PART 330—RECRUITMENT, SELECTION, AND PLACEMENT (GENERAL)

■ 1. The authority citation for part 330 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 1104, 1302, 3301, 3302, 3304, and 3330; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR, 1954-58 Comp., p. 218; Section 330.103 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 3327; Subpart B also issued under 5 U.S.C. 3315 and 8151; Section 330.401 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 3310; Subparts F and G also issued under Presidential Memorandum on Career Transition Assistance for Federal Employees, September 12, 1995; Subpart G also issued under 5 U.S.C. 8337(h) and 8456(b).

■ 2. Add subpart M, consisting of § 330.1300 to read as follows:

Subpart M—Timing of Background Investigations

§ 330.1300 Timing of suitability inquiries in competitive hiring.

A hiring agency may not make specific inquiries concerning an applicant's criminal or credit background of the sort asked on the OF-306 or other forms used to conduct suitability investigations for Federal employment (*i.e.*, inquiries into an applicant's criminal or adverse credit history) unless the hiring agency has made a conditional offer of employment to the applicant. Agencies may make inquiries into an applicant's Selective Service registration, military service, citizenship status, or previous work history, prior to making a conditional offer of employment to an applicant.

However, in certain situations, agencies may have a business need to obtain information about the background of applicants earlier in the hiring process to determine if they meet the qualifications requirements or are suitable for the position being filled. If so, agencies must request an exception from the Office of Personnel Management in order to determine an applicant's ability to meet qualifications or suitability for Federal employment prior to making a conditional offer of employment to the applicant(s). OPM will grant exceptions only when the agency demonstrates specific job-related reasons why the agency needs to evaluate an applicant's criminal or adverse credit history earlier in the process or consider the disqualification of candidates with criminal backgrounds or other conduct issues from particular types of positions. OPM will consider such factors as, but not limited to, the nature of the position being filled and whether a clean criminal history record would be essential to the ability to perform one of the duties of the position effectively.

OPM may also consider positions for which the expense of completing the examination makes it appropriate to adjudicate suitability at the outset of the process (e.g., a position that requires that an applicant complete a rigorous training regimen and pass an examination based upon the training before his or her selection can be finalized). A hiring agency must request and receive an OPM-approved exception prior to issuing public notice for a position for which the agency will collect background information prior to completion of the assessment process and the making of a conditional offer of employment.

PART 731—SUITABILITY

■ 3. The authority citation for part 731 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 1302, 3301, 7301; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–1958 Comp., p. 218, as amended; E.O. 13467, 3 CFR 2009 Comp., p. 198; E.O. 13488, 3 CFR 2010 Comp., p. 189; 5 CFR parts 1, 2 and 5.

■ 4. In § 731.103, revise paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§731.103 Delegation to agencies. *

*

*

*

(d)(1) A hiring agency may not make specific inquiries concerning an applicant's criminal or credit background of the sort asked on the OF-306 or other forms used to conduct suitability investigations for Federal employment (i.e., inquiries into an applicant's criminal or adverse credit history) unless the hiring agency has made a conditional offer of employment to the applicant. Agencies may make inquiries into an applicant's Selective Service registration, military service, citizenship status, or previous work history, prior to making a conditional offer of employment to an applicant. However, in certain situations, agencies may have a business need to obtain information about the suitability or background of applicants earlier in the process. If so, agencies must request an exception from the Office of Personnel Management, in accordance with the provisions of 5 CFR part 330 subpart M.

(2) OPM reserves the right to undertake a determination of suitability based upon evidence of falsification or fraud relating to an examination or appointment at any point when information giving rise to such a charge is discovered. OPM must be informed in all cases where there is evidence of material, intentional false statements, or deception or fraud in examination or

appointment, and OPM will take a suitability action where warranted.

