II. What information collection activity affected by this collection.

burden for very small businesses (employ less than 25) on examples of

particular, EPA is requesting comments on electronic submission of responses. In

information technology, e.g., mechanical, or other technological

mechanisms, provides a detailed explanation of the collection activities and the burden estimate that is only

briefly summarized here:

Respondents/Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this ICR are entities involved in manufacturing of pesticide chemicals, wholesale merchandising of pesticide products, or pest management activities. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes for respondents under this ICR include 325320 (Pesticide and other Agricultural Chemical Manufacturing), 424690 (Other Chemical and Allied Products Merchant Wholesalers), and 561710 (Exterminating and Pest Control Services).

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 31.
Frequency of response: On occasion.
Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent: 1.
Estimated total annual burden hours: 3,535 hours.
Estimated total annual costs: $249,292. This includes an estimated burden cost of $249,292 and an estimated cost of $0 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs.

III. Are there changes in the estimates from the last approval?

There is a decrease of 1,972 hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. This decrease reflects EPA’s updating of burden estimates for this collection, including an increase in the estimated burden per response, and a decrease in the number of responses per year. This change is an adjustment.

IV. What is the next step in the process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. EPA will issue another Federal Register document pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.
Dated: November 15, 2016.

James Jones,
Assistant Administrator, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention.
[FR Doc. 2016–28739 Filed 11–28–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Registration Review: Draft Human Health and/or Ecological Risk Assessments; Notice of Availability
AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of EPA’s draft human health and ecological risk assessments for the
registration review of aliphatic esters, cyclanilide, cymoxanil, and certain other pesticides and opens a public comment period on these documents. This notice also announces both the opening of the registration review dockets and the availability of the registration reviews. EPA is ensuring that each pesticide continues to satisfy the statutory standard for registration, that is, the pesticide can perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. As part of the registration review process, the Agency has completed comprehensive draft human health and/or ecological risk assessments for all uses of the pesticides herein. After reviewing comments received during the public comment period, EPA will issue a revised risk assessment, explain any changes to the draft risk assessment, and respond to comments and may request public input on risk mitigation before completing a proposed registration review decision for the pesticides herein. Through this program, EPA is ensuring that each pesticide’s registration is based on current scientific and other knowledge, including its effects on human health and the environment.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 30, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2015–0393 by one of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.
- Hand Delivery: To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html. Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at http://www.epa.gov/dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
For pesticide specific information contact: The Chemical Review Manager for the pesticide of interest identified in Table 1 of Unit III.

For general questions on the registration review program, contact:
Richard Dumas, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 308–8015; email address: dumas.richard@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information
A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general, and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, farm worker, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the Chemical Review Manager identified in Table 1 of Unit III.

B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to EPA through regulations.gov or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD–ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD–ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD–ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. Tips for preparing your comments. When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html.

3. Environmental justice. EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticide(s) discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

II. Authority

EPA is conducting its registration review of the chemicals listed in Table 1 of Unit III pursuant to section 3(g) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Procedural Regulations for Registration Review at 40 CFR part 155, subpart C. Section 3(g) of FIFRA provides, among other things, that the registrations of pesticides are to be reviewed every 15 years. Under FIFRA, a pesticide product may be registered or remain registered only if it meets the statutory standard for registration given in FIFRA section 3(c)(5) (7 U.S.C. 136a(c)(5)). When used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice, the pesticide product must perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on the environment; that is, without any unreasonable risk to man or the environment, or a human dietary risk from residues that result from the use of a pesticide in or on food.

III. Registration Reviews

As directed by FIFRA section 3(g), EPA is reviewing the pesticide registration for the pesticides listed in Table 1 to ensure that it continues to satisfy the FIFRA standard for registration—that is, that these chemicals can still be used without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registration review case name and No.</th>
<th>Docket ID No.</th>
<th>Contact and contact information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

