email [CMTEFedReg@AbilityOne.gov](mailto:CMTEFedReg@AbilityOne.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 8503 (a)(2) and 41 CFR 51-2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the proposed actions.

#### Deletions

The following products are proposed for deletion from the Procurement List:

##### Products

NSN(s)—Product Name(s)—

7510-01-545-3778—DAYMAX System, 2015, Calendar Pad, Type II

7510-01-545-3782—DAYMAX System, 2015, Calendar Pad, Type I

Mandatory Source(s) of Supply: Anthony Wayne Rehabilitation Center for Handicapped and Blind, Inc., Fort Wayne, IN

Contracting Activity: General Services Administration, New York, NY

**Barry S. Lineback,**

*Director, Business Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2016-27841 Filed 11-17-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6353-01-P**

#### COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

##### Procurement List; Additions

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Additions to the Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** This action adds products to the Procurement List that will be furnished by a nonprofit agency employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* 12/18/2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, 1401 S. Clark Street, Suite 715, Arlington Virginia, 22202-4149.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Barry S. Lineback, Telephone: (703)

603-7740, Fax: (703) 603-0655, or email [CMTEFedReg@AbilityOne.gov](mailto:CMTEFedReg@AbilityOne.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### ADDITIONS

On 9/23/2016 (81 FR 65629-65630), the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notice of proposed additions to the Procurement List.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the products and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the products listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 8501-8506 and 41 CFR 51-2.4.

##### Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organization that will furnish the products to the Government.
2. The action will result in authorizing a small entity to furnish the products to the Government.
3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 8501-8506) in connection with the products proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

##### End of Certification

Accordingly, the following products are added to the Procurement List:

##### Products

Product Name(s)

NSN: 7520-00-SAM-0208—File Folder, Expanding, 12 Tab, Flap and Cord Closure, Polypropylene, Smoke Gray

NSN: 7520-00-SAM-0209—File Folder, Expanding, 12 Tab, Flap and Cord Closure, Polypropylene, Blue

NSN: 7520-00-SAM-0210—File Folder, Expanding, 12 Tab, Flap and Cord Closure, Polypropylene, Purple

NSN: 7520-00-SAM-0212—File Storage Box, Expanding, Flap and Cord Closure, Polypropylene, Black

NSN: 7520-00-SAM-0216—File Storage Box, Expanding, 19 Tab, Alpha/Subject, Latch Closure, Pressboard and Kraft Paper, Black

NSN: 7520-00-SAM-0218—File Folder, Expanding, 7 Tab with Pockets, Flap and Cord Closure, Polypropylene, Black

*Mandatory for:* Total Government Requirement

*Mandatory Source(s) of Supply:* Exceptional

Children's Foundation, Culver City, CA  
*Contracting Activity:* General Services Administration, New York, NY  
*Distribution:* A-List

**Barry S. Lineback,**

*Director, Business Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2016-27820 Filed 11-17-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6353-01-P**

#### BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION

##### Fair Credit Reporting Act Disclosures

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

**ACTION:** Notice regarding charges for certain disclosures under the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) announces that the ceiling on allowable charges under section 612(f) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) will remain unchanged at \$12.00, effective for 2017. The Bureau is required to increase the \$8.00 amount referred to in section 612(f)(1)(A)(i) of the FCRA on January 1 of each year, based proportionally on changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U), with fractional changes rounded to the nearest fifty cents. The CPI-U increased 49.77 percent between September 1997, when the FCRA amendments took effect, and September 2016. This increase in the CPI-U, and the requirement that any increase be rounded to the nearest fifty cents, result in a maximum allowable charge of \$12.00.

**DATES:** Effective January 1, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jaclyn Maier, Counsel, Office of Regulations, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 1700 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20552, at (202) 435-7700.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 612(f)(1)(A) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) provides that a consumer reporting agency may charge a consumer a reasonable amount for making a disclosure to the consumer pursuant to section 609 of the FCRA. Section 612(f)(1)(A)(i) of the FCRA provides that, where a consumer reporting agency is permitted to impose a reasonable charge on a consumer for making a disclosure to the consumer pursuant to section 609 of the FCRA, the charge shall not exceed \$8.00 and shall be indicated to the consumer before making the disclosure. Section 612(f)(2) of the FCRA states that the Bureau shall increase the \$8.00 maximum amount on