[FR Doc. 2016-28782 Filed 11-30-16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325-39-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

5 CFR Part 532

RIN 3206-AN38

Prevailing Rate Systems; Redefinition of Certain Appropriated Fund Federal Wage System Wage Areas

AGENCY: U.S. Office of Personnel Management. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is issuing a final rule to redefine the geographic boundaries of several appropriated fund Federal Wage System (FWS) wage areas for pay-setting purposes. Based on reviews of Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) boundaries in a number of wage areas, OPM is redefining the following wage areas: Salinas-Monterey, CA; San Francisco, CA; New London, CT; Central and Western Massachusetts; Cincinnati, OH: Dayton, OH, Southeastern Washington-Eastern Oregon; and Spokane, WA.

DATES: *Effective date:* This regulation is effective on December 1, 2016.

Applicability date: This change applies on the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after January 3, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Madeline Gonzalez, by telephone at (202) 606–2858 or by email at pay-leavepolicy@opm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 24, 2016, OPM issued a proposed rule (81 FR 41255) to redefine the following counties:

• San Benito County, CA, from the Salinas-Monterey, CA, area of application to the San Francisco, CA, area of application;

• Windham County, CT, from the New London, CT, area of application to the Central and Western Massachusetts area of application;

• Union County, IN; from the Dayton, OH, area of application to the Cincinnati, OH, area of application;

• Columbia County, WA, from the Spokane area of application to the Southeastern Washington-Eastern Oregon area of application.

The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee, the national labormanagement committee responsible for

advising OPM on matters concerning the pay of FWS employees, reviewed and recommended these changes by consensus.

The 30-day comment period ended on July 25, 2016. OPM received one comment in support of the proposal and one comment requesting OPM consider moving another county in the State of California, Mendocino County, CA, from the Rest of U.S. (RUS) General Schedule (GS) locality pay area to the San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland, CA GS locality pay area. GS and FWS pay areas are administered under different regulations. The comment is therefore beyond the scope of the proposed rule.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

I certify that these regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because they will affect only Federal agencies and employees.

List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 532

Administrative practice and procedure, Freedom of information, Government employees, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wages.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Beth F. Cobert,

Acting Director.

Accordingly, OPM is amending 5 CFR part 532 as follows:

PART 532—PREVAILING RATE SYSTEMS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 532 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 5343, 5346; § 532.707 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 552.

■ 2. Appendix C to subpart B is amended by revising the wage area listings for the Salinas-Monterey, CA; San Francisco, CA; New London, CT; Central and Western Massachusetts; Cincinnati, OH: Dayton, OH, Southeastern Washington-Eastern Oregon; and Spokane, WA, wage areas to read as follows:

Appendix C to Subpart B of Part 532— Appropriated Fund Wage and Survey Areas

* * * * * CALIFORNIA

> Salinas-Monterey Survey Area

California: Monterev

Area of Application. Survey area.

Blandford

Brimfield

San Francisco California: Alameda Contra Costa Marin Napa San Francisco San Mateo Santa Clara Solano Area of Application. Survey area plus: California: Mendocino San Benito Santa Cruz Sonoma CONNECTICUT New London Survey Area Connecticut: New London Area of Application. Survey area. MASSACHUSETTS **Central and Western Massachusetts** Survey Area Massachusetts: The following cities and towns in: Hampden County Agawam Chicopee East Longmeadow Feeding Hills Hampden Holyoke Longmeadow Ludlow Monson Palmer Southwick Springfield Three Rivers Westfield West Springfield Wilbraĥam Hampshire County Easthampton Granby Hadley Northampton South Hadley Worcester County Warren West Warren Area of Application. Survey area plus: Connecticut: Windham Massachusetts: Berkshire Franklin Worcester (except Blackstone and Millville) The following cities and towns in: Hampden Čounty

Chester Granville Holland Montgomerv Russell Tolland Wales Hampshire County Amherst Belchertown Chesterfield Cummington Goshen Hatfield Huntington Middlefield Pelham Plainfield Southampton Ware Westhampton Williamsburg Worthington Middlesex County Ashby Shirley Townsend New Hampshire: Belknap Carroll Cheshire Grafton Hillsborough Merrimack Sullivan Vermont: Addison Bennington Caledonia Essex Lamoille Orange Orleans Rutland Washington Windham Windsor * OHIO Cincinnati Survey Area Indiana: Dearborn Kentucky: Boone Campbell Kenton Ohio: Clermont Hamilton Warren Area of Application. Survey area plus: Indiana: Franklin

