Background

As provided in 23 CFR 633.103, Form FHWA–1273 includes contract provisions and proposal notices that are required by regulations promulgated by FHWA or other Federal agencies. The provisions include non-discrimination, prevailing wage rates, subcontracting, job-site safety, and other important requirements that must be included in every Federal-aid construction project. According to 23 CFR 633.104(a), FHWA will update the form as regulatory revisions occur. Since the form was last revised on May 1, 2012, a number of policy revisions have occurred. The revisions that are being proposed by FHWA to Form FHWA–1273 will bring the form up to date with the current requirements. The proposed revisions are being made for the following reasons:

• The U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Federal Contract Compliance (OFCCP) issued a final rule on December 9, 2014, which revised the Equal Employment Opportunity requirements for Federal and federally assisted projects. We propose to implement minor revisions in Sections II and III—Nondiscrimination and Non-segregated Facilities to replace the terms “sex” with “sex, sexual orientation, and gender identity” to be consistent with the 41 CFR 60–1.

• Revisions are proposed to Section II.10 as follows: This section is retitled as “Assurance Required,” the assurance required by 49 CFR 26.13(b) is included verbatim, and incorporation by reference is provided for the Title VI assurance required by U.S. DOT Order 1050.2A Appendices A and E.

• A revision is proposed to the first paragraph of Section IV to address the “treatment of projects” provision in 23 U.S.C. 133(f), which requires that all projects (excluding those funded under the recreational trail set-aside) be treated as if on a Federal-aid highway.

• Revisions are proposed to Section IX—Implementation of Clean Air Act and Federal Water Pollution Control Act to be consistent with the provisions in Appendix II to Part 200—Contract Provisions for Non-Federal Entity Contracts Under Federal Awards in 2 CFR 200.

• Revisions are proposed to Section X—Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion to implement new certification language to ensure that awards are not made to companies who have a verified Federal tax delinquency or companies who have been convicted of a Federal felony offense within 2 years prior to the award. The new certifications implement the Government-wide General Provisions, currently under Division E, Title VII, Financial Services and General Government Appropriations Act, FY 2016 (Sections 745–746 in the FY 2016 Act, 129 STAT. 2485–2486 and similar provisions in subsequent appropriations acts). In addition, the Excluded Parties List System (www.EPLS.gov) has been replaced with the System for Award Management (www.SAM.gov). The reference to this system in the form is updated.

• We propose to add a new Section XII—Use of United States-Flag Vessels to implement Cargo Preference Act requirements on Federal-aid projects. On October 14, 2008, President Obama signed the “Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act of 2009.” Section 3511 of that Act amended the Cargo Preference Act by stating the requirements apply to cargoes financed “in any way with Federal funds for the account of any persons unless otherwise exempted.” This Act requires the use of a United States-Flag vessel for all oceanic shipments (or shipments across the Great Lakes) necessary for materials or equipment acquired for a specific, Federal aid highway project. See FHWA’s December 8, 2015, legal opinion titled: “Cargo Preference Act and Federal-aid Projects” (available online at https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/construction/cqt/cargo/151208.cfm) for additional information.

• Minor grammatical and formatting revisions are proposed throughout the document for clarity and to be consistent with 2 CFR part 200.

The proposed revision to Form FHWA–1273 will incorporate the changes noted above as well as other important changes to the required contract provisions. A list of the proposed changes and a marked-up version of the changes are available at the following Web site: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/construction/cqt/form1273.cfm.

The FHWA anticipates issuing a second notice responding to the comments received and requiring the use of the revised form for all Federal-aid projects advertised 60 days after the publication date of the second notice.

investigation of this accident, FRA believes more robust protection of roadway workers is necessary. Railroad safety is of utmost importance to FRA, and FRA has taken several measures, some of which are discussed below, to better protect roadway workers.

On June 10, 2016, FRA published two final rules addressing roadway worker safety. One of the rules amends FRA’s Roadway Worker Protection (RWP) regulations (49 CFR part 214, subpart C), while the second rule revises FRA’s alcohol and drug regulations (49 CFR part 219).

The final rule, “Railroad Workplace Safety: Roadway Worker Protection Miscellaneous Revisions (RRR)” (RWP Final Rule), resolves miscellaneous interpretive issues, codifies certain FRA technical bulletins, adopts new requirements governing redundant signal protections and the movement of roadway maintenance machines over certain types of track, and amends certain qualification requirements for roadway workers. See 81 FR 37840, June 10, 2016. For example, the RWP Final Rule mandates job briefings for roadway workers include information on the accessibility of the roadway worker in charge. Second, it sets standards for how “occupancy” behind train authorities (when the authority for a work crew does not begin until the train has passed the area) can be used. Third, it requires annual training for any train, yard, and engine service individual acting as a roadway worker in charge. Finally, it requires railroads to annually train all roadway workers on their procedures for determining whether it is safe to cross track.

