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Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Depository Trust Company; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Modify the DTC Settlement Service Guide With Respect to Settlement Instructions Provided to DTC by Matching Utilities

November 14, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, 2 notice is hereby given that on November 3, 2016, The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which items have been prepared by the clearing agency. DTC filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) 3 of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(4) 4 thereunder. The proposed rule change was effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change by DTC would make technical and clarifying changes to text in the DTC Settlement Service Guide (“Guide”) 5 with respect to settlement instructions provided to DTC by Matching Utilities (as defined below) on behalf of Participants. 6

II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

DTC may accept eligible affirmed institutional transactions (“Institutional Transactions”) 7 from an entity providing a matching service 8 (“Matching Utility”) that is (i) a clearing agency registered pursuant to Section 17A of the Act, (ii) an entity that has obtained an exemption from such registration from the Commission, or (iii) a “qualified vendor” for trade confirmation/affirmation services as defined by the rules of a self-regulatory organization. 9 In addition, a Matching Utility must establish a connection to DTC in accordance with DTC’s reasonable requirements in order to be able to submit Affirmed Transactions.

Currently, Omgeo Global Joint Venture Matching Services—US, LLC (hereinafter “Omgeo”) 10 is the only Matching Utility that has established a connection with DTC. This is reflected in the text of the Guide which contains specific references to Omgeo with respect to DTC functions that are accessible to any Matching Utility that satisfies the connection requirements.

The Commission recently approved two applications by two separate entities, for exemption from registration as a Clearing Agency to provide post-trade matching services for fixed income and equity trades ("Approved Exemptions"). 11 According to the Commission’s notice of the Approved Exemptions, these entities each indicated an intention to offer matching services that connect to DTC for settlement. 12

DTC proposes to revise the Guide to generalize references to Matching Utilities and make other changes, as set forth below.

First, DTC would replace specific references to Omgeo in sections describing procedures for the ID Net Service (“ID Net”) and Shareholder Tracking Service to refer to a “Matching Utility” and delete provisions referencing to Omgeo by name. 13

Second, text in the ID Net section of the Guide regarding DTC’s acceptance of affirmed institutional transactions from Matching Utilities would be moved to a new section describing Affirmed Transactions more generally. The proposed new section would incorporate the definition of Affirmed Transactions, and expressly state DTC’s current requirement that in order for a Matching Utility to establish and maintain a connection with DTC the Matching Utility must be able to balance with DTC in an automated way 14 and

7 An Institutional Transaction is a securities transaction between a broker-dealer and its institutional customer (e.g., sell-side firms, buy-side institutions, and custodians).
8 A matching service is an electronic service by which an intermediary matches [i.e., reconciles] trade information from the counterparties to an Institutional Transaction, to generate an affirmed transaction (“Affirmed Transaction”) which is then used to provide settlement instructions for the Affirmed Transactions to the central securities depository, such as DTC, at which the Affirmed Transaction settles.
12 Id.
13 In this regard, the term Matching Utility would be defined in the Guide reflecting the definition provided above in this Form 19b–4. The Commission notes that Form 19b–4 is attached to the filing, not to this Notice. The definition of Affirming Agency which appears in the ID Net section of the Guide and is the functional equivalent of the definition of Matching Utility as it relates to ID Net would be removed. Consistent with this change, references in the Guide to the term Affirming Agency would be replaced to use the term Matching Utility.
14 For each Matching Utility interfacing with DTC, DTC would require the Matching Utility to deliver a daily message on each business day shortly after noon from the Matching Utility with their accepted item counts of institutional delivery and ID Net (defined below) transaction totals for Settlement Date minus one transactions. DTC’s system would compare the totals from the Matching Utility to its accepted item counts. If the totals match, an “acknowledged balance” balance file would be sent to the Matching Utility. If the totals do not match, DTC would respond with the list of Settlement Date minus one control numbers received from the Matching Utility, along with their respective transaction types for the originating Matching Utility to compare.
communicate transactions to and from DTC with the necessary mandated fields, i.e., transaction control number, DTC receiver and deliverer account number, CUSIP, message type, share quantity, market type, buy-sell indicator, broker ID, ID agent internal account number, broker internal account number, agent bank ID, settlement amount, origination entity, recipient of message, institution, and settlement date.15

Third, the Guide would clarify that (i) a Participant that is a counterparty to an Affirmed Transaction, as submitted to DTC by a Matching Utility, is deemed to have authorized the Matching Utility to provide an instruction to DTC, on the Participant’s behalf, to process the Affirmed Transaction in accordance with DTC’s Rules and Procedures16 and (ii) the submission of an Affirmed Transaction to DTC by the Matching Utility, on behalf of the Participant, constitutes the duly authorized instruction of the Participant to DTC to process the Affirmed Transaction in accordance with the Rules and Procedures.17

Fourth, the Guide would state that a Matching Utility that elects to enter into an arrangement to interoperate with another Matching Utility (“Interoperability Arrangement”) maintains the sole responsibility to ensure that its customers, including but not limited to DTC Participants that are customers of the Matching Utility, are operationally prepared to process Affirmed Transactions relating to the Interoperability Arrangement prior to the submission of such Affirmed Transactions to DTC.