Ohio

Ripley Switzerland

Union

Kentucky:

Bracken

Gallatin

Carroll

Grant

Mason

Pendleton Ohio: Adams Brown Butler Highland Dayton Ohio: Champaign Clark Greene Miami Montgomery Preble Area of Application. Survey area plus: Indiana Randolph Wayne Ohio: Auglaize Clinton Darke Logan Shelby WASHINGTON

Southeastern Washington-Eastern Oregon

Survey Area Oregon: Umatilla Washington: Benton Franklin Walla Walla Yakima Area of Application. Survey area plus: Oregon: Baker Grant Harnev Malheur Morrow Union Wallowa Wheeler Washington: Columbia Kittitas (Only includes the Yakima Firing Range portion) Spokane

Survey Area Washington: Spokane Area of Application. Survey area plus: Idaho: Benewah Bonner Boundary Clearwater Idaho Kootenai Latah Lewis Nez Perce Shoshone Washington: Adams Asotin

Chelan (Does not include the North Cascades National Park portion) Douglas Ferry Garfield Grant Kittitas (Does not include the Yakima Firing Range portion) Lincoln Okanogan Pend Oreille Stevens Whitman * * [FR Doc. 2016-28784 Filed 11-30-16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325-39-P

COUNCIL OF THE INSPECTORS GENERAL ON INTEGRITY AND EFFICIENCY

5 CFR Part 9801

RIN 3219-AA00

Privacy Act Regulations

AGENCY: Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE) is issuing this final rule to establish its procedures relating to access, maintenance, disclosure, and amendment of records that are in a CIGIE system of records under the Privacy Act of 1974 (Privacy Act). This final rule also establishes rules of conduct for CIGIE personnel who have responsibilities under the Privacy Act. **DATES:** This final rule is effective

January 3, 2017. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Atticus J. Reaser, General Counsel, CIGIE, (202) 292-2600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background Information

CIGIE published a proposed rule in the Federal Register, 81 FR 61628, September 7, 2016, to provide the procedures and guidelines under which CIGIE will implement the Privacy Act. The proposed rule provided a 60-day comment period, which ended on November 7, 2016. CIGIE received one timely and responsive comment, which was submitted by an individual. The comment supported the regulation and reflected no suggested changes.

CIGIE is making one technical citation format change. The citation to "the Inspector General Act of 1978, Public Law 95-452, 92 Stat. 1101 (codified as amended at 5 U.S.C. app)" reflected in the proposed rule is being changed in this final rule to "the Inspector General

Act of 1978, as amended, 5 U.S.C. app." This is a technical modification and does not reflect a substantive change. There were no other modifications made to the proposed rule. For the reasons set forth herein and in the preamble to the proposed rule, CIGIE is publishing this final rule.

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

In promulgating this rule, CIGIE has adhered to the regulatory philosophy and the applicable principles of regulation set forth in section 1 of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has determined that this rule is not "significant" under Executive Order 12866.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

These regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, a regulatory flexibility analysis as provided by the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended, is not required.

Paperwork Reduction Act

These regulations impose no additional reporting and recordkeeping requirements. Therefore, clearance by OMB is not required.

Federalism (Executive Order 13132)

This rule does not have Federalism implications, as set forth in Executive Order 13132. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 9801

Information, Privacy, Privacy Act, Records.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, CIGIE adds part 9801 to title 5 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 9801—PRIVACY ACT REGULATIONS

Subpart A—General Provisions

- Sec. 9801.101
- Purpose and scope. 9801.102 CIGIE organization.
- 9801.103 Definitions.
- Rules for determining if an 9801.104
- individual is the subject of a record.
- 9801.105 Employee standards of conduct.
- 9801.106 Use and collection of social
 - security numbers.
- 9801.107 Other rights and services.