The final rule, “Control of Alcohol and Drug Use: Coverage of Maintenance of Way (MOW) Employees and Retrospective Regulatory Review-Based Amendments” (MOW Final Rule), broadens the scope of FRA’s alcohol and drug regulations to cover MOW employees. See 81 FR 37894, June 10, 2016. The MOW Final Rule subjects all MOW employees to FRA’s drug and alcohol testing, including random testing, post-accident testing, reasonable suspicion testing, reasonable cause testing, pre-employment testing, return-to-duty testing, and follow-up testing. The MOW Final Rule also requires drug testing of railroad and MOW employees involved in certain highway-rail grade crossing accidents or incidents.


As discussed above, FRA has taken a number of recent steps to better protect roadway workers when those roadway workers are engaged in activities subject to FRA’s safety jurisdiction. When those employees are engaged in activities outside the scope of FRA’s safety regulations, they may be required to comply with OSHA’s regulations, such as 29 CFR part 1910 (Occupational Safety and Health Standards) and 29 CFR 1926 (Safety and Health Regulations for Construction). Specifically, railroads and railroad contractors may be required to implement policies and procedures mandated by OSHA relating to the working conditions for roadway workers.

Between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2015, over 60 roadway worker fatalities occurred while the roadway workers performed work not covered by FRA’s safety regulations. This leads FRA to believe railroads and railroad contractors, as well as their employees, may fail to recognize potential hazards outside of those directly governed by FRA’s rail safety regulations and may fail to develop and implement appropriate risk mitigation actions.

During the NTSB’s September 24, 2014, hearing regarding the 2013 MOW and signal employee fatalities, the NTSB reminded the rail industry that, in certain situations, OSHA’s regulations apply to railroads and railroad contractors, including OSHA’s requirements that employers: (1) Conduct hazard assessments to identify and address existing conditions that pose safety hazards; (2) conduct job safety briefings prior to every work activity; and (3) conduct additional job briefings if significant changes occur during the course of the work. See 29 CFR 1910.132(d), 1910.269(a)(3), 1910.269(c), 1926.95(b)–(d).

Although FRA’s safety regulations require on-track safety job briefings prior to an employee fouling track, through this Safety Advisory, FRA reminds railroads and railroad contractors and their respective employees that when their roadway workers are engaged in activities that fall outside the scope of FRA’s safety regulations, those activities may be subject to OSHA’s regulations.

Railroads and railroad contractors should consider having a workable strategy for identifying safety hazards that exist in their work environments and for eliminating or addressing such safety hazards. Railroads and railroad contractors should therefore consider developing and implementing annual training for their roadway workers in various hazard recognition techniques. Whenever a hazard or risk is identified, a roadway worker should stop, look around, and analyze the situation for potential harm. Recognizing every situation for its potential danger may be

1 FRA regulations define a “roadway worker” as “any employee of a railroad, or of a contractor to a railroad, whose duties include inspection, construction, maintenance or repair of railroad track, bridges, roadway, signal and communication systems, roadway facilities or roadway maintenance machinery on or near track or with the potential of fouling a track, and flagmen and watchmen/lookouts.” 49 CFR 214.2.

2 The MOW Final Rule defines the term “maintenance-of-way employee” or “MOW employee” as “a roadway worker, as defined in 49 CFR 214.2.”

3 Also on September 24, 2014, the NTSB issued a report titled Special Investigation Report on Railroad and Rail Transit Roadway Worker Protection, SIR–14/03. In that report, NTSB issued three Safety Recommendations to FRA that this Safety Advisory is responsive to, including Safety Recommendations R–14–33, R–14–35, and R–14–36. NTSB’s Recommendation R–14–33 states FRA should revise the job briefing provisions of its Roadway Worker Protection regulations (49 CFR part 214) to include best practices found in OSHA’s regulations. NTSB’s Recommendation R–14–35 states FRA should review the job briefing provisions of its Roadway Worker Protection regulations (49 CFR part 214) to include best practices found in OSHA’s regulations. NTSB’s Recommendation R–14–36 states FRA should require initial and recurring training for roadway workers in hazard recognition and mitigation, including recognition and mitigation of the hazards of tasks performed by coworkers.

4 See e.g., 49 CFR 214.315(a) and (d) (addressing job safety briefings).
challenging. Moreover, individual, isolated conditions may appear to be harmless. However, a combination of several seemingly harmless conditions can present a serious safety hazard.