TABLE 1—DRAFT RISK ASSESSMENTS BEING MADE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
### TABLE 1—DRAFT RISK ASSESSMENTS BEING MADE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registration review case name and No.</th>
<th>Docket ID No.</th>
<th>Contact and contact information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2130.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tefluthrin, 7409</td>
<td>EPA–HQ–OPP–2011–0907</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrathrin, 2660</td>
<td>EPA–HQ–OPP–2011–0907</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.53(c), EPA is providing an opportunity, through this notice of availability, for interested parties to provide comments and input concerning the Agency’s draft human health and ecological risk assessment for aliphatic esters, cyclanilide, cyoxanil, d-phenothrin, dimethomorph, fenpropathrin, imiprothrin, kresoxim-methyl, linuron, metalaxyl and mfenoxam, MGK–264, monomethofluoroth, phosmet, prallethrin, tau-fluvalinate, tefluthrin, tetrathrin and opens a public comment period on these documents. In addition, this notice announces the availability of EPA’s draft ecological risk assessments for the registration review of bifenthrin, cyfluthrins (alpha & beta), cypermethrin (alpha & zeta), cyphenothrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, etofenprox, flumethrin, gamma-cyhalothrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, permethrin, pyrethrins and opens a public comment period on these documents. Such comments and input could address, among other things, the Agency’s risk assessment methodologies and assumptions, as applied to a draft risk assessment. The Agency will consider all comments received during the public comment period and make changes, as appropriate, to a draft human health and/or ecological risk assessment. EPA will then issue a revised risk assessment, explain any changes to the draft risk assessment, and respond to comments. In the Federal Register notice announcing the availability of the revised risk assessment, if the revised risk assessment indicates risks of concern, the Agency may provide a comment period for the public to submit suggestions for mitigating the risk identified in the revised risk assessment before developing a proposed registration review decision on the pesticides herein.

1. Other related information.

Additional information on the registration review status of the chemicals listed in Table 1 of Unit III, as well as information on the Agency’s registration review program and on its implementing regulation is available at http://www.epa.gov/pesticide-reevaluation.

2. Information submission requirements.

Anyone may submit data or information in response to this document. To be considered during a pesticide’s registration review, the submitted data or information must meet the following requirements:

- To ensure that EPA will consider data or information submitted, interested persons must submit the data or information during the comment period. The Agency may, at its discretion, consider data or information submitted at a later date.
- The data or information submitted must be presented in a legible and useable form. For example, an English translation must accompany any material that is not in English and a written transcript must accompany any information submitted as an audiographic or videographic record. Written material may be submitted in paper or electronic form.
- Submitters must clearly identify the source of any submitted data or information.
- Submitters may request the Agency to reconsider data or information that the Agency rejected in a previous review. However, submitters must explain why they believe the Agency should reconsider the data or information in the pesticide’s registration review.

As provided in 40 CFR 155.58, the registration review docket for each pesticide case will remain publicly accessible through the duration of the registration review process; that is, until all actions required in the final decision on the registration review case have been completed.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.
FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADVISORY BOARD

Notice of Issuance of Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2016 and Three-Year Plan

AGENCY: Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board

ACTION: Notice.

Board Action: Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3511(d), the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), as amended, and the FASAB Rules Of Procedure, as amended in October 2010, notice is hereby given that the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) has issued its Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2016 and Three-Year Plan. The Board also plans to conduct online surveys to help in assessing the most important priorities for the future and the next steps in its reporting model and performance reporting projects. The annual planning survey is available at https://tell.gao.gov/fasabplanning. The financial and performance reporting survey is available at https://tell.gao.gov/fasabreporting/. The surveys will open on November 30, 2016, and close on January 30, 2017.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director, 441 G Street NW., Mailstop 6H19, Washington, DC 20548, or call (202) 512–7350.


Dated: November 22, 2016.

Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060–0713]

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission Under Delegated Authority

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collections. Comments are requested concerning: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid OMB control number.

DATES: Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before January 30, 2017. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION about the information collection, contact Nicole Ongele at (202) 418–2991.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: OMB Control Number: 3060–0713.

Dated: October 19, 2016.

Charles Smith,
Acting Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

TITLE: Alternative Broadcast Inspection Program (ABIP) Compliance Notification

OMB Number: N/A

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents:

Number of responses: 53 respondents; 2,650 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 5 minutes (0.084 hours).

Frequency of response: On occasion reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Voluntary.

Statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in 47 U.S.C. 303(n) and 47 CFR Section 73.1225.

Total annual burden: 223 hours.

Total annual cost: No cost.

Privacy Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: The Alternative Broadcast Inspection Program (ABIP) is a series of agreements between the Federal Communications Commission’s (FCC) Enforcement Bureau and a private entity, usually a state broadcast association, whereby the private entity agrees to facilitate inspections (and re-inspections, where appropriate) of participating broadcast stations to determine station compliance with FCC regulations. Broadcast stations participate in ABIP on a voluntary basis. The private entities notify their local FCC District Office or Resident Agent Office in writing of those stations that pass the ABIP inspection and have been issued a Certificate of Compliance by the ABIP inspector. The FCC uses this information to determine which broadcast stations have been certified in compliance with FCC Rules and will not be subject to certain random FCC inspections.

Federal Communications Commission.

Gloria J. Miles,
Federal Register Liaison Officer, Office of the Secretary.

Title: Alternative Broadcast Inspection Program (ABIP) Compliance Notification

OMB Number: N/A
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Federal Communications Commission.
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Federal Register Liaison Officer, Office of the Secretary.
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Federal Communications Commission.
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Federal Register Liaison Officer, Office of the Secretary.
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