DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[FFP Project 133, LLC; Project No. 14524–001]

Notice of Intent To File License Application, Filing of Pre-Application Document, and Approving Use of the Traditional Licensing Process

a. Type of Application: Notice of Intent to File License Application and Request to Use the Traditional Licensing Process.

b. Project No.: 14524–001.

c. Date filed: September 26, 2016.

d. Submitted by: FFP Project 133, LLC.

e. Name of Project: Dashields Locks and Dam Hydroelectric Project.

f. Location: At the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ Dashields Locks and Dam on the Ohio River in Edgeworth Borough, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. The project would occupy United States lands administered by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

g. Filed Pursuant to: 18 CFR 5.3 of the Commission’s regulations.

h. Potential Applicant Contact: Mr. Erik Steimle, Vice President, Development, 334 NW., 11th Ave. Portland, OR 97209, Phone: (503) 998–3086, Email: erik@ryedevelopment.com or Ms. Kellie M. Doherty, Vice President, Environmental, 745 Atlantic Ave., 8th Floor, Boston, MA 02111, Phone: (617) 701–3288, Email: kellie@ryedevelopment.com.

i. FERC Contact: Brandi Sangunett, Phone: (202) 353–4761.

j. FFP Project 133, LLC filed its request to use the Traditional Licensing Process on September 26, 2016. FFP Project 133, LLC provided public notice of its request on September 26, 2016. In a letter dated November 25, 2016, the Director of the Division of Hydropower Licensing approved FFP Project 133, LLC’s request to use the Traditional Licensing Process.

k. With this notice, we are initiating informal consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and/or NOAA Fisheries under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act and the joint agency regulations thereunder at 50 CFR, Part 402; and NOAA Fisheries under section 305(b) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act and implementing regulations at 50 CFR 600.920. We are also initiating consultation with the Pennsylvania State Historic Preservation Office, as required by section 106, National Historic Preservation Act, and the implementing regulations of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation at 36 CFR 800.2.

l. With this notice, we are designating FFP Project 133, LLC as the Commission’s non-federal representative for carrying out informal consultation pursuant to section 7 of the Endangered Species Act and section 305(b) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act; and consultation pursuant to section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

m. FFP Project 133, LLC filed a Pre-Application Document (PAD; including a proposed process plan and schedule) with the Commission, pursuant to 18 CFR 5.6 of the Commission’s regulations.

n. A copy of the PAD is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission’s Web site (http://www.ferc.gov), using the “eLibrary” link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at FERCONlineSupport@ferc.gov. (866) 208–3676 (toll free), or (202) 502–8659 (TTY). A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in paragraph h.

o. Register online at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/subscription.asp to be notified via email of new filings and issuances related to this or other pending projects. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

Dated: November 25, 2016.

Kimberly D. Bose.
Secretary.

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY


Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Action on Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for Appleton Coated LLC

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of final Order on petition to object to Clean Air Act Title V operating permit.

SUMMARY: This document announces that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator has denied two petitions asking EPA to object to a Title V operating permit issued by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) to Appleton Coated LLC (Appleton Coated). The first petition was submitted by the Sierra Club, the Clean Water Action Council, and the Midwest Environmental Defense Center (Sierra Club Petition). The second petition was submitted by Appleton Coated and the Wisconsin Paper Council (Appleton Coated/WPC Petition). Sections 307(b) and 505(b)(32) of the Clean Air Act (Act) provide that a petitioner may ask for judicial review of those portions of the petition that EPA denies in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit. Any petition for review shall be filed within 60 days from the date this notice appears in the Federal Register. Pursuant to section 307 of the Act.

ADDRESSES: You may review copies of the final Order, the petition, and other supporting information at the EPA Region 5 Office, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. If you wish to examine these documents, you should make an appointment at least 24 hours before the day you would like to visit. Additionally, the final Order for the Appleton petition is available electronically at: https://www.epa.gov/title-v-operating-permits/title-v-petition-database.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Genevieve Damico, Chief, Air Permits Section, Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, EPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard AR–18J, Chicago, Illinois 60604, telephone (312) 353–4761.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Act authorizes any person to file a 45-day period to review and object, as appropriate, to Title V operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator within 60 days after the expiration of the EPA review period to object to a Title V operating permit if EPA has not done so. A petition must be based on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise issues during the comment period, or the grounds for the issues arose after this period.