Examples of contributing factors or actions roadway workers may face or engage in that may have been a factor in one or more roadway worker fatalities since 2000 while the roadway workers were performing work not covered by FRA regulations include, but are not limited to: Ascending or descending; falling objects; electrocution; an unanticipated energy release; slips, trips and falls; hoisting or lowering an object; off-track equipment striking roadway workers; collisions between roadway maintenance machines and standing trains; highway vehicle collisions (vehicle to vehicle); highway vehicles striking roadway workers; and environmental-related hazards (swarming bees, mudslides, heat stroke, flash floods, etc.).

FRA and the railroad industry have witnessed success using the Good Faith Challenge Procedures found in FRA’s regulations for situations when a roadway worker believes the on-track safety procedure being used is inadequate for the work being performed. In such a situation, the roadway worker may remain clear of the track until the challenged safety issue is resolved without fear of retribution or retaliation. Many railroads have adopted Good Faith Challenge Procedures for any safety-related concern, not just those FRA regulates. FRA recommends all railroads and railroad contractors adopt appropriate Good Faith Challenge Procedures for any recognized hazard identified during job safety briefings or any hazard otherwise arising during the course of work activities roadway worker believes requires remediation, whether FRA, OSHA, or another Federal agency regulate the that hazard.

Recommendations: In light of the above discussion, and in an effort to improve job safety briefings, improve the identification and mitigation of potential safety hazards existing in the working environments of roadway workers, and reduce the number of injuries and fatalities occurring when roadway workers are engaged in activities outside the scope of FRA’s safety regulations, FRA recommends railroads and railroad contractors:

1. Develop hazard-recognition strategies identifying and addressing existing conditions posing actual or potential safety hazards, emphasizing the contributing factors or actions involved in roadway worker-related fatalities occurring since 2000.

2. Provide annual training to roadway workers on the use of hazard-recognition strategies developed by the railroad or the railroad contractor.

3. Institute procedures for mandatory job safety briefings compliant with OSHA’s regulations prior to initiating any roadway worker activity. Consistent with OSHA’s regulations, roadway workers should use hazard-recognition procedures to identify potential hazards in their job briefings and then determine the appropriate measures to mitigate the identified hazards. If an unforeseen situation develops during work performance, roadway workers should stop working and conduct a second job briefing to determine the appropriate means of mitigating the new hazard.

4. Develop and apply Good Faith Challenge Procedures for all roadway workers who, in good faith, believe a task is unsafe or an identified hazard has not been mitigated. FRA encourages railroad and railroad contractor industry members to take actions consistent with the preceding recommendations and any other actions that may help ensure the safety of roadway workers. Although the primary purpose of this Safety Advisory is for railroads and railroad contractors to apply these recommendations to activities that fall outside the scope of FRA’s safety regulations, FRA also encourages the industry to apply these recommendations to activities FRA’s regulations govern.

FRA may modify this Safety Advisory, issue additional safety advisories, or take other appropriate actions necessary to ensure the safety of the Nation’s railroads, including pursuing other corrective measures under its safety laws and regulations.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 22, 2016.

John K. Alexy,
Director, Office of Safety Analysis.
[FR Doc. 2016–28558 Filed 11–25–16; 8:45 am]
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ACTION: Notice of policy guidance.

SUMMARY: The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) hereby establishes policy guidance for documenting compliance with the private enterprise participation requirements under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP–21). It also includes additional clarifications under the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act. Because the policy guidance requirement reiterates existing statutes and regulations and imposes no new requirements on recipients, FTA is not soliciting public comment on this policy guidance.

DATES: Effective Date: This policy guidance will be effective January 12, 2017.


I. Background

The FTA is issuing this policy guidance pursuant to Section 20013(d) of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP–21) (Pub. L. 114–141). Section 20013(d) requires the Secretary of Transportation to publish policy guidance regarding how recipients of Federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. chapter 53 can best document compliance with the requirements for private enterprise participation in public transportation planning and transportation improvement programs contained in sections 5303(i)(6), 5306(a), and 5307(b) of title 49, United States Code.

A. Statutory Requirements for Private Enterprise Participation

Section 5303(i)(6) requires that each metropolitan planning organization (MPO) provide interested parties, including private providers of transportation, with a reasonable opportunity to comment on the metropolitan transportation plan (MTP). The Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act (Pub. L. 114–94) amended this section to include the following private providers: “intercity bus operators, employer-based commuting programs, such as a carpool program, vanpool program, transit benefit program, parking cash-out program, shuttle program, or telework program.” In addition, MPOs must develop a participation plan that defines

5 See 49 CFR 214.311 (responsibility of employers to implement Good Faith Challenge Procedures).