Finally, the proposed rule change would make technical and clarifying changes to the Guide to:

(1) Clarify and streamline the text to improve readability;

(2) Add background information regarding the Affirmed Transactions accepted by DTC;

(3) Correct spelling, grammatical and typographical errors throughout and update tenses from future to present with respect to functions of ID Net and the Shareholder Tracking Service; and

(4) Add a title page to the Guide.

Implementation Date

The proposed rule change would become effective as of November 3, 2016.

2. Statutory Basis

Section 17A(b)(3)(F)18 of the Act requires that the rules of the clearing agency be designed, inter alia, to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions. DTC believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with this provision because it would (i) clarify the text of the Guide with respect to fair access to DTC for those Matching Utilities that satisfy DTC’s reasonable requirements so that DTC may accept Affirmed Transactions from them, (ii) clarify the responsibilities of Matching Utilities that intend to interoperate and submit related Affirmed Transactions to DTC, and (iii) clarify the terms pursuant to which a Participant’s duly authorized instructions to process Affirmed Transactions are provided to DTC by a Matching Utility. Thus, by facilitating transparency in the Guide with respect to DTC’s requirements for acceptance of Affirmed Transactions from Matching Utilities and clarifying the responsibilities of interoperating Matching Utilities in this regard, as well as by clarifying the terms pursuant to which a Participant’s duly authorized instructions to process Affirmed Transactions are provided to DTC by a Matching Utility, the proposed rule change would promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

(B) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Burden on Competition

DTC does not believe that the proposed rule change would have any impact or impose any burden on competition because it would merely update the Guide to make technical and clarifying changes and updates with respect to DTC’s acceptance of Affirmed Transactions from Matching Utilities.

(C) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

DTC has not solicited and does not intend to solicit comments regarding the proposed rule change. DTC has not received any unsolicited written comments from interested parties. To the extent DTC receives written comments on the proposed rule change, DTC will forward such comments to the Commission. DTC has discussed the proposed rule change with Matching Utilities that have contacted DTC specifically with respect to establishing a connection with DTC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)19 of the Act and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b–420 thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an email to rule-comments@ sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–DTC–2016–012 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–DTC–2016–012. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/...
Proposed Rule Change Related to Fees
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November 14, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 the Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend the fee schedule applicable to Members3 and non-members of the Exchange pursuant to EDGA Rules 15.1(a) and (c).4

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s Web site at www.batstrading.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fee Schedule to: (i) Delete references to the ROOC routing strategy, which was previously, removed from the Exchange’s rulebook;5 and (ii) increase the fees associate for orders in securities priced at or above $1.00 that yield fee code RT, RX, or Z.

Deletion of References to the ROOC Routing Strategy

The Exchange previously submitted a proposed rule change for immediate effectiveness to discontinue the ROOC routing strategy and to remove references to the ROOC routing strategy from its rulebook.6 The Exchange now proposes to delete three references to the ROOC routing strategy from its Fee Schedule, as those associated rates and references have not been applicable since the Exchange discontinued the ROOC routing strategy. These changes are to delete:

- Fee code RN and its associate rates, which is appended to orders routed to the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC using the ROOC routing strategy and add liquidity.
- a reference to ROOC in fee code RT, which is appended to orders routed using the ROUT or ROOC routing strategy; and
- a reference to the ROOC routing strategy in footnote 12, which lists the routing strategies eligible for fee code CR.

Fee Codes RT, RX, and Z

The Exchange also proposes to increase the fees associated with orders in securities priced at or above $1.00 that yield fee codes RT, RX, or Z.7 First the Exchange proposes to increase the fee for orders yielding fee code RT, which are routed using a ROUT8 routing strategy, from $0.00250 to $0.00260 per share. Second, the Exchange proposes to increase the fee for orders yielding fee code RX, which are routed using a ROUX9 routing strategy, from $0.00270 to $0.00280 per share. Lastly, the Exchange proposes to increase the fee for orders yielding fee code Z, which are routed to a non-exchange destination using ROCO10 or ROUZ11 routing strategy, from $0.00100 to $0.00120 per share.

Implementation Date

The Exchange proposes to implement these amendments to its Fee Schedule November 1, 2016.


7 The Exchange does not propose to amend the fees for orders yielding fee codes RT, RX, or Z in securities priced below $1.00.

8 See Exchange Rule 11.11(g)(3).

9 The Exchange notes that it is deleting reference to the ROOC routing strategy in this filing. See also supra note 6.

10 See Exchange Rule 11.11(g)(3).

11 See Exchange Rule 11.11(g)(3).

12 Id.

13